



## Modular S<sup>4</sup> Models of Lepton Masses and Mixing

in collaboration with J. T. Penedo, S. T. Petcov and A. V. Titov [arXiv: 1811.04933]

Pavel Novichkov (SISSA & INFN, Trieste) November 29, 2018

#### The Flavour Puzzle

Number of parameters

- SM forces: 3 couplings to rule them all
- EW breaking: 2
- Flavour: **≥** 20: 10 (quarks) and **≥** 10 (leptons)



Adapted from [arXiv:1611.07770]

 $\odot$  Successfully reproduces the mixing pattern

- Large number of flavons **⇒** loss of predictivity
- VEV alignment **⇒** elaborate potentials

Modular symmetry to the rescue! [arXiv:1706.08749]

Based on:

1.  ${S_3, A_4, S_4, A_5} \subset { \big[ \Gamma_N \big]}_{N}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}$  finite modular groups

2. Modular groups arise in string theory compactifications

1. [Modular symmetry: construction and systematic exploration](#page-4-0)

2.  $\Gamma_4 \simeq S_4$  [seesaw models phenomenology](#page-8-0)

3. [The modulus potential and residual symmetries](#page-15-0)

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>[Modular symmetry: construction and](#page-4-0) [systematic exploration](#page-4-0)

#### Modular symmetry



Grid shape is encoded in the modulus  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ , Im $\tau > 0$ 



modular group

## Modular transformation of fields



Assumption:  $\rho$  is "almost trivial"

- Infinite **(**N**) ⊂** : ρ**[ (**N**) ] =** 1
- $\rho$  is a representation of  $\Gamma_N \equiv \Gamma/\Gamma(N)$ discrete finite group
- Analogy: Z<sub>N</sub> ≡ Z/NZ



#### Field couplings

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\gamma \quad \chi_1 \chi_2 \ldots \chi_n \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow\n\end{array} \\
\hline\n(c\tau + d)^k \rho \quad (c\tau + d)^{-(k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n)} \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_n \quad \times \text{itself}\n\end{array}
$$

 $k = k_1 + k_2 + ... + k_n$   $\rho \otimes \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes ... \otimes \rho_n \supset \mathbf{1}$ 

 $Y_i(\tau)$ modular forms of weight  $k$  and level  $N$ 

form a linear space of finite dimension



## <span id="page-8-0"></span> $\Gamma_4 \simeq S_4$  [seesaw models](#page-8-0) [phenomenology](#page-8-0)

1. Choose the field content: seesaw type I

 $E^c L H_d$   $N^c L H_u$  N  $c_{N}c$ 

- 2. Choose  $N = 4$ :  $\Gamma_4 \simeq S_4$  with irreps **1, 1<sup>'</sup>, 2, 3, 3<sup>'</sup>**
- 3. Choose the field representations:

$$
H_d, H_u, E_1^c, E_2^c, E_3^c \sim \mathbf{1} \text{ or } \mathbf{1}' \qquad L, N^c \sim \mathbf{3} \text{ or } \mathbf{3}'
$$

- 4. Choose the modular weights for each possible coupling. Start with the lowest weights **⇒** less parameters
- 5. Construct all possible singlets **⇒** Lagrangian terms
- 6. Search for viable regions in the parameter space

Y N<sup>c</sup>N<sup>c</sup>

 $k = 0$ 2 Λ  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{L}$ 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0  $\setminus$  $\overline{1}$  $k = 2$ 2 Λ  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{L}$ 0  $Y_1$   $Y_2$  $Y_1$   $Y_2$  0  $Y_2$  0  $Y_1$  $\setminus$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ **2**

 $k = 4$ 2 Λ Г  $\vert Y_1$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{L}$ 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 λ **+**  $\Lambda'$ Λ  $\sqrt{ }$ L 0  $Y_1$   $Y_2$  $Y_1$   $Y_2$  0  $Y_2$  0  $Y_1$ λ  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ **2 +**  $\Lambda$ <sup>11</sup> Λ  $\sqrt{ }$ L 2Y<sup>1</sup> **−**Y<sup>3</sup> **−**Y<sup>2</sup> **−**Y<sup>3</sup> 2Y<sup>2</sup> **−**Y<sup>1</sup> **−**Y<sup>2</sup> **−**Y<sup>1</sup> 2Y<sup>3</sup> λ  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ **3** ٦  $\mathbf{I}$  • Parameters:

$$
\tau,\,\alpha,\,\beta,\,\gamma,\,g,\,g'/g,\,\Lambda'/\Lambda,\ldots
$$

• Scan to fit the current "knowns":

$$
m_e,\,m_\mu,\,m_\tau,\,\theta_{12},\,\theta_{13},\,\theta_{23},\,\delta m^2,\,\left|\Delta m^2\right|
$$

• Predict the "unknowns":

 $m_{min}$ , δ,  $\alpha_{21}$ ,  $\alpha_{31}$ 

• Correlations

"All moduli are equal"

 $\tau \leftrightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{\tau}$ cτ **+** d

