The new SI and fundamental constants

Peter J. Mohr

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8420

The International System of Units (SI) is expected to undergo a revolutionary change on May 20, 2019. In October 2017, the International Committee on Weights and Measures met at the International Bureau of Weights and Measures near Paris and recommended a new definition of the SI such that a particular set of constants would have certain values when expressed in the new SI units. In particular, the new SI would be defined by the statement:

The International System of Units, the SI, is the system of units in which

- the unperturbed ground state hyperfine splitting frequency of the caesium 133 atom ν_{Cs} is 9 192 631 770 Hz,
- the speed of light in vacuum c is 299 792 458 m/s,
- the Planck constant h is 6.626 070 15 \times 10⁻³⁴ J/Hz,
- the elementary charge e is 1.602 176 634 \times 10⁻¹⁹ C,
- the Boltzmann constant k is 1.380 649 \times 10⁻²³ J/K,
- the Avogadro constant N_A is 6.022 140 76 \times 10²³ mol⁻¹,
- the luminous efficacy K_{cd} of monochromatic radiation of frequency 540 × 10¹² hertz is 683 lm/W.

Metrologia 55 (2018) L13–L16 https://doi.org/10.1088/1681-7575/aa950a Short Communication

The CODATA 2017 values of h, e, k, and N_A for the revision of the SI

D B Newell¹, F Cabiati, J Fischer, K Fujii, S G Karshenboim, H S Margolis, E de Mirandés, P J Mohr, F Nez, K Pachucki, T J Quinn, B N Taylor, M Wang, B M Wood and Z Zhang

Committee on Data for Science and Technology (CODATA) Task Group on Fundamental Constants

... The redefinition is still on track. The next event, which is hopefully only proforma, is a vote at the General Conference on Weights and Measures in November in Versailles, France, in which the 50 something countries agree to endorse the changes.

After that, the changes are expected to become official on May 20, 2019. We are going to do the 2018 LSA for the constants using the new SI unit definitions, which as you know will change the constants significantly. We expect to get the new set of constants out at about the same time as the redefinition becomes official.

Best regards, Peter (e-mail, 9/18/2018) **A couple of comments**: Quantities with a mass, such as the Bohr radius, the Rydberg energy, and the Bohr magneton (with $k \equiv 1/4\pi\epsilon_0$),

$$a_{\infty}=rac{\hbar^2}{m_e k e^2}, \qquad E_R=rac{m_e k^2 e^4}{2\hbar^2}, \qquad \mu_B=rac{e\hbar}{2m_e},$$

will still come with experimental errors. The fine-structure constant,

$$lpha = rac{ke^2}{\hbar c},$$

will come with an error too, because now ϵ_0 and μ_0 will now float, subject to the constraint $\epsilon_0\mu_0=1/c^2$.

Constants 3, Wohl

1. Physical Constants

Table 1.1. Reviewed 2015 by P.J. Mohr and D.B. Newell (NIST). Mainly from the "CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants: 2014" by P.J. Mohr, D.B. Newell, and B.N. Taylor in arXiv:1507.07956 (2015) and RMP (to be submitted). The last set of constants (beginning with the Fermi coupling constant) comes from the Particle Data Group and is the only set updated for this 2018 edition. The figures in parentheses after the values give the 1-standard-deviation uncertainties in the last digits; the corresponding fractional uncertainties in parts per 109 (ppb) are given in the last column. This set of constants (aside from the last group) is recommended for international use by CODATA (the Committee on Data for Science and Technology). The full 2014 CODATA set of constants may be found at http://physics.nist.gov/constants. See also P.J. Mohr and D.B. Newell, "Resource Letter FC-1: The Physics of Fundamental Constants," Am. J. Phys. 78, 338 (2010).

