

Epstein-Glaser's Causal Light-Front Field Theory

O.A. Acevedo¹ B.M. Pimentel¹ D.E. Soto²

¹Instituto de Física Teórica IFT
Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP)

²Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería (UNI)

LC 2019
École Polytechnique, Paris, September 16

ID tetrad basis $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(0)}; \dots; \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(3)}\}$: basis of unit orthogonal vectors in Minkowski's space such that: $[\eta_{(\mu)(\nu)}] = \text{diag}(1; -1; -1; -1)$.

Define NP tetrad basis (basis for light-front dynamics):

$$\mathbf{e}_{(+)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(0)} + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(3)}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{e}_{(\perp)} := \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(\perp)} \quad (\perp \equiv 1, 2) \quad , \quad \mathbf{e}_{(-)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(0)} - \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{(3)}) \quad .$$

Properties ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{(+)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{(+)} = 0 = \mathbf{e}_{(-)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{(-)} \quad , \quad \mathbf{e}_{(+)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{(-)} = 1 \quad , \\ \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{(\beta)} = -\delta_{(\alpha)(\beta)} \quad , \quad \mathbf{e}_{(+)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)} = 0 = \mathbf{e}_{(-)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{(\alpha)} \end{aligned} \Rightarrow [\eta_{(a)(b)}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad .$$

Invariant NP components of a vector:

$$\mathbf{A} = A^{(a)} \mathbf{e}_{(a)} \quad ; \quad A^{(+)} := \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(+)} \quad , \quad A^{(\perp)} := \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(\perp)} \quad , \quad A^{(-)} := \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(-)} \quad .$$

Scalar product:

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = A^{(+)} B^{(-)} + A^{(-)} B^{(+)} - A^{(\perp)} B^{(\perp)} \quad .$$

Choice: $\mathbf{e}_{(+)}$ is the NP-time direction, $x^{(+)}$ is the invariant NP-time.

$S(g)$ operator definition:

$$S(g) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^4 x_1 \cdots d^4 x_n T_n(x_1; \cdots; x_n) g(x_1) \cdots g(x_n) \quad .$$

Notation: $X := \{x_j \in \mathbb{M} : j = 1, \dots, n\}$, $T_n \equiv T_n(X)$, $g(X) \equiv g(x_1) \cdots g(x_n)$, $dX \equiv d^4 x_1 \cdots d^4 x_n$. Then:

$$S(g) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int dX T_n(X) g(X) \quad .$$

Inverse operator:

$$S(g)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int dX \tilde{T}_n(X) g(X) \quad ; \quad \tilde{T}_n(X) = \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^r \sum_{P_r} T_{n_1}(X_1) \cdots T_{n_r}(X_r) \quad .$$

P_r : partition of X in the r non-empty disjoint subsets X_1, \dots, X_r .

Translation invariance:

$$T_n(x_1; \cdots; x_n) = T_n(x_1 - x_n; \cdots; x_{n-1} - x_n; 0) \quad .$$

Two points $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$ are causally connected if their difference $x - y$ is a time-like vector or a light-like one. For $X, Y \subset \mathbb{M}$ define the relations:

$$X < Y :\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, y \in Y : x^{(+)} < y^{(+)} ; \quad X \sim Y :\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, y \in Y : (x - y)^2 < 0 .$$

Causality Axiom:

1.- Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ with causally connected supports, $\text{supp}(g_1) < \text{supp}(g_2)$. Then:

$$S(g_1 + g_2) = S(g_2)S(g_1) \quad .$$

2.- Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ two switching functions such that $\text{supp}(g_1) \sim \text{supp}(g_2)$. Then:

$$S(g_1 + g_2) = S(g_2)S(g_1) = S(g_1)S(g_2) \quad . \quad \square$$

Immediate consequence: For $X_1 < X_2$ or $X_1 \sim X_2$ we can perform the following decomposition:

$$T_n(X) = T_m(X_2)T_{n-m}(X_1) \quad ; \quad \tilde{T}_n(X) = \tilde{T}_m(X_1)\tilde{T}_{n-m}(X_2) \quad .$$

For $X_1 \sim X_2$ the order of the decomposition is arbitrary.

