Jet Substructure in top/Higgs

Gregor Kasieczka on behalf of ATLAS/CMS Benasque Workshop 2018-05-29











Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

Jet Substructure



Jet Substructure



- Hadronically decaying top/Higgs/W/Z
- Contained in one (large-R) jet
- How to distinguish from light quark/gluon jets (and from each other)
- For new physics searches (and SM studies)

Towards an Understanding of the Correlations in Jet Substructure D Adams et al (BOOST 2013 Participants), Eur.Phys.J. C75 Top Tagging, T Plehn, M Spannowksy, J.Phys. G39 (2012) 083001 Boosted Top Tagging Method Overview, GK, Proc. Top2017

Some Classical solutions:

Mass Calculate after removing pile-up/soft radiation (eg mMDT/softdrop or pruning)

- Centers of hard radiation
 n-subjettiness or energy correlation
 functions
- Flavour
 b tagging of large-R jets or subjets
- Soft substructure Color connection
- Inclusive reconstruction HEPTopTagger V2, HOTVR
- Other substructure variables
 Shower deconstruction, template tagger, ...
- H(bb) tagging in flavour talk!

Jet Grooming





Figure 2. Single-jet invariant mass distribution for Cambridge–Aacher simulated events containing highly boosted hadronically decaying Z bose application of a grooming procedure referred to as *mass-drop filtering*. The figure are explained in section 1.2. The normalization of the groomed of efficiency of mass drop filtering with respect to the ungroomed large-R jlocal cluster weighting (LCW) calibration scheme is described in section 3

underlying event

surrounded by soft radiation from the parton shower, hadronization (UE) remnants [10–11]. Jets containing the decay products of singl the other hand, can be distinguished by hard, wide-angle compor the individual decay products that result in a large reconstructed astypication interview of the fluctuation of the parton showed improving the mass resolution and mitigating the influence of pile-u.

only recently begun to be studied experimentally [19–25] and have been exploited heavily in recent studies of the phenomenological implications of such tools in searches for new



mMDT Softdrop



$$\frac{\min(p_{T1}, p_{T2})}{p_{T1} + p_{T2}} > z_{\text{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta}$$

Towards an understanding of jet substructure M Dasgupta, A Fregoso, S Marzani, G Salam JHEP 1309 029 Soft Drop A Larkoski, S Marzani, G Soyez, J Thaler JHEP 1405 146 Factorization for groomed jet substructure beyond the next-toleading logarithm C Frye, AJ Larkoski, MD Schwartz, K Yan JHEP 1607 064

- Find hard substructure using step-wise unclustering
- No pure soft divergences
- Analytically calculable to high precision



Trimming

Jet Trimming D Krohn, J Thaler, LT Wang JHEP 1002 084 In-situ measurements of large-radius jet reconstruction performance ATLAS-CONF-2017-063



- Recluster constituents with R=0.2
- Remove subjets with less than 5% of jet pT
- ATLAS Default



n-Subjettiness

Identifying Boosted Objects with N-subjettiness J Thaler, KV Tilburg, JHEP 1103 015

Dichroic subjettiness ratios to distinguish colour flows in boosted boson tagging G Salam, L Schunk, G Soyez JHEP 1703 022



- Dichroic n-subjettiness = ratio of n-subjettiness with different grooming (JHEP 1703 022)
- Use for jet clustering (XCone: JHEP 1511 07)





Energy Correlation Functions

Energy Correlation Functions for Jet Substructure A Larkoski, GP Salam, J Thaler JHEP 1306 108

New Angles on Energy Correlation Functions I Moult, L Necib, J Thaler JHEP 1612 153



Trimmed large-R jet D2

Inclusive Taggers

HEPTopTagger (V2)

- OptimalR-Algorithm:
 - Start with C/A, R=1.5 seed fat-jet
 - Perform unclustering to identify small fat-jets with R=0.5 to R=1.5 (in steps of 0.1) and run HEPTopTagger on each of them
 - Calculate: R_{min} = Smallest cone size for which the mass differs by less than 20% from the mass at R=1.5
 - Get: R_{opt, calc} (p_T). Result of fitting R_{opt} as function of p_T for signal jets
 - Output observables:
 - Top candidate mass: m(R=R_{opt})
 - W / top mass ratio: f_W(R=R_{opt})
 - R_{opt} difference: R_{opt} R_{opt, calc} (p_T)

Resonance Searches with an Updated Top Tagger GK, T Plehn, T Schell, T Strebler, GP. Salam JHEP 1506 203



IS Conway, R Bhaskar, RD Erbacher, | Pilot, Identification of High-Momentum Top Quarks, Higgs Bosons, and W and Z Bosons Using Boosted Event Shapes, **PRD 94**



Rise of the (tagging) machines

 Use some representation of a jet (image, list of constituents,..) to train a deep neural network classifier on MC



 Powerful improvement of tagging performance. But will it help ttH/tHq?





