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## Thesis project

In order to be able to improve the design and performance of future colliders, models of the magnetic fields non-linearities needs deeper understanding. These non-linearities mainly come from magnet fringe fields and ends connections.



## Goals:

- Develop a "realistic" non-linear transfer map for tracking studies.
- Use calculated or measured magnetic field map given by the magnet designers.
- Define observables sensitive to the longitudinal field description.


## Contents



## Thesis project

(2) Modeling and Simulation

- Hamiltonian and Vector potential representation
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Lie Integrator
- Step size in z
- Implementation in SixTrackTestMeasurements with the beamMagnetic Measurements


## Hamiltonian and Vector potential representation

## E. Forest (Ref. [1]):

8 D equivalent Hamiltonian of a quadrupole $\left(a(x, y, z)=q \frac{A(x, y, z)}{P_{0} c}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left[x, p_{x}, y, p_{y}, s, \delta, z, p_{z} ; \sigma\right]=-\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-\left(p_{x}-a_{x}\right)^{2}-\left(p_{y}-a_{y}\right)^{2}}+p_{z}-a_{z} \\
\Downarrow \\
K\left[x, p_{x}, y, p_{y}, s, \delta, z, p_{z} ; \sigma\right]=p_{z}-a_{z}-\delta+\frac{\left(p_{x}-a_{x}\right)^{2}}{2(1+\delta)}+\frac{\left(p_{y}-a_{y}\right)^{2}}{2(1+\delta)}
\end{gathered}
$$

A. Simona (Ref. [2]), M. Venturini (Ref. [3]) and A.J. Dragt (Ref. [4]):

Generalized Gradient: $C_{m, *}^{[n]}(z)=\frac{i^{n}}{2^{m} m!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{k^{m+n+1}}{I_{m}^{\prime}(R k)} \widehat{B}_{m, *}(R, k) e^{i k z} d k$
Vector potential representation: $\quad A(x, y, z)=\sum_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j} c_{i j}(z)$

## Gauge:

- AF: $A_{\phi} \equiv 0 \quad$ HFC: $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{\prime}+\nabla \lambda$ such that $A_{x} \equiv 0$ with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}=0$

Errors in the gradient reconstruction for $R \geqslant R_{\text {analysis }}$

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Lie Integrator

For the position $\mathbf{q}=(x, y, \ldots)$ and the momentum $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{x}, p_{y}, \ldots\right)$ :


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Lie Integrator

For the position $\mathbf{q}=(x, y, \ldots)$ and the momentum $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{x}, p_{y}, \ldots\right)$ :


In the Hard Edge case ( $A_{x}=A_{y}=0$ ).

## Step size in z

## Procedure:

Use different initial position with different offset ( $x_{i n}=p x_{i n}=p y_{i n}=0$ ) and only use one quadrupole for the tracking. The linear part is subtracted to the final positions and momenta, as a function of the initial coordinate.



- For a dz greater than 40 mm , information due to the longitudinal description of the field is greatly deteriorated (Ref. [2] and [5]).


## Implementation in SixTrack



- SixTrack input structure is not changed.
- Need the configFringeField.txt file and file containing the vector potential coefficients and exponents.
- 4D $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Lie integrator.
$\rightarrow$ For the moment in the FFField git branch.
$\rightarrow$ Finalize 6D Tracking.
$\rightarrow$ Test higher order method.
$\rightarrow$ Include skew harmonics.
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## Dynamic aperture

## Procedure:

- Particles: 30 initials conditions for each interval of 2 sigma ( 0 to 28 ) and 5 phase-space angles with $\delta=2.7 e^{-4}$.
- Optic: HLLHCV1.0 with 60 dipole field errors seeds.
- Number of revolution: $10^{4}$.
- In SixTrack, systematic $b_{6,10,14}$ only are considered and are scaled for the prototype length.

Dynamic aperture without B2 in the vector potential file


## Result:

- Effect of the derivatives small compared to effect due to random field errors and to tracking precision.
- SixTrack method is robust against full tracking.

Dynamic aperture with B2 in the vector potential file


## Tune vs Action

## Procedure:

- Particles: 120 initials conditions with amplitude between 0.033333 mm to 4.000000 mm , the ratio between emittance in the two planes equal to 0.19281 and $\delta=0$.
- Optic: HLLHCV1.0 with only one of the 60 dipole field errors seeds.
- Number of turns: 1000.