Inductive Construction Idea

Suppose we know T_m, \tilde{T}_m ($m = 1, \dots, n-1$). We want to find the next order T_n distribution. Define:

$$A'_n(X) := \sum_{P_2} \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X_1) T_{n-n_1}(X_2 \cup \{x_n\}) \quad , \quad R'_n(X) := \sum_{P_2} T_{n-n_1}(X_2 \cup \{x_n\}) \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X_1) \quad ,$$

P_2 : partition of $X \setminus \{x_n\}$ in disjoint X_1, X_2 ; $X_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Advanced and **retarded** distributions of order n :

$$A_n(X) := \sum_{P_2^{(0)}} \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X_1) T_{n-n_1}(X_2 \cup \{x_n\}) = A'_n(X) + T_n(X) \quad ,$$

$$R_n(X) := \sum_{P_2^{(0)}} T_{n-n_1}(X_2 \cup \{x_n\}) \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X_1) = R'_n(X) + T_n(X) \quad .$$

$P_2^{(0)}$: now in P_2 it is allowed $X_1 = \emptyset$. We see that the T_n distribution can be found as:

$$T_n(X) = A_n(X) - A'_n(X) = R_n(X) - R'_n(X) \quad .$$

Causal distribution:

$$D_n(X) := R'_n(X) - A'_n(X) = R_n(X) - A_n(X) \quad .$$

Terminology:

$$\tilde{V}^\pm(x) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{M} \mid (y - x)^2 \geq 0; y^{(+)} \geq / \leq x^{(+)} \right\} = \overline{V^\mp(x)} \cup x^{(-)} - \text{axis} \quad .$$

Sets of n points:

$$\Gamma_n^\pm(x) := \left\{ (x_1; \dots; x_n) \in \mathbb{M}^n \mid \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : x_j \in \tilde{V}^\pm(x) \right\} \quad .$$

Theorem 1: For $n \geq 3$:

$$\text{supp}(D_n(x_1; \dots; x_n)) \subseteq \Gamma_n^+(x_n) \cup \Gamma_n^-(x_n) \quad .$$

Moreover, $D_n(X)$ can be non null only if $X \in \Gamma_n^+(x_n)$ or $X \in \Gamma_n^-(x_n)$. \square

Theorem 2: Let $D_n(X)$ be a causal distribution with causal support, which therefore can be splitted into a retarded and advanced distributions $R_n(X)$ and $A_n(X)$, with supports in $\Gamma_n^+(x_n)$ and $\Gamma_n^-(x_n)$, respectively. The distribution $T_n(X)$ constructed with them satisfies the causality conditions. \square

Splitting of the Causal Distribution: Singular Order of a Distribution

Causal distribution of order n : $D_n(X) = \sum_k d_n^k(X) : C_k(\psi^A) :$

Define $d \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{4n-4})$ as: $d(X) := d_n^k(x_1 - x_n; \dots; x_{n-1} - x_n; 0)$; $\text{supp}(d) \subseteq \Gamma_{n-1}^+(0) \cup \Gamma_{n-1}^-(0)$, which is split as:

$$d = r - a \quad ; \quad \text{supp}(r) \subseteq \Gamma_{n-1}^+(0) \quad , \quad \text{supp}(a) \subseteq \Gamma_{n-1}^-(0) \quad .$$

First proposal:

$$r(X) = \chi(X)d(X) \quad \text{with} \quad \chi(X) := \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Theta(x_j^{(+)} - x_n^{(+)}) \quad .$$

{Discontinuity surface of $\chi(X)$ } \cap $\text{supp}(d) = X^{(-)}$ -axis \Rightarrow the behaviour of $d(X)$ in the neighbourhood of the $X^{(-)}$ -axis is essential for the splitting procedure.

