



SYNCHROTRON RADIATION AND ELECTRON DYNAMICS

Lenny Rivkin

Ecole Polythechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL)

and Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Switzerland

CERN Accelerator School: Introduction to Accelerator Physics

September 28, Varna, Bulgaria





SR & Electron Dynamics, L. Rivkin, EPFL & PSI, Varna, Bulgaria, September 2010

Radiation effects in electron storage rings

Average radiated power restored by RF

- Electron loses energy each turn
- RF cavities provide voltage to accelerate electrons back to the nominal energy

Radiation damping

 Average rate of energy loss produces DAMPING of electron oscillations in all three degrees of freedom (if properly arranged!)

Quantum fluctuations

 Statistical fluctuations in energy loss (from quantised emission of radiation) produce RANDOM EXCITATION of these oscillations

Equilibrium distributions

 The balance between the damping and the excitation of the electron oscillations determines the equilibrium distribution of particles in the beam





Radiation is emitted into a narrow cone

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot \theta_{e}$$



SR & Electron Dynamics, L. Rivkin, EPFL & PSI, Varna, Bulgaria, September 2010

Synchrotron radiation power

Power emitted is proportional to:



$$P_{\gamma} = \frac{2}{3}\alpha\hbar c^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho^2}$$



$$\hbar c = 197 \text{ Mev} \cdot \text{fm}$$

$$U_0 = \frac{4\pi}{3} \alpha \hbar c \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho}$$



$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{r_e}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 8.858 \cdot 10^{-5} \left[\frac{\text{m}}{\text{GeV}^3}\right]$$

Energy loss per turn:

$$U_0 = C_{\gamma} \cdot \frac{E^4}{\rho}$$

RADIATION DAMPING

TRANSVERSE OSCILLATIONS

Average energy loss and gain per turn

 Every turn electron radiates small amount of energy

$$E_1 = E_0 - \frac{U_0}{E_0} = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{E_0} \right)$$

 only the amplitude of the momentum changes

$$P_1 = P_0 - \frac{U_0}{c} = P_0 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{E_0}\right)$$



 Energy of betatron oscillation

$$E_{\beta} \propto A^2$$

$$A_1^2 = A_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{E_0} \right)$$
 or $A_1 \cong A_0 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{2E_0} \right)$



Damping of vertical oscillations

But this is just the exponential decay law!

$$\frac{\Delta A}{A} = -\frac{U_0}{2E}$$

$$A = A_{0} \cdot e^{-t/\tau}$$

The oscillations are exponentially damped with the damping time (milliseconds!)



the time it would take particle to 'lose all of its energy'

In terms of radiation power



Adiabatic damping in linear accelerators

In a linear accelerator:

$$x' = \frac{p_{\perp}}{p}$$
 decreases $\propto \frac{1}{E}$

 $t_{p}^{p_{\perp}} \qquad t_{p}^{p_{\perp}}$

In a storage ring beam passes many times through same RF cavity



Clean loss of energy every turn (no change in x')

Every turn is re-accelerated by RF (x' is reduced)

Particle energy on average remains constant

Emittance damping in linacs:



RADIATION DAMPING

LONGITUDINAL OSCILLATIONS

Longitudinal motion: compensating radiation loss U₀

- RF cavity provides accelerating field with frequency
 - h harmonic number

• The energy gain:

$$U_{RF} = eV_{RF}(\tau)$$

- Synchronous particle:
 - has design energy
 - gains from the RF on the average as much as it loses per turn U₀



$$f_{RF} = h \cdot f_0$$



Longitudinal motion: phase stability



Particle ahead of synchronous one

- gets too much energy from the RF
- goes on a longer orbit (not enough B)
 > takes longer to go around
- comes back to the RF cavity closer to synchronous part.
- Particle behind the synchronous one
 - gets too little energy from the RF
 - goes on a shorter orbit (too much B)
 - catches-up with the synchronous particle

Longitudinal motion: energy-time oscillations

energy deviation from the design energy, or the energy of the synchronous particle



longitudinal coordinate measured from the position of the synchronous electron

Longitudinal motion: damping of synchrotron oscillations



During one period of synchrotron oscillation:

when the particle is in the upper half-plane, it loses more energy per turn, its energy gradually reduces



when the particle is in the lower half-plane, it loses less energy per turn, but receives U₀ on the average, so its energy deviation gradually reduces

The synchrotron motion is damped

the phase space trajectory is spiraling towards the origin

Robinson theorem: Damping partition numbers

- Transverse betatron oscillations are damped with
- Synchrotron oscillations are damped twice as fast



