



### Initial studies on LHC combination of inclusive jet data for the LHC Electroweak Working Group June 13, 2018

Mikko Voutilainen, U. Helsinki and HIP



#### Introduction



- CMS and ATLAS have high quality jet data with R=0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7 from 2.76, 7, 8, 13 TeV
- Combining data would reduce uncertainties and provide theorists a coherent reference set
- Benefit global PDF fits, understanding of NNLO predictions and R dependence

#### nominal

#### JEC shifted 2%





### Work so far



- Retrieved CMS and ATLAS 7 TeV (2011) data from HEPDATA, with stat and stat $\oplus$ syst
  - uncertainty sources available, but provided a bit differently => adapt to common format
- Interpolated R=0.4/R=0.6 and R=0.5/0.8 to 0.4-0.5-0.6-0.7 spectrum using log(R) scaling

▶ spectra normalised by  $F(p_T, \eta) = \iint N p_T^{\alpha} (I - 2p_T \cosh(\eta)/\sqrt{s})^{\beta} dp_T d\eta$  for plotting

- JEC uncertainty by far dominant => start by bracketing CMS/ATLAS difference as  $\Delta JEC$ 
  - ▶ known issues: FSR in p<sub>T</sub> balance vs MPF, detector response vs p<sub>T</sub>, Pythia6/8 vs Herwig++
  - can also check ΔJEC with published in-situ W mass constraints in ttbar

HEPData Q	Search HEP Data Sea	HEPData Qoi:"1	0.1007/JHEP02(2015)153" Sea	arch		🚯 About 🛛 🗘 Subn
<b>Q</b> Browse all <b>A</b> ad, Georges et al.		<b>Q</b> Browse all 🖉 Chatrchyan, Serguei et al.		Last updated on 2015-05-10 16:30 <b>dul</b> Accessed 334		
K Hide Publication Information	📥 Download All 🗸	Kide Publication Information	📩 Download All 🗸	Table 1 10.17182/he	pdata.68020.v1/t1	http://www.hepdata.net/recc
Measurement of the inclusive jet cross-section in	l≁ View Analyses →	Measurement of the ratio of inclusive jet cross sections	└ <u>╱</u> View Analyses →	Data from Figure 1a		
proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using 4.5 fb <sup>-1</sup>	√ Filter 12 data tables	using the anti- $k_T$ algorithm with radius parameters	√ Filter 18 data tables	Inclusive Jet cross section with R = 0.5 in the rapidity bin 0 <  y  < 0.5. The total uncorrelated uncertainty includes statistical one and systematic uncorrelated. The total systematic uncertainty includes all other sources, especially the luminosity uncertainty of 2.2%. The total error can be obtained as a quadratic sum of uncorrelated and correlated one. The NP correction can be used to scale theory prediction to compare to data at		
of data with the ATLAS	Table 1 >	R=0.5 and 0.7 in pp collisions	Table 1			
detector	Data from Table 3 10.17182/hepdata.69343.v1/t1	at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV	Data from Figure 1a 10.17182/hepdata.68020.v1/t1			
The ATLAS collaboration	Measured double- differential inclusive-jet	The CMS collaboration	Inclusive Jet cross section with R = 0.5 in the rapidity	particle level.		
Aad, Georges,Abbott, Brad,Abdallah, Jalal,Abdel Khalek, Samah,Abdinov,	cross section for the range 0.0 <=  y  < 0.5 and for anti- kT jets with radius	Chatrchyan, Serguei ,Khachatryan, Vardan ,Sirunyan, Albert M ,Tumasyan, Armen ,	bin 0 <  y  < 0.5. The total uncorrelated	cmenergies	observables	phrases
Ovsat , Aben, Rosemarie , Abi, Babak , Abolins, Maris , AbouZeid, Ossama ,	parameter	Adam, Wolfgang, Bergauer, Thomas, Dragicevic Marko, Erö Janos, Fabian	Table 2	♥ 7000.0	D2SIG/DPT/DYRAP	Inclusive
Abramowicz, Halina	Table 2 >	Christian, Friedl, Markus	Data from Figure 1a			Double Differential
JHEP 1502 (2015) 153, 2015	Data from Table 4	Phys Rev D90 (2014) 072006 2014	10.17182/hepdata.68020.v1/t2			Transverse
http://dx.doi.org/10.17182/hepdata.6934	10.17182/hepdata.69343.v1/t2 Measured double-	http://dx.doi.org/10.17182/hepdata.68020	Inclusive Jet cross section with R = 0.5 in the rapidity bin 0.5 < $ y  < 1$ . The total			Ranidity Dependence



# |**y**|<0.5



- Central rapidity in quite good agreement after CMS +1%, ATLAS -1%
- Possibly tracked down to:
  - ▶ ATLAS FSR bias (p<sub>T</sub> balance method used instead of MPF)
  - ▷ CMS  $p_T$  dependence (const fit due to lack of multijet and  $\gamma$ +jet statistics)





# **I.5<|y|<2.0**



- Mid-rapidity 1.5<|y|<2.0 has big shape different at  $p_T$ >500 GeV
- Outside of direct Z/γ+jet reach, in barrel/endcap transition => detector effect (JEC/JER)?
- Global PDF fits have had large  $\chi^2$ /NDF for ATLAS data, could this |y| bin be the reason?





# 2.5<|y|<3.0



- Forward rapidity 2.5<|y|<3.0 in decent agreement, given large uncertainties
- pT~200 GeV compatible with CMS -1%, ATLAS +1%





# $\Delta JEC: ATLAS$







# $\Delta JEC: CMS$



1000

1 bost-fit jet 96.0 Post-fit jet

0.94⊢

40

After global fit  $\chi^2 / N_{dof} = 107.5 / 92$ 

100

200



- CMS results fit hypothesis of residual Z+jet p<sub>T</sub> dependence
  - ▶ 8 TeV data showed slope vs p<sub>T</sub>, not enough statistics at 7 TeV
  - ▷ dijet balance: low |y| <=> high pT, high |y| <=> low pT



# CMS

## All y bins: before



LHCEWWG, June 13, 2018: LHC jet combination



## All y bins: after



LHCEWWG, June 13, 2018: LHC jet combination

Mikko Voutilainen, Helsinki



### Conclusions



- Combination of 7 TeV data looks feasible
  - R difference by log(R) interpolation
  - ▶  $p_T$  bin difference by  $F(p_T, \eta)$  interpolation
  - dσ/dp⊤ difference by "known" JEC biases
- Systematic uncertainties similar, uncorrelated case would be  $1/\sqrt{2} = 70\%$
- Anti-correlation could reduce syst. even further
  - ATLAS limit FSR (?) (pT balance vs MPF)
  - CMS limit JEC pT dep. (PF vs Calo)





## Outlook



- Next step is to add nuisances and do χ<sup>2</sup> fit
  ▷ investigate also 8 TeV data as available
- FSR bias and JEC vs pT possible differences
  - ▶ back-propagate lessons from 8 and 13 TeV?
  - derive joint CMS/ATLAS FSR corrections?