Definition 1: Let $d \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$, ρ be a positive-definite continuous function. If the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \rho(s) s^{3m/4} d(sX^{(+)}; sX^{(\perp)}; X^{(-)}) = d_-(X) \neq 0$$

exists in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$, then d_- is the quasi-asymptote of d at the $X^{(-)}$ -axis, with regard to the function ρ . \square

Definition 2: If the quasi-asymptote of d at the $X^{(-)}$ -axis is obtained for $\rho(s) = s^{\omega_-}$, then ω_- is the singular order of d at the $X^{(-)}$ -axis. \square

Idea for the splitting: Substitute the discontinuous Heaviside's functions by a continuous function which goes to it in some limit. Define the continuous non-decreasing function:

$$\chi(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & ; & t \leq 0 \\ < 1 & ; & 0 < t < 1 \\ 1 & ; & t \geq 1 \end{cases} .$$

The retarded and advanced distributions will be given by the limits:

$$r(X) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \chi\left(\frac{X^{(+)}}{s}\right) d(X) \quad , \quad a(X) = -\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \chi\left(-\frac{X^{(+)}}{s}\right) d(X) \quad .$$

Using Cauchy's criterion, the above limit exists if $\omega_- < 0$, and it could not exist for $\omega_- \geq 0$.

Negative singular order ($\omega_- < 0$):

$$r(X) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \chi\left(\frac{X^{(+)}}{s}\right) d(X) \equiv \Theta\left(X^{(+)}\right) d(X) \quad .$$

Definition 3: Let $\hat{d} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and let ρ be a positive-definite continuous function. If the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \rho(s) \left(\hat{d} \left(\frac{P_{(+)}; P_{(\perp)}}{s}; P_{(-)} \right); \check{\varphi}(P) \right) = (\hat{d}_-; \check{\varphi})$$

exists $\forall \check{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, then \hat{d}_- is the quasi-asymptote of \hat{d} at $P_{(+)}, P_{(\perp)} \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Negative singular order ($\omega_- < 0$):

$$\hat{r}(P) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(p_{1(+)}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\hat{d}((tp_{1(+)}; \mathbf{p}_1); p_2; \dots; p_{n-1})}{1-t + \operatorname{sgn}(p_{1(+)}) i0^+} dt \quad .$$

Scalar field: Commutation distribution: $\hat{D}(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(p_{(-)}) \delta(p^2 - m^2)$, with $\omega_- = -2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}^{ret}(p) &= -(2\pi)^{-2} \operatorname{sgn}(p_{(+)}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(p_{(-)}) \delta(2tp_{(+)}p_{(-)} - p_{(\perp)}^2 - m^2)}{1 - t + \operatorname{sgn}(p_{(+)}) i0^+} dt \\ &= -(2\pi)^{-2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(p_{(+)}p_{(-)})}{|2p_{(+)}p_{(-)}|} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\delta\left(t - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2p_{(+)}p_{(-)}}\right)}{1 - t + \operatorname{sgn}(p_{(+)}) i0^+} dt \\ &= -(2\pi)^{-2} \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + \operatorname{sgn}(p_{(-)}) i0^+} . \end{aligned}$$

Feynman's propagator, $\hat{D}^F(p) := \hat{D}^{ret}(p) - \hat{D}^{(-)}(p) = -(2\pi)^{-2} \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i0^+}$.

Application: Propagators of the Free Fields

Fermion field: Comm. dist.: $\hat{S}(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\not{p} + m)\text{sgn}(p_{(-)})\delta(p^2 - m^2)$ has $\omega_- = -1$.

$$\hat{S}^{ret}(p) = -(2\pi)^{-2} \left(\frac{\not{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2 + \text{sgn}(p_{(-)})i0^+} - \frac{\gamma^{(+)}}{2p_{(-)}} \right) .$$

Feynman's propagator: $\hat{S}^F(p) := \hat{S}^{(-)}(p) - \hat{S}^{ret}(p) = (2\pi)^{-2} \left(\frac{\not{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2 + i0^+} - \frac{\gamma^{(+)}}{2p_{(-)}} \right)$.

