



Introduction for the HSF to the Belle II Software Licensing Task Force

C. Rosenfeld 9 May 2018

- Brief history
- Charge to the task force
- License selection status
- Copyright ownership and management
- Milestones calendar





- Launched in June 2017 with guidance and recruiting by T. Kuhr. 3 meetings since then.
- Current membership:
 - Carl Rosenfeld (chair)
 - Martin Ritter (externals)
 - Martin Sevior
 - Michel Jouvin
 - Rok Pestotnik
 - Thomas Kuhr
 - Torben Ferber (generators) U British Columbia
 - Thomas Hauth
 - Frank Meier
 - Marko Bracko

U South Carolina U Ludwig Maximilians, Germany **U** Melbourne LAL-Orsay (HSF Coordination Team) Inst Jozef Stefan, Slovenia U Ludwig Maximilians, Germany Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) U Sydney Inst Jozef Stefan, Slovenia





- 1. Get an overview of licenses of software we are using
- 2. Determine a compatible license
- 3. Agree on software ownership / contributors agreement
- 4. Get agreement from contributors / owners
- 5. Implement license in the software
- 1. Largely done.
- 2. Unresolved. More permissive than GPL would be attractive, but GPL may be necessary.
- 3. Preparation of an agreement is a work in progress (M. Ritter).
- 4. Not started.
- 5. Not started. Must wait for resolution of #2.





- Initially the task force recognized a need to adopt a single license for all of the collaboration's software. Simplicity?
- In view of move by LHC organizations to utilize GPLvX in conjunction with APACHE licenses, the task force will reconsider.

Belle I



- In the licensing business do the same problems have the same solutions? Must each organization resolve the issues independently?
- Belle II does not seek to innovate in this area. Our strong preference would be to let the dust settle in the rest of the Universe and follow the leaders.
- The task force welcomes the opportunity to cooperate with HSF.
- We anticipate that valuable guidance will flow from HSF to the task force.





- The task force recognized the need to minimize the number of copyright owners.
- It also recognized a related need for an organization to serve as copyright custodian/ manager.
- Given the relationship of Belle II Collaboration to KEK, the task force has offered KEK first refusal on the custodian/manager role.
- This role is not a natural fit for KEK. We raised the issue with KEK in late Jan. Their answer is pending.





- Important outstanding questions for the task force:
 - What are the drivers of the calendar? Given that we must ultimately attach licenses to Belle II software, how long can we defer before we go to prison?
 - Specifically, can we afford to wait until the big boys have resolved all of the licensing issues so that we may follow their lead?
 - Have the big boys reached or nearly reached asymptopia? What is their timeline?
 - What milestones should we set, and on what time scale must we achieve them?