 The total amount of damping (Robinson theorem) depends only on energy and loss per turn

$$\frac{1}{\tau_x} + \frac{1}{\tau_y} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{2U_0}{ET_0} = \frac{U_0}{2ET_0} (J_x + J_y + J_{\varepsilon})$$

the sum of the partition numbers

$$J_x + J_z + J_\varepsilon = 4$$

Radiation loss



Displaced off the design orbit particle sees fields that are different from design values

- energy deviation &
 - > different energy:

$$P_\gamma \propto E^2$$

different magnetic field B particle moves on a different orbit, defined by the off-energy or dispersion function D_x

both contribute to linear term in



betatron oscillations: zero on average

Radiation loss



 $\mathbf{U}' \equiv \frac{\mathbf{dU}_{\mathrm{rad}}}{\mathbf{dE}}$

To first order in ε

$$\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{rad}} = \mathbf{U}_{0} + \mathbf{U}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

electron energy changes slowly, at any instant it is moving on an orbit defined by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}$

after some algebra one can write

$$U' = \frac{U_0}{E_0} \left(2 + \mathbf{\mathcal{D}}\right)$$

$$\oint \neq 0$$
 only when $\frac{k}{\rho} \neq 0$

Damping partition numbers

- Typically we build rings with no vertical dispersion $J_z = 1$ $J_x + J_z = 3$
- Horizontal and energy partition numbers can be modified via *D*:

$$J_x = 1 - \mathcal{D}$$

$$J_\varepsilon = 2 + \mathcal{D}$$

- Use of combined function magnets
- Shift the equilibrium orbit in quads with RF frequency

SR & Electron Dynamics, L. Rivkin, EPFL & PSI, Varna, Bulgaria, September 2010

 $J_{x} + J_{z} + J_{z} = 4$

EQUILIBRIUM BEAM SIZES

Quantum nature of synchrotron radiation

Damping only

- If damping was the whole story, the beam emittance (size) would shrink to microscopic dimensions!
- Lots of problems! (e.g. coherent radiation)

Quantum fluctuations

- Because the radiation is emitted in quanta, radiation itself takes care of the problem!
- It is sufficient to use quasi-classical picture:
 - » Emission time is very short
 - » Emission times are statistically independent (each emission - only a small change in electron energy)

Purely stochastic (Poisson) process

Visible quantum effects

I have always been somewhat amazed that a purely quantum effect can have gross macroscopic effects in large machines;

and, even more,

that Planck's constant has just the right magnitude needed to <u>make practical</u> the construction of large electron storage rings.

A significantly larger or smaller value of

ħ

would have posed serious -- perhaps insurmountable -- problems for the realization of large rings.

Mathew Sands

Quantum excitation of energy oscillations

Photons are emitted with typical energy $u_{ph} \approx \hbar \omega_{typ} = \hbar c \frac{\gamma^{3}}{\rho}$ at the rate (photons/second) $\mathcal{N} = \frac{P_{\gamma}}{u_{ph}}$

Fluctuations in this rate excite oscillations

During a small interval Δt electron emits photons $N = \mathcal{N} \cdot \Delta t$

losing energy of
$$N \cdot u_{ph}$$

Actually, because of fluctuations, the number is $N \pm \sqrt{N}$

resulting in spread in energy loss $\pm \sqrt{N} \cdot u_{ph}$

For large time intervals RF compensates the energy loss, providing damping towards the design energy E_0

Steady state: typical deviations from E_0 \approx typical fluctuations in energy during a damping time τ_{ε} Equilibrium energy spread: rough estimate

We then expect the rms energy spread to be

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} \approx \sqrt{N \cdot \tau_{\varepsilon}} \cdot u_{ph}$$



$$P_{\gamma} = N \cdot u$$

 $\sigma_{\epsilon} \approx \sqrt{E_0 \cdot u_{ph}}$ geometric mean of the electron and photon energies!

ph

Relative energy spread can be written then as:

it is roughly constant for all rings



 $\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E_0} \sim const \sim 10^{-3}$

Equilibrium energy spread

More detailed calculations give

• for the case of an 'isomagnetic' lattice

$$\rho(s) = \begin{array}{c} \rho_0 & \text{ in dipoles} \\ \infty & \text{ elsewhere} \end{array}$$

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E}\right)^2 = \frac{C_q E^2}{J_{\varepsilon} \rho_0}$$

with
$$C_q = \frac{55}{32\sqrt{3}} \frac{\hbar c}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 1.468 \cdot 10^{-6} \left[\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GeV}^2}\right]$$

It is difficult to obtain energy spread < 0.1%

limit on undulator brightness!

