



# Triggers for HH->bbττ

1824

Agni Bethani, University of Manchester On behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations

Double Higgs production at colliders workshop 4-9 September 2018



for this final state.

In this talk emphasis on the  $\tau_{had}$ 

Lower efficiency than lepton and  $\tau_{had}$  triggers

#### Triggers based on the tau decay final state

- single  $\tau_{had}$
- double  $\tau_{had}$
- single  $e/\mu$
- $e/\mu + \tau_{had}$
- double e or  $\mu$
- e+μ

#### Trigger system ATLAS

- Two-level trigger architecture.
- Level 1 trigger (L1)
  - 40 MHz → 100 kHz rate reduction
  - Fast electronics find regions of interest using calorimeter and muon data
  - L1Topo: Allows for topological selections between L1 trigger objects (e.g. ΔR) to keep L1 thresholds low
- High level trigger (HLT)
  - Fast offline-like algorithms
  - ~1 kHz output rate achieved



# Agni Bethani, Triggers for bbtt

#### $\tau_{had}$ triggers ATLAS

- The τ-jet triggers identify and select events with hadronic decays of the τ leptons
- L1 uses the information about the energy deposits in the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeter to identify L1 τ<sub>had</sub>
- The L1 τ<sub>had</sub> candidate is passed on to the next trigger level
- The HLT uses reconstructed tracks from the the inner detector to distinguish between QCD jets and a  $\tau_{had}$





#### Trigger system CMS

- Level 1 Trigger (L1) firmware based
  - 40 MHz ->100 kHz
  - L1 receives the information coming from the calorimeters and the muon chambers.
  - A decision is taken based on the presence of energy deposits compatible with physics objects such as photons, electrons, muons, jets or hadronically decaying tau leptons (shown on the right)
- software-based High Level Trigger (HLT)
  - reduces the rate to 1kHz
  - a streamlined version of the CMS offline reconstruction software





- L1 trigger objects use calorimeter information
- Next step consists of a track-based isolation applied on the τ<sub>had</sub> candidates.
  - Pixel tracks are reconstructed and those coming from the same primary vertex as the τ<sub>had</sub> candidate are selected.
- Last step uses the particleflow algorithm to build τ<sub>had</sub> candidates
  - information from all major subdetectors.



#### $\tau_{had}$ triggers challenges

- Rate driven by L1 due to misidentification of jets (which have a very high rate)
- Only calorimeter information available at L1 identification (jet isolation and shape)
- ATLAS makes requirements on additional jets at L1 to reduce rate further.
- ATLAS uses tracking information provided in the HLT that allow for offline-like reconstruction and identification.
- CMS rely on track-based isolation to reduce the rate
- CMS applies Particle Flow reconstruction

## $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}\,ATLAS$

- Single τ<sub>had</sub> trigger : p<sub>T</sub>>80 to
  p<sub>T</sub>>160 GeV
  - lowest unprescaled single τ<sub>had</sub> trigger
  - additional ID criteria
  - threshold depends on run
- Two τ<sub>had</sub> trigger : p<sub>T</sub>>25 GeV and p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV respectively
  - In 2016 instantaneous luminosity increased
  - additional requirement of a L1 jet p<sub>T</sub>>25 GeV (L1\_J25)
- In both cases τ<sub>had</sub> candidates satisfy reconstruction criteria
- Only events that don't pass the single τ<sub>had</sub> trigger are considered for the two τ<sub>had</sub> trigger
- Leading jet p<sub>T</sub> > 80 GeV for triggers requiring L1\_J25 in order to stay on plateau



#### Offline cuts on $\tau_{had}$ :

for single  $\tau_{had}$  trigger +20 GeV on online  $p_T$  cut. for two  $\tau_{had}$  trigger +5GeV on online cut

#### $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}\,ATLAS$

2018 thresholds

- Single τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>160 GeV
  - lowest unprescaled single  $\tau_{had}$  trigger already since 2016
- Di-τ<sub>had</sub> triggers: Previous thresholds can sustain the rate
- Studying several options
  - p<sub>T</sub>>80 + p<sub>T</sub>>60 GeV
  - p<sub>T</sub>> 40 + p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV + L1J25 (requires p<sub>T</sub>>80 GeV cut offline on lead jet pT)
  - p<sub>T</sub>> 35 + p<sub>T</sub>> 25 GeV + 2L1J12 (requires p<sub>T</sub>>40-45 GeV cut offline on leading and subleading jet pT)



Agni Bethani, Triggers for bbtt



- Di-τ<sub>had</sub> triggers only used in analysis
- $p_T > 35$  GeV for both  $\tau_{had}$
- Apply p<sub>T</sub>> 45 GeV offline
- Efficiency of one "leg" of the τ<sub>had</sub> shown



#### $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}\,CMS$

- 2018 thresholds
  - p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV+ isolation for both τ<sub>had</sub>
  - $p_T > 40$  GeV+looser isolation for both  $\tau_{had}$
- Hadron Plus Strips (HPS) τ<sub>had</sub> reconstruction algorithm
  - used for offline analysis
  - June 2018  $\tau_{had}$  trigger paths switched HPS reconstruction
  - The cone-based  $\tau_{had}$  algorithm uses a signal cone ranging from  $\Delta R = 0.08$  to 0.12 which contains all  $\tau$  decay products

with the HPS the isolation can be even looser

 $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$  CMS

- The HPS τ<sub>had</sub>:
- Charged hadrons and photons within the signal cone combined
- Combinations ranked based on their consistency with a τ<sub>had</sub> decay.
- The highest ranked combination is selected as the reconstructed τ<sub>had</sub> candidate.



