

GEFÖRDERT VOM





Testbeam Characterization

of the **ATLASpix** _Simple Pixel Sensor Prototype in View of the Requirements for the **CLIC** Tracking Detector

> Jens Kroeger (Heidelberg University and CERN) on Behalf of the CLICdp

BTTB7

January 17th, 2019

What is CLIC?



Detector Requirements

- CLIC Tracking Detector:
 - \rightarrow ~140 m² silicon
 - → triggerless readout in 20 ms gaps between bunch trains
 - \rightarrow spatial resolution:
- ~ 7 μm (transversal) 1-10 mm pixel size (long.)
- \rightarrow timing resolution:
- ~ 10 ns

 \rightarrow material budget:

- ~ 1-1.5 % X_0 /layer (<200 µm silicon)
- \rightarrow hit detection efficiency: >99.7-99.9%

- Vertex Detector
 - \rightarrow even more stringent
 - \rightarrow not covered here



see also CLICdp-Note-2017-002

Introduction: ATLASpix

- initially designed for ATLAS ITK Upgrade
 → here: test wrt CLIC tracker requirements
- High Voltage Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (HV-MAPS)
 - → fully integrated readout
 - \rightarrow fast charge collection
 - \rightarrow low material budget
- commercial 180nm HV-CMOS process

 → reduction of cost
- substrate resistivity 20-1000 Ωcm
 → here: 200 Ωcm
- 100 µm thick
 - $\rightarrow\,$ can even be thinned to 50 μm





ATLASPIX process cross section

Introduction: ATLASpix

- 3 separate chip flavours:
 → Simple, Isosimple, M2
- here: Simple
 - \rightarrow triggerless column drain readout
 - \rightarrow 25 x 400 pixels
 - \rightarrow 130 µm x 40 µm pitch
 - \rightarrow 10 bit time-of-arrival
 - \rightarrow 6 bit time-over-threshold





BTTB7, Jens Kroeger (jens.kroeger@cern.ch)

Beam Telescope

- **telescope:** Timepix3
 - \rightarrow 7 planes (3 upstream, 4 downstream)
 - $_{\rightarrow}\,$ pointing resolution ~ 1.8 μm
 - $\rightarrow\,$ track time resolution ~ 1 ns
- device-under-test: ATLASpix_Simple





Spatial Resolution

- residual = $x_{track} x_{hit}$
- spatial resolution:

$$RMS_{total} = \sqrt{RMS_{telescope}^2 + RMS_{DUT}^2}$$

- $\rightarrow RMS_{total} \approx RMS_{DUT}$
- RMS in x ~ 37.0 μm
 → expect 37.5 μm = 130 μm/√12
- RMS in y ~ 11.3 μm
 → expect 11.6 μm = 40 μm/√12
- very few multi-pixel cluster → not much charge sharing





Timing Resolution: **Row Correction**

row delay due to different wire lengths

шШ

19.5

- → different RC constant
- deterministic ●
 - \rightarrow can be corrected for!



-70

CLICdp work in progress

Timing Resolution: Row Correction



What's Timewalk?



Timing Resolution: Timewalk Correction

• thres dependent:

higher thres \rightarrow higher timewalk

for each ToT 'slice'
 → find maximum





Timing Resolution: Timewalk Correction

apply correction point-wise

strong improvement
 → especially for high threshold

- low threshold
 - \rightarrow only row: **RMS = 7.1 ns**
 - → row+timewalk: **RMS = 6.9 ns**
- high threshold
 - \rightarrow only row: **RMS = 24.5 ns**
 - → row+timewalk: **RMS = 16.2 ns**



Timing Resolution: Threshold Dependence



Efficiency: Bias Scan

- vary bias
 - \rightarrow from -5V to -80V
- efficiency saturates at ~99.7%
- in-pixel efficiency:
 - \rightarrow inefficient at low bias in corners
 - \rightarrow as expected





100

Efficiency: Threshold Scan

- vary threshold
 - \rightarrow from 45mV to 400mV
- efficiency saturates at ~99.7%
- in-pixel efficiency:
 - \rightarrow inefficiency starts in corners
 - \rightarrow as expected

1000



efficiency [%]

100

80

60

40

Summary

Results:

- material budget:
 - \rightarrow 100 µm (50 µm possible)
- spatial resolution: \rightarrow in y: RMS = 11.3 µm
 - \rightarrow in x: pixel size = 130 μ m

- **Requirements:**
 - < 200 µm



< 10 ns

> 99.7-99.9%

- timing resolution:
 - \rightarrow 6.8 ns at ~480e thres
- efficiency:
 - \rightarrow above 99.7%
 - \rightarrow no dead/masked pixels





- excellent telescope performance
- very promising results
 - → most requirements met
 - → suitable technology for CLIC tracking detector
- future:
 - $\rightarrow\,$ new prototype with smaller pixel size

Backup



Global Efficiency

chip efficiency map



HV-MAPS Schematic & ATLASpix Pixel Layout



Ц

Cluster ToT Spectrum



Thickness Depletion Zone

- different chip: H35DEMO
 → but same substrate resistivity
- our sample: 200 Ω cm
- from Mathieu Benoit: http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-0221/13/10/P10004/pdf



Timing Resolution

- here only row correction (preliminary, work in progress)
- fit convolution of gauss with box function \rightarrow **binning of clock**

gauss:

- sigma = 7.08 ns
- chi2/ndf = 1826/55

convolution:

- sigma = 5.61 ns
- width = 14.94 ns
- chi2/ndf = 1505/54







BTTB7, Jens Kroeger (jens.kroeger@cern.ch)

Timing Resolution: Threshold Dependence

- strong threshold dependence:
 - \rightarrow RMS = 6.9 ns at ~480e
 - → **RMS = 16.2 ns** at ~4300e
- no problem:
 low noise → threshold can be set very low