The fundamental domain of  $\Gamma$ :

$$
|\text{Re}\tau|\leq \frac{1}{2}, |\tau|\geq 1
$$



- Minimal viable models have 8 real parameters
- Viable models come in pairs with  $\pm \delta$ ,  $\pm \alpha_{21}$ ,  $\pm \alpha_{31}$
- 5 pairs of viable models: 3 with NO, 2 with IO
- Mass predictions:

$$
m_{\min} \sim 0.003 - 0.024 \text{ eV}
$$

$$
\sum_{i} m_{i} \sim 0.077 - 0.12 \text{ eV}
$$

$$
|\langle m \rangle| \sim 0.006 - 0.045 \text{ eV}
$$

• Phases and masses correlate with  $\theta_{23}$ 



# <span id="page-15-0"></span>[The modulus potential and residual](#page-15-0) [symmetries](#page-15-0)

### The modulus potential

#### Top-down conjecture

All extrema of  $V(\tau)$  lie on the boundary of the fundamental domain and on the imaginary axis.

Cvetic, Font, Ibanez, Lust, Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991) 194



### The modulus potential

#### Top-down conjecture

#### Bottom-up phenomenology

All extrema of V**(**τ**)** lie on the boundary of the fundamental domain and on the imaginary axis.

Cvetic, Font, Ibanez, Lust, Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991) 194



### Residual symmetries

$$
\tau = i \qquad \qquad \tau = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)
$$

$$
\tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2 \qquad \qquad \tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau+1} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_3
$$

Same symmetry for both mass matrices:

$$
\rho^{\dagger}_{L} M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{e} M^{\dagger}_{e} \rho_{L} = M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{e} M^{\dagger}_{e}
$$

$$
\rho^{\dagger}_{L} M^{\dagger}_{\nu} M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{\nu} \rho_{L} = M^{\dagger}_{\nu} M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{\nu}
$$

### Residual symmetries

$$
\tau = i \qquad \qquad \tau = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)
$$

$$
\tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2 \qquad \qquad \tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau+1} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_3
$$

Same symmetry for both mass matrices:

$$
\rho^{\dagger}_{L} M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{e} M^{\dagger}_{e} \rho_{L} = M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{e} M^{\dagger}_{e}
$$

$$
\rho^{\dagger}_{L} M^{\dagger}_{\nu} M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{\nu} \rho_{L} = M^{\dagger}_{\nu} M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{\nu}
$$

PMNS matrix contains zeros

#### Residual symmetries

$$
\tau = i \qquad \qquad \tau = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)
$$

$$
\tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2 \qquad \qquad \tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau+1} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_3
$$

Same symmetry for both mass matrices:

$$
\rho^{\dagger}_{L}M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{e}M^{\dagger}_{e}\rho_{L} = M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{e}M^{\dagger}_{e}
$$

$$
\rho^{\dagger}_{L}M^{\dagger}_{V}M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{V}\rho_{L} = M^{\dagger}_{V}M^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{V}
$$

PMNS matrix contains zeros

PMNS matrix contains even more zeros

### Different residual symmetries

#### Assumption

- 1.  $M_e$  is diagonal:  $\cdot \tau = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2}\right)$ 3  $\setminus$ 
	- flavon
- 2.  $M_v$  invariant under  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ :  $\tau = i$

Benchmark point found **∼** 1σ, NO:

$$
\sum_{i} m_{i} = 0.13 \text{ eV} \qquad |\langle m \rangle| = 0.023 \text{ eV}
$$

$$
\delta = 1.57 \pi \qquad \alpha_{21} = 1.38 \pi \qquad \alpha_{31} = 1.23 \pi
$$

- Modular symmetry is a string-derived realisation of discrete flavour symmetry
- Predictive models can be explored in a systematic way
- Constrain masses, mixing angles and phases
- Viable  $\tau$  correspond to the conjectured potential minima
- Possible residual symmetries are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$
- Try different field contents, representations, N
- Include the quark sector (different  $\tau$ ?)
- Account for corrections: running, SUSY breaking, Kähler

## Thank you!

#### Neutrino and charged lepton Yukawa terms

Y N<sup>c</sup>L

$$
k = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
g\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
g\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_1 & Y_2 \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & 0 \\ Y_2 & 0 & Y_1 \end{bmatrix}_2 + \frac{g'}{g} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_3 & -Y_2 \\ -Y_3 & 0 & Y_1 \\ Y_2 & -Y_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_3
$$

 $Y F^C I$ 

Weights (2, 4, 4) (minimal non-degenerate): α  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{L}$  $Y_1$   $Y_3$   $Y_2$ 0 0 0 0 0 0  $\setminus$  $\overline{1}$ **3**,2 **+** β  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{L}$ 0 0 0  $Y_1$   $Y_3$   $Y_2$ 0 0 0  $\setminus$  $\overline{1}$ **3**,4 **+** γ  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{L}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0  $Y_1$   $Y_3$   $Y_2$  $\setminus$  $\overline{1}$  $3'$ , 4