Equilibrium bunch length

Bunch length is related to the energy spread

Energy deviation and time of arrival (or position along the bunch) are conjugate variables (synchrotron oscillations)

$$\sigma_{\tau} = \frac{\alpha}{\Omega_{s}} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E} \right)$$

3

 $\hat{\tau} = \frac{\alpha}{\Omega_{z}} \left(\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{E}\right)$

• recall that $\Omega_s \propto \sqrt{V_{RF}}$

Two ways to obtain short bunches:

RF voltage (power!)

$$\sigma_{ au} \propto V_{\sqrt{V_{RF}}}$$

Momentum compaction factor in the limit of α = 0 isochronous ring: particle position along the bunch is frozen



Excitation of betatron oscillations





Excitation of betatron oscillations

Electron emitting a photon

- at a place with non-zero dispersion
- starts a betatron oscillation around a new reference orbit

$$x_{\beta} \approx D \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma}}{E}$$



Horizontal oscillations: equilibrium

Emission of photons is a random process

Again we have random walk, now in x. How far particle will wander away is limited by the radiation damping

 \blacksquare The balance is achieved on the time scale of the damping time τ_x = 2 τ_ϵ

$$\sigma_{x\beta} \approx \sqrt{\mathcal{N} \cdot \tau_x} \cdot D \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma}}{E} = \sqrt{2} \cdot D \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E}$$

Typical horizontal beam size ~ 1 mm

Quantum effect visible to the naked eye!

Vertical size - determined by coupling

Beam emittance

Betatron oscillations

Particles in the beam execute betatron oscillations with different amplitudes.

Transverse beam distribution

- Gaussian (electrons)
- "Typical" particle: 1 σ ellipse (in a place where $\alpha = \beta' = 0$)

Emittance
$$\equiv \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\beta}$$

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\epsilon \beta}$$
$$\sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\epsilon / \beta}$$

 $m \cdot rad$

Units of ε

$$\varepsilon = \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_{x'}$$

Х

σ_x



Area = $\pi \cdot \varepsilon$

Equilibrium horizontal emittance

Detailed calculations for isomagnetic lattice

$$\varepsilon_{x0} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{x\beta}^2}{\beta} \equiv \frac{C_q E^2}{J_x} \cdot \frac{\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle_{mag}}{\rho}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \gamma D^{2} + 2\alpha D D' + \beta D'^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \left[D^{2} + (\beta D' + \alpha D)^{2} \right]$$





is average value in the bending magnets

Ionization cooling



similar to radiation damping, but there is multiple scattering in the absorber that blows up the emittance

to minimize the blow up due to multiple scattering in the absorber we can focus the beam

Summary of radiation integrals

Momentum compaction factor

$$\alpha = \frac{I_1}{2\pi R}$$

Energy loss per turn

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} C_{\gamma} E^4 \cdot I_2$$

$$I_{1} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} ds$$

$$I_{2} = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^{2}}$$

$$I_{3} = \oint \frac{ds}{|\rho^{3}|}$$

$$I_{4} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} \left(2k + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\right) ds$$

$$I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^{3}|} ds$$

$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{r_e}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 8.858 \cdot 10^{-5} \left[\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GeV}^3}\right]$$

Summary of radiation integrals (2)

Damping parameter



Damping times, partition numbers

$$J_{\varepsilon} = 2 + \mathcal{D}, \quad J_x = 1 - \mathcal{D}, \quad J_y = 1$$

$$\tau_i = \frac{\tau_0}{J_i}$$

$$z_0 = \frac{2ET_0}{U_0}$$

Equilibrium energy spread



Equilibrium emittance

$$\varepsilon_{x0} = \frac{\sigma_{x\beta}^2}{\beta} = \frac{C_q E^2}{J_x} \cdot \frac{I_5}{I_2}$$

$$I_{1} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} ds$$

$$I_{2} = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^{2}}$$

$$I_{3} = \oint \frac{ds}{|\rho^{3}|}$$

$$I_{4} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} \left(2k + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\right) ds$$

$$I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^{3}|} ds$$

$$C_q = \frac{55}{32\sqrt{3}} \frac{\hbar c}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 1.468 \cdot 10^{-6} \left[\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GeV}^2}\right]$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \gamma D^2 + 2\alpha D D' + \beta D'^2$$

Damping wigglers

Increase the radiation loss per turn U₀ with WIGGLERS

reduce damping time

$$\tau = \frac{E}{P_{\gamma} + P_{wig}}$$

emittance control

wigglers at high dispersion: blow-up emittance e.g. storage ring colliders for high energy physics

wigglers at zero dispersion: decrease emittance

e.g. damping rings for linear colliders e.g. synchrotron light sources (PETRAIII, 1 nm.rad)

