
CERN

Collimation system performance

FCC week 2019

J. Molson, A. Abramov, R. Bruce, A. Mereghetti, S. Redaelli, M. Serluca

Introduction

Collimation at injection

Collimation at collision

Extraction kicker pre-fires

Conclusions

Introduction

- The collimation system design must be tested to ensure it performs as expected during the operation of the FCC-hh.
- This can only be done via simulations of beam losses.
- A selection of simulation results will be shown.

Simulation tools and configuration

- All simulations have been performed with the SixTrack-FLUKA coupling.
- The latest versions of each code have been used including all bug fixes.
- 100 million particles, 400 turns per simulation.
- A 30% rigidity cut from the reference is used for returning protons from FLUKA.
- Track from IPA and vary the beam halo distribution.
- Will show the standard cleaning inefficiency.

Collimation at injection

Injection configuration

- 3.3 TeV beam energy
- 4.6m β^* at each IP.
- No crossing at each IP.
- 16MV RF.
- For the off-energy simulation, an offset of -5.1975 GeV was used for all generated particles.
- 7.570001σ amplitude was used for the horizontal beam halo.

Horizontal betatron halo



Horizontal betatron halo



Off-momentum halo



Off-momentum halo



Injection summary

- Cleaning at injection is acceptable.
- Extra TCLA/TCLD collimators perform well in the energy collimation insertion.
- The higher margin to quench at injection gives more headroom.
- Potentially the start of the ramp might need to be slightly slower than in the LHC due to losses from un-captured beam in the energy collimation.

Collimation at collision

Collision configuration

- 50 TeV beam energy.
- 0.3m β^* at each high luminosity IP.
- Crossing on at each IP ($100\mu rad$), with beams colliding.
- 32MV RF.
- 7.570001σ amplitude was used for the horizontal beam halo.
- Assuming a maximum loss limit in cold regions of 6.6×10^{-7}.

Horizontal betatron halo



Horizontal betatron halo



Skew halo


Collimator loss
Warm loss
Cold loss
Dipole
Quadrupole
Collimator
Cleaning ineffiency (1/m)
10
1
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}
10^{-4}
10^{-5}
10^{-6}
10^{-7}
10^{-8}
$\times 10^3$
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
s (m)

Collision summary

- Cleaning at top energy in the collision configuration is good.
- One potential worry are skew losses with the removal of the skew TCP collimator.
- Potentially the beam lifetime limit for skew losses will need to be changed, but strong skew losses have not been observed at the LHC.

New vertical extraction

- A new extraction system now is in lattice V10 (thanks to W. Bartmann, E. Renner), consisting of 150 segmented kicker magnets in the vertical plane instead of 300 in the horizontal.
- One possible failure is the pre-firing of 1 or more extraction kickers resulting in the beam potentially impacting the machine aperture.
- If this takes place the beam should be safely extracted at the next free abort gap.
- A safe limit of 1 bunch impacting a collimator is assumed. How many kickers can fire?

1 kicker pre-fire



3 kickers pre-fire



4 kickers pre-fire



5 kickers pre-fire



Extraction kicker pre-fire summary

- With the new layout and assuming nominal beam parameters, up to 4 kickers can safely pre-fire without damage to the machine.
- When taking into account jaw errors, the 4 kicker pre-fire just passes the safe limit of 1×10^{11} particles, giving a more realistic safe limit of 3 kickers.
- This limit is highly dependent on the phase advance between the extraction kickers and the collimation insertion. If phase advances change, then these simulations will need to be re-run.

Conclusions

- The system performance is currently good and within the required limits.
- Collsion debris absorbers (TCLs) and masks must still be added to the lattice.
- Further enhancements and optimisation can be made - This includes a new placement for the skew TCP collimator, new simulations with errors and misalignments, and looking at new collimation system layouts and methods (e.g crystals, e-lenses, etc).


CERN

Collimator settings

Collimator	Material	Number	Injection ($n\sigma$)	Collision ($n\sigma$)
β TCP	CFC	2	7.6	7.6
β TCSG	CFC/MoGr	11	8.8	8.8
β TCLA	W	5	12.6	12.6
β TCLD	W	3	21.0	35.1
δ TCP	CFC	1	10.8	18.7
δ TCSG	MoGr	4	13.0	21.7
δ TCLA	W	5	14.4	24.1
δ TCLD	W	4	21.0	35.1
TCT	W	12	14.0	10.5
experimental TCLD	W	8	21.0	35.1
TCDQ	CFC	1	9.8	9.8
extraction TCLA	W	2	11.8	11.8
extraction TCLD	W	1	21.0	35.1

Betatron collimation optics



Figure: The optics in the betatron collimation insertion - (IRJ) at injection configuration.



Figure: The optics in the betatron collimation insertion (IRJ) at collision configuration.

Energy collimation optics



Figure: The optics in the energy collimation insertion - IRF at injection configuration.



Figure: The optics in the energy collimation insertion (IRF) at collision configuration.

1 kicker pre-fire



3 kickers pre-fire



4 kickers pre-fire



5 kickers pre-fire



4 Extraction kickers with errors



Changes since last FCC week

- All simulations have been performed with the latest lattice V10 and the up to date TCDQ model, and added collimators.
- Extra TCLD collimators have been added in each collimation insertion.
- Extra TCLA type collimators have been added at the end of the extraction straight section.
- The dispersion has been increased in the energy collimation region.
- Removal of the skew TCP.