Deep-learning Top Taggers or The End of QCD? GK, Tilman Plehn, Michael Russell, Torben Schell JHEP 05 (2017) 006 Deep learning in color: towards automated quark/gluon jet

discrimination

PT Komiske, EM Metodiev, MD Schwartz JHEP 01 (2017) 110

Jet-Images: Computer Vision Inspired Techniques for Jet Tagging J Cogan, M Kagan, E Strauss, A Schwartzman

arXiv:1407.5675

Jet-Images – Deep Learning Edition

Ld Oliveira, M Kagan, L Mackey, B Nachman, A Schwartzman JHEP 1607 069

Quark and gluon tagging with Jet Images in ATLAS, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-017



Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks with jet constituents for boosted top tagging at the LHC S Egan, W Fedorko, A Lister, J Pearkes, C Gay arXiv: 1711.09059

QCD-Aware Recursive Neural Networks for Jet Physics G Louppe, K Cho, C Becot, K Cranmer arXiv:1702.00748

Other



Neural Message Passing for Jet Physics I Henrion et al Procs. of the Deep Learning for Physical Sciences Workshop at NIPS (2017) Deep-learning Top Taggers & No End to QCD A Butter, GK, T Plehn, M Russell 1707.08966

14

Studies by ATLAS & CMS

ATLAS-CONF-2017-064 CMS DP 2017-049

Observable	Variable	Used For	Reference
Jet mass	m ^{comb}	top,W	[35]
Energy Correlation Ratios	ECF_1, ECF_2, ECF_3	top,W	[41, 42]
	C_{2}, D_{2}		
N-subjettiness	$ au_1, au_2, au_3$	top,W	[43, 44]
	$ au_{21}, au_{32}$		
Center of Mass Observables	Fox Wolfram $(R_2^{\rm FW})$	W	[45]
Splitting Measures	Z _{CUT}	W	[46]
	$\sqrt{d_{12}}, \sqrt{d_{23}}$	top,W	[47]
Planar Flow	\mathcal{P}	W	[48]
Angularity	<i>a</i> ₃	W	[49]
Aplanarity	A	W	[50]
KtDR	KtDR	W	[51]
Qw	Q_w	top	[46]



(DNN=fully connected)



Removing Correlations



No Labels



Weakly Supervised Classification i LM Dery, B Nachman, F Rubbo, M Learning to Classify from Impure : PT Komiske, EM Metodiev, B N Classification without labels: Learn physics, EM Metodiev, B Nachma Distinguishing mixed samples is Distinguishing mixed samples is equivalent to signal/background $0.9 \begin{bmatrix} N_{train} = 10000 \\ S \sim N(\mu_{s},\sigma_{s}), B \sim N(\mu_{B},\sigma_{B}), \mu_{s} = 5, \mu_{B} = 10, \sigma_{s} = 5, \sigma_{B} = 5 \end{bmatrix}$

Application

ttH - Fully Hadronic



- per-jet quark/gluon discrimination
 - Track multiplicity
 - pT distribution of constituents
 - Spatial profile

Event based likelihood

 $L(N_{\mathbf{q}}, N_{\mathbf{g}}) = \sum_{\text{perm}} \left(\prod_{k=i_1}^{i_{N_{\mathbf{q}}}} f_{\mathbf{q}}(\zeta_k) \prod_{m=i_{N_{\mathbf{q}}+1}}^{i_{N_{\mathbf{q}}+N_{\mathbf{g}}}} f_{\mathbf{g}}(\zeta_m) \right)$

ttH - with Leptons (ATLAS)

Boosted

Start with standard jets:

arXiv: 1712.08895

- topoclusters, R=0.4
- pT > 25 GeV, |eta| < 2.5, jet vertex tag (JVT)
- b-tag using MV2c10
- Boosted reconstruction:
 - Re-cluster **jets** with Anti-Kt R=1.0
 - Remove if mass < 50 GeV
 - Look for Higgs candidates
 - pT > 200 GeV, at least 2 two b-jets
 - Tie breaker: Choose highest sum of b-tag scores
 - Look for top candidates in remaining jets
 - pT > 250 GeV, exactly one b-tagged jet, at least one non-tagged
 - Tie breaker: Choose highest mass

- Boosted category:
 - Single lepton
 - (at least) one Higgs & one Top
 - one additional b (outside Higgs/Top)



Classification

Variable	Definition		
Variables from jet reclustering			
$\Delta R_{H,t}$	ΔR between the Higgs-boson and top-quark candidates		
$\Delta R_{t,b^{\mathrm{add}}}$	ΔR between the top-quark candidate and additional <i>b</i> -jet		
$\Delta R_{H,b^{ m add}}$	ΔR between the Higgs-boson candidate and additional <i>b</i> -jet		
$\Delta R_{H,\ell}$	ΔR between the Higgs-boson candidate and lepton		
m _{Higgs} candidate	Higgs-boson candidate mass		
$\sqrt{d_{12}}$	Top-quark candidate first splitting scale [100]		
Variables from <i>b</i> -tagging			
W _{b-tag}	Sum of <i>b</i> -tagging discriminants of all <i>b</i> -jets		
$w_{b-\mathrm{tag}}^{\mathrm{add}}/w_{b-\mathrm{tag}}$	Ratio of sum of <i>b</i> -tagging discriminants of additional <i>b</i> -jets to all <i>b</i> -jets		





BDT



CMS/ATLAS contrast

- ATLAS:
 - Building AK10 Top/Higgs candidates out of AK4 jets
- CMS
 - separate clustering into CAI5

- Reclustering allows *re-cycling* jet energy corrections, simplify analysis
- Potentially higher reach of separate clustering for very boosted events

Summary and Conclusions

- Boosted jet substructure methods have become a *default* analysis tool
- Also used (sparingly) in Higgs/Top analyses
 - Will become more important for differential boosted measurements
- Interplay with deep learning progress

Thank you!