#### $\tau_{had}$ trigger rates

- L1 CMS: ~3 kHz
- HLT two  $\tau_{had}$  trigger CMS: 2 x  $\tau_{had}$  p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV rate:50 Hz (59Hz in 2018)
- L1 ATLAS: ~5 kHz
- HLT two τ<sub>had</sub> trigger ATLAS: τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV and τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV rate: ~ 25 Hz



# $\tau_{had}$ trigger rates

- L1 CMS: ~3 kHz
- HLT two τ<sub>had</sub> trigger CMS: 2 x τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV rate:50 Hz (59Hz in 2018)
- L1 ATLAS: ~5 kHz
- HLT two τ<sub>had</sub> trigger ATLAS: τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>35 GeV and τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>25 GeV rate: ~ 25 Hz



## $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{had}$ and $\tau_{e}\tau_{had}$ ATLAS

- Single lepton trigger: p<sub>T</sub>>24-26 GeV
  - lowest unprescaled single lepton trigger
  - additional reconstruction requirements and isolation requirements
- Lepton+  $\tau_{had}$  trigger:
  - mu p<sub>T</sub>>14 GeV
  - e p<sub>T</sub>>17 GeV
  - τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>25 GeV
  - additional L1\_J25 from 2016 onwards
- The single lepton trigger and and the lepton + τ<sub>had</sub> trigger are used in mutually exclusive regions, defined by offline p<sub>T</sub> selection
- Leading jet p<sub>T</sub> > 80 GeV when requiring L1\_J25 in order to stay on plateau



#### Offline cuts on $p_T$ :

for e and  $\mu$  +1GeV over the online threshold

for  $\tau_{had}$  +5 GeV over online threshold (30 GeV)

#### $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{had}$ and $\tau_{e}\tau_{had}$ ATLAS

- 2018 thresholds single lepton trigger e and μ p<sub>T</sub>>26GeV
  - lowest unprescaled single lepton triggers since 2016
- 2018 thresholds LTT unchanged
  - mu p<sub>T</sub>>14 GeV
  - e p<sub>T</sub>>17 GeV
  - τ<sub>had</sub> p<sub>T</sub>>25 GeV
- Lepton+ τ<sub>had</sub> trigger: L1J25 requirement will (?) replaced with 2J12



## $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{had}$ and $\tau_{e}\tau_{had}$ CMS

- Single lepton trigger
- $p_T$ >21 GeV for  $\mu$
- $p_T$ >27 GeV for e
- additional isolation requirements





Offline cuts on  $\mu p_T > 23 \text{ GeV}$ and e  $p_T > 27 \text{GeV}$ 

#### $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{had}$ and $\tau_{e}\tau_{had}$ CMS

- Single muon trigger 2018
  p<sub>T</sub>>24 GeV +Isolation and
  p<sub>T</sub>>50 GeV no Isolation
- in May 2018, the muon reconstruction at HLT was updated
  - more seeds for the muon track building ->efficiency
  - more iterative tracking is added to the muon tracking algorithm
  - simple ID on HLT muons
  - improvement in efficiency shown
- HPS for lepton+  $\tau_{had}$  triggers
- $\mu p_T > 20$  GeV and  $\tau p_T > 27$  GeV
- Might replace single lepton triggers



#### $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{e}\text{,}\,\tau_{\mu}\tau_{\mu}$ and $\tau_{e}\tau_{e}$ ATLAS

- Plan to include this channel for end of run2
- single and di-lepton triggers
- Only events that fail single lepton trigger are considered for the di-lepton triggers (Similarly to τ<sub>had</sub>τ<sub>had</sub> channel)
- Dilepton triggers
  - 2 x e pt>17GeV
  - e p<sub>T</sub>>17 GeV and μ p<sub>T</sub> >14 GeV
  - 2 x μ p<sub>T</sub> >14 GeV



#### $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{e}\text{,}\,\tau_{\mu}\tau_{\mu}$ and $\tau_{e}\tau_{e}$ CMS

- No plans for this search in CMS (that I know of <sup>(C)</sup>)
- However other searches with similar final state
   A HH > W(W/bb
  - e.g. HH->WWbb
- Overlaps with bbττ?

- Example triggers:
  - e p<sub>T</sub>>23 GeV and e p<sub>T</sub>>12 GeV isolation requirements
  - 2 x e p<sub>T</sub>>33 GeV and e p<sub>T</sub>>12 GeV No isolation requirements

#### Summary

- Trigger systems and performance very similar in both experiments
- Improvements for 2018 in both channels
  - ATLAS: might not require J25 in tau triggers->80 GeV offline for leading jet
  - CMS: HPS algorithm for  $\tau_{had}$  and improved muon ID
- Trigger thresholds similar
  - both experiments apply additional criteria in isolation and reconstruction
- HLT rate higher for CMS
- In HH->bbτ<sub>had</sub>τ<sub>had</sub>
  - ATLAS is using single  $\tau_{had}$  and di- $\tau_{had}$  triggers
  - CMS using di- $\tau_{had}$
- In HH->bbτ<sub>lep</sub>τ<sub>had</sub>
  - ATLAS is using single lepton and lepton plus  $\tau_{had}$  triggers
  - CMS using single lepton triggers
- ATLAS to add HH-> $bb\tau_{lep}\tau_{lep}$  channel
  - single and di-lepton triggers
  - di-lepton triggers thresholds 14-17 GeV