Quantity	Symbol, equation	Value	Uncertainty (ppb
speed of light in vacuum Planck constant Planck constant, reduced	$\begin{array}{l} c \\ h \\ h \equiv h/2\pi \end{array}$	299 792 458 m s ⁻¹ $6.626\ 070\ 040(81)\times10^{-34}\ J\ s$ $1.054\ 571\ 800(13)\times10^{-34}\ J\ s$ $=6.582\ 119\ 514(40)\times10^{-22}\ MeV\ s$	exact 1 1 6.
electron charge magnitude conversion constant conversion constant	$\frac{e}{\hbar c}$ $(\hbar c)^2$	$1.602\ 176\ 6208(98) \times 10^{-19}\ C = 4.803\ 204\ 673(30197.326\ 9788(12)\ MeV\ fm$ $0.389\ 379\ 3656(48)\ GeV^2\ mbarn$))×10 ⁻¹⁰ esu 6.1, 6. 6. 1
electron mass proton mass deuteron mass	m_e m_p m_d	$0.510 998 9461(31) \text{ MeV}/c^2 = 9.109 383 56(11) \times 938.272 0813(58) \text{ MeV}/c^2 = 1.672 621 898(21) \times = 1.007 276 466 879(91) u = 1836.152 673 89(11875.612 928(12) \text{ MeV}/c^2$	10 ⁻²⁷ kg 6.2, 1
unified atomic mass unit (u)	$(\text{mass}\ ^{12}\text{C}\ \text{atom})/12 = (1\ \text{g})/(N_A\ \text{mol})$		
permittivity of free space permeability of free space	$\epsilon_0 = 1/\mu_0 c^2$ μ_0	$8.854\ 187\ 817\ \dots \times 10^{-12}\ F\ m^{-1}$ $4\pi \times 10^{-7}\ N\ A^{-2} = 12.566\ 370\ 614\ \dots \times 10^{-7}\ N$	N A ⁻² exac
fine-structure constant	$\alpha = e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c$	7.297 352 5664(17)×10 ⁻³ = 1/137.035 999 139(3	
classical electron radius $(e^- \text{ Compton wavelength})/2\pi$ Bohr radius $(m_{\text{nucleus}} = \infty)$ wavelength of 1 eV/c particle Rydberg energy Thomson cross section	$\begin{split} &r_e = e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0 m_e c^2 \\ &\lambda_e = \hbar/m_e c = r_e \alpha^{-1} \\ &a_\infty = 4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2/m_e e^2 = r_e \alpha^{-2} \\ &hc/(1 \text{ eV}) \\ &hcR_\infty = m_e e^4/2 (4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 \hbar^2 = m_e c^2 \alpha^2/2 \\ &\sigma_T = 8\pi r_e^2/3 \end{split}$	2.817 940 3227(19)×10 ⁻¹⁵ m 3.861 592 6764(18)×10 ⁻¹³ m 0.529 177 210 67(12)×10 ⁻¹⁰ m 1.239 841 9739(76)×10 ⁻⁶ m 13.605 693 009(84) eV 0.665 245 871 58(91) barn	0.6 0.4 0.2 6. 6.
Bohr magneton nuclear magneton	$\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e$ $\mu_N = e\hbar/2m_p$	5.788 381 8012(26)×10 ⁻¹¹ MeV T ⁻¹ 3.152 451 2550(15)×10 ⁻¹⁴ MeV T ⁻¹	0.4 0.4
electron cyclotron freq./field	$\omega_{\text{cycl}}^e/B = e/m_e$	1.758 820 024(11)×10 ¹¹ rad s ⁻¹ T ⁻¹	6.
proton cyclotron freq./field	$\omega_{\text{cycl}}^{pl}/B = e/m_p$	9.578 833 226(59)×10 ⁷ rad s ⁻¹ T ⁻¹	6.
gravitational constant [‡]	G_N	$6.674 \ 08(31) \times 10^{-11} \ \text{m}^3 \ \text{kg}^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-2}$ = $6.708 \ 61(31) \times 10^{-39} \ \hbar c \ (\text{GeV}/c^2)^{-2}$	4.7×10 4.7×10
standard gravitational accel.	g_N	9.806 65 m s ⁻²	exa
Avogadro constant Boltzmann constant molar volume, ideal gas at STP Wien displacement law constant Stefan-Boltzmann constant	N_A k $N_A k (273.15 \text{ K})/(101 325 \text{ Pa})$ $b = \lambda_{\text{max}} T$ $\sigma = \pi^2 k^4 / 60 \hbar^3 c^2$	$6.022\ 140\ 857(74) \times 10^{23}\ mol^{-1}$ $1.380\ 648\ 52(79) \times 10^{-23}\ J\ K^{-1}$ $= 8.617\ 3303(50) \times 10^{-5}\ eV\ K^{-1}$ $22.413\ 962(13) \times 10^{-3}\ m^3\ mol^{-1}$ $2.897\ 7729(17) \times 10^{-3}\ m\ K$ $5.670\ 367(13) \times 10^{-8}\ W\ m^{-2}\ K^{-4}$	1 57 57 57 57 230
Fermi coupling constant**	$G_F/(\hbar c)^3$	1.166 378 7(6)×10 ⁻⁵ GeV ⁻²	51
weak-mixing angle W^{\pm} boson mass Z^0 boson mass strong coupling constant	$\sin^2 \hat{\theta}(M_Z)$ (MS) m_W m_Z $\alpha_s(m_Z)$	$0.231\ 22(4)^{\dagger\dagger}$ $80.379(12)\ \text{GeV}/c^2$ $91.1876(21)\ \text{GeV}/c^2$ 0.1181(11)	1.7×10 1.5×10 2.3×10 9.3×10
$\pi = 3.141\ 592\ 653\ 5$			
1 in $\equiv 0.0254$ m 1 G $\equiv 10$ 1 Å $\equiv 0.1$ nm 1 dyne $\equiv 10$ 1 barn $\equiv 10^{-28}$ m ² 1 erg $\equiv 10$		76 $6208(98) \times 10^{-19}$ J kT at 300 K = [38, 61 $907(11) \times 10^{-36}$ kg 0 °C = 273 1 atmosphere = 760 Torr = 101	

^{*} The meter is the length of the path traveled by light in vacuum during a time interval of 1/299 792 458 of a second.
† At $Q^2=0$. At $Q^2\approx m_W^2$ the value is $\sim 1/128$.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Absolute lab measurements of G_N have been made only on scales of about 1 cm to 1 m.

^{**} See the discussion in Sec. 10, "Electroweak model and constraints on new physics."

^{††} The corresponding $\sin^2 \theta$ for the effective angle is 0.23155(4).

What does it mean for the Review?

We get values of masses and magnetic moments of the electron, muon, proton, and neutron, straight from the latest CODATA publication, so could expect some small changes.

Otherwise, I don't see how this will affect any of the particle properties. Perhaps it is of concern in a review or two.

Of course, it represents a big change in how we think about what the constants **are**, just as the definition of c as a fixed number did 35 years ago.