# Requirements for longitudinal HOM damping in FCC-hh

Ivan Karpov and Elena Shaposhnikova Acknowledgements:

Rama Calaga, Erk Jensen, and James A. Mitchell

## Why damping of HOM is needed?

The FCC-hh is high-current machine with 10400 circulating bunches

→ Interaction of beam with high-order modes (HOM) can result in longitudinal coupled-bunch instability (CBI)

Unlike electron synchrotrons with strong synchrotron radiation, in FCC-hh we have to rely on Landau damping

How to evaluate the threshold? It can be obtained

- $\rightarrow$  from particle tracking simulations (very difficult for FCC-hh)
- $\rightarrow$  using semi analytical methods

### Method of threshold diagrams

Dispersion relation obtained from Vlasov equation with assumptions (A. N. Lebedev 1968):



 $\rightarrow$  There is a unique diagram for given resonant frequency  $f_{\rm r}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  In practice, it is difficult to use diagrams for threshold evaluation

3

#### Approximate threshold

Additional assumptions:

- Single RF system
- Short bunches with binomial distribution

Synchrotron frequency spread 
$$\frac{\Delta\omega_s}{\omega_{s0}} = \frac{\omega_{RF}^2}{64} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3}\tan^2\phi_{s0}\right)\tau_b^2$$
Line density  $\lambda(\tau) \propto \left[1 - \left(\frac{2\tau}{\tau_b}\right)^2\right]^{\mu+1/2}$   $\tau_{FWHM} = \tau_b \sqrt{1 - 2^{\frac{2}{2\mu+1}}}$ 
Threshold shunt impedance  $R_{sh} < \frac{t_{bb}\omega_{RF}\tau_b V_{RF} \left|\cos\phi_{s0}\right|}{4eN_p} \frac{\Delta\omega_s}{\omega_{s0}} G_{\mu}(f_r\tau_b)$ 
 $G_{\mu}(x) = \frac{x}{\mu(\mu+1)} \min_{y \in [0,1]} [(1 - y^2)^{\mu-1}J_1^2(\pi x y)]^{-1}$ 

 $\rightarrow$   $R_{sh}$  depends on RF voltage, bunch length, and synchronous phase for constant intensity  $_4$ 



#### Parameters during cycle



 $\rightarrow$  Threshold of the loss of Landau damping is higher then longitudinal impedance budget

 $\rightarrow$  Obtained parameters are used for longitudinal CBI threshold calculations

#### Results at 50 TeV



For the same  $\tau_{\rm FWHM}$ :

- $\rightarrow$  The lowest  $R_{\rm sh}$  is for  $\mu = 1$
- $\rightarrow$  Thresholds are similar for  $\mu>1$

#### Threshold during cycle

Obtained from  $\tau_{\rm FWHM}$  bunch length for  $\mu = 1$ 



 $\rightarrow$  The lowest value at flat bottom

### HOMs in FCC-hh impedance model

Worst case scenario:  $f_r$  is the same in all cavities



 $\rightarrow$  Damping of HOMs has to be revisited for Wide Opened Waveguide crab cavities

### Sacherer formalism

Solution of dispersion relation is split in two parts (Sacherer 1973):

- Calculation of complex coherent frequency shift neglecting synchrotron frequency spread
- Removing dependence on  $f_{\rm r}$  from stability diagram using Taylor expansion



 $\rightarrow$  Sacherer approach underestimates threshold at higher frequencies

 $\rightarrow$  The minimum of thresholds are similar for small  $\mu$ 

## Summary

- The longitudinal coupled-bunch instability thresholds were evaluated for the FCC-hh cycle, which is optimised for longitudinal single-bunch stability.
- For the considered family of the binomial particle distributions, bunches with different  $\mu$  (except  $\mu = 1$ ) but the same FWHM bunch length have similar threshold shunt impedances.
- To prevent longitudinal CBI in FCC-hh due to HOMs of WOW crab cavities further damping is required.

### Thank you for your attention!