

Steps toward an LHC tune

with contributions from

- Johannes Bellm (Herwig)
- Johannes Bellm, Leif Lonnblad, Steve Mrenna (PYTHIA)
- Marek Schoenherr (Sherpa)
- Efe Yazgan (CMS)

Theory comparison: LHC tune

- Common LHC tune for different NLO MC generators: ***LHC tune***
 - Goal: provide a common benchmark tune for theory calculations
 - select data for the tune
 - select parameters to tune
 - common PDF
 - obtain PS, hadronization etc uncertainties
 - validate tune with measurements from 7 – 13 TeV
- Time scale
 - first results during summer 2018
 - to be used for theory comparison

The problem

- comparison with theory predictions is tricky:
 - different tunes: affect multi-jet final states, hadronization, UE
 - α_s - settings, PDF, fragmentation etc
 - different pdfs: affect hard scattering x-section
- conclusion of theory comparison is often not conclusive
- need for benchmark predictions
- need for common, general, realistic and accepted parameter setting for MC generators, applicable for LO generators but also for matched merged higher order generators.

The goal:

- develop MC tunes which are
 - general:
 - applicable to all processes (no process specific tune)
 - only one tune per generator
 - provide a reasonable (maybe not the best) description
 - accepted by MC authors and experiments
 - universal
 - applicable to measurements of all (LHC) experiments
 - but also to other measurements at LEP, Tevatron, HERA ?
 - unique
 - make use of the same datasets (benchmark data) to tune relevant parameters
 - additional data might be used for different generators
 - have a comparable goodness-of-fit definition for benchmark data

Summary of discussion

- Discussion started at [Meeting at June 20 2018](#)
- SHERPA (M. Schoenherr)
 - comes with exactly one tune
 - tune hadronization parameters to LEP data
 - tune intrinsic transverse momentum parameters to LHC DY data
 - tune multiple interaction and beam remnant parameters to LHC data
- HERWIG (J. Bellm)
 - Light particles and hadronization (LEP).
 - Bottom and Charm to LEP with enhanced weights for sensitive observables (LEP).
 - Tune underlying event parameters and intrinsic pT separately (Tevatron & LHC).
 - Professor weights (how much weight is given to different distributions)
 - usually 1.0 for considered differential distributions 10 for considered PDG multiplicities
 - 50 for one of the n-charged multiplicities.

Summary of discussion (cont'd)

- CMS – tunes on UE (E. Yazgan)
 - based on Monash PYTHIA tune
 - systematic investigation of PDF dependence (make use of NLO, NNLO pdfs with corresponding α_s)
 - tuned to min-bias and UE, validated against high scale measurements
- new approach to AutoTune (J. Bellm)
 - Tuning is a very physicist dependent procedure:
 - What data to tune to?
 - knowledge of data (Do we know some data is not „good“?)
 - Some data in rivet is same data (e.g. differential and integrated, updates...)
 - If many groups at LEP measure the same observable, does this enhance the weight in the next tune? (Only use one b-frag?)
 - Correlations in the model?
 - knowledge of model (Should be given... but e.g. influence of 2->3 jet rate on b-fragmentation?)

Next steps:

- create/provide a list of measurements which should be used for tuning:
 - hadronization from LEP
 - what about heavy flavor measurements at LHC etc ?
 - what about colored/uncolored initial state effects ?
- UE/minbias from LHC and Tevatron at all energies (perhaps except lowest energy at 300 GeV)
- intrinsic kt distributions: low energy DY or DY at LHC/Tevatron ?
- parton shower parameters (lower scale cutoff)
 - final state from LEP
 - initial state ?
 - interference/color connections between initial/final state and interleaved MPI ?

Next steps:

- provide a common approach how to weight different measurements
- clarify goodness of fit: χ^2
- obtain and validate tune
 - provide proper documentation of the whole procedure
 - reproducibility of tune:
 - storage of all relevant information and software

Time scale

- Major effort of MC authors and gen-experts from experiments
- will be the first common general effort to make theory comparisons unified and uniform
- Goal
 - define benchmark measurements until September
 - perform tunes until November
 - validation until end of 2018

Appendix