## Result:

- Small systematic between SixTrack and the Lie2 method.
- Same result for all seeds but covered by random field errors.

- The small systematic (angle $15^{\circ}$ ) is not influencing DA result (see previous slide).
$\rightarrow$ Test different angles.
$\rightarrow$ Comparison with $\mathrm{dz}=2 \mathrm{~cm}$.



## Single Quad Tracking

## Procedure:

- Particles: Initial conditions on a circle for different radius and no transverse momenta.
- Optic: Only one quadrupole with a symmetric field. The tracking method is the Lie integrator (TS) with and without derivatives and the SixTrack multipole (MT) with and without subdivision of the thin matrix.
- Plot: DFT of the momenta at the end of the Quadrupole.


## Result:

- An $b_{4,8,12}$ effect appear in the multipole case when the thin matrix is subdivided.
- This effect increase with the number of subdivision.
- When derivatives are included, the $\mathrm{b}_{4}$ change sign.
- The additional $b_{4}$ increases with the radius.
$\rightarrow$ Test with $\mathrm{dz}=2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
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## Measurements with the beam

## Goal:

Search for the signature of non-linear effects in the LHC not described by the present model.
$\checkmark$ July 2017: LHC IR non-linearities studies (E. Maclean, MD 2158)

- Several measurements and techniques used in LHC to evaluate non linear fields in the IR, using the beams.
- Measurements of $1^{s t}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ order detuning with amplitude.
$\checkmark$ September 2017: LHC IR non-linearites studies (E. Maclean)
- Measurement of short term DA with AC-dipole.
- Measurement of long term DA with ADT blow-up.
$\rightarrow$ Analyse data from the previous MD ( $1^{s t}$ and $2^{n d}$ order detunning with amplitudes, ...).
$\rightarrow$ 2018: Non-linear MDs.
- We are particularly interested in the $b_{6}$ effects of inner triplet.
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## Magnetic Measurements

## Goal:

Use computed or measured data for the Tracking and understand the limit of applicability of our model to measured data (filters of noise, measurements precision, etc...).

- Collaboration with the CERN: Brief Report of the discussions
- Toy-train measures harmonics in step of micro-meter and provides integrated values on mm longitudinal steps (using a 6 order polynomial) (S. Roussenschuck).
- Consider up to 6 derivatives of the Generalized Gradients in the longitudinal harmonics calculation/measurements (S. Roussenschuck).

- Both integral and "point-like" in z measurements of harmonics ( $\mathrm{b}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{n}$ ) can reach a relative resolution of $10^{-6}$ (S. Roussenschuck).
- For the moment, the prototypes are not representative of the HL-LHC production (E. Todesco).
The possibility to use measurements is not given for the time of the thesis.
- Collaboration with Fermilab: ?
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## Comparison between Integrator (2,4,6th-Gauss, 4th-RK, 2,4,6th-Lie)

- Lie methods profit more from the change of gauge than the other methods.
- Lie methods are faster with respect to other symplectic methods. The explicit, non-symplectic Runge-Kutta method is the fastest.
- All the methods display the same low accuracy for step size bigger than 4 cm for the realistic field considered.

Table: Vector potential evaluation's cost (A. Simona).

|  | ND=2 |  | ND=16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Normal | Skew | Normal | Skew |
| AF | 80 | 68 | 352 | 330 |
| HFC | 64 | 52 | 251 | 225 |
| HFC/AF | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.68 |

## Tube Oscillation

## Procedure:

- Particles: 2 initials conditions with amplitude respectively 0.1993 mm and 0.4599 mm , the ratio between emittance in the two planes equal to 0.19281 . In the left plot, $\delta=0$ and on the right $\delta=2.7 e-4$.
- Optic: HLLHCV1.0 with only 1 dipole field errors seed.
- Number of turns: $10^{3}$.



## Tune vs Action

## Procedure:

- Particles: 120 initials conditions with amplitude between 0.033333 mm to 4.000000 mm , the ratio between emittance in the two planes equal to 0.19281 and $\delta=0$.
- Optic: HLLHCV1.0 with the 60 dipole field errors seeds.
- Number of turns: 1000.


## Result:

- Small systematic between SixTrack and the Lie2 method.
- Same result for all seeds but covered by random field errors.
- The small systematic (angle $15^{\circ}$ ) is not influencing DA result (see previous slide).
$\rightarrow$ Test different angles.
$\rightarrow$ Comparison with $\mathrm{dz}=2 \mathrm{~cm}$.