Radiation field in NP-gauge: Commutation distribution:

$\hat{D}_{(a)(b)}(k) = \frac{i}{2\pi}\text{sgn}(k_{(-)})\delta(k^2) \left(\eta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{k_{(a)}\eta_{(b)} + \eta_{(a)}k_{(b)}}{k_{(-)}} \right)$, with $\omega_- = -2, -1$.

$$\hat{D}_{(a)(b)}^{ret}(k) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{-2}}{k^2 + \text{sgn}(k_{(-)})i0^+} \left\{ \eta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{k_{(a)}\eta_{(b)} + \eta_{(a)}k_{(b)}}{k_{(-)}} + \frac{k^2}{k_{(-)}^2} \eta_{(a)}\eta_{(b)} \right\} .$$

Feynman's prop.: $\hat{D}_{(a)(b)}^F(k) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{-2}}{k^2 + i0^+} \left\{ \eta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{k_{(a)}\eta_{(b)} + \eta_{(a)}k_{(b)}}{k_{(-)}} + \frac{k^2}{k_{(-)}^2} \eta_{(a)}\eta_{(b)} \right\}$.

Instantaneous terms of Feynman's propagators are a consequence of the splitting of the causal distribution.

Non-negative singular order ($\omega_- \geq 0$): The splitting is trivial for test functions:

$$\varphi(X) = \left(X^{(+,\perp)}\right)^{[\omega_-]+1} \varphi_0(X) \Leftrightarrow D_{(+,\perp)}^{b'} \varphi \left(0; 0^{(\perp)}; X^{(-)}\right) = 0 \text{ for } b' \leq [\omega_-] .$$

For a general test function, define the projection operator W as:

$$(W\varphi)(X) := \varphi(X) - w(X) \sum_{b=0}^{[\omega_-]} \frac{\left(X^{(+,\perp)}\right)^b}{b!} D_{(+,\perp)}^b \varphi \left(0; 0^{(\perp)}; X^{(-)}\right) ,$$

with $w \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ an auxiliary function such that:

$$w \left(0; 0^{(\perp)}; X^{(-)}\right) = 1 \quad , \quad D_{(+,\perp)}^c w \left(0; 0^{(\perp)}; X^{(-)}\right) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq c \leq [\omega_-] .$$

With these considerations, the retarded distribution is defined in the following way:

$$(r; \varphi) := \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \chi \left(\frac{X^{(+)}}{s} \right) d(X); (W\varphi)(X) \right) \equiv (d; \Theta W\varphi) .$$

Non-negative singular order ($\omega_- \geq 0$):

$$\hat{r}(P) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int dQ \hat{\Theta}(Q) \left(\hat{d}(P - Q) - (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{b=0}^{[\omega_-]} \frac{P_{(+,\perp)}^b}{b!} \int dP' D_{P'_{(+,\perp)}}^b \hat{d}(P' - Q) \check{w}(P'_{(+)}; P'_{(\perp)}; P'_{(-)} - P_{(-)})^* \right).$$

$r = d$ on $\Gamma_{n-1}^+(0) \setminus X^{(-)}$ -axis, even if we add to r terms which are non-zero on the $X^{(-)}$ -axis. Those additional terms can be chosen in such a way that the dependence with w vanishes: Retarded distribution with normalization line $K = (K_{(+)}; K_{(\perp)}; P_{(-)})$:

$$\hat{r}_K(P) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq}{q + i0^+} \left\{ \hat{d}((p_{1(+)} - q; \mathbf{p}_1); p_2; \dots; p_{n-1}) - \sum_{b=0}^{[\omega_-]} \frac{(P_{(+,\perp)} - K_{(+,\perp)})^b}{b!} D_{K_{(+,\perp)}}^b \hat{d}((k_{1(+)} - q; \mathbf{k}_1); k_2; \dots; k_{n-1}) \right\},$$

which satisfies the normalization condition:

$$D_{(+,\perp)}^b \hat{r}_K(K) = 0 \quad ; \quad b \leq [\omega_-] \quad .$$

Central splitting solution: $K = (0; 0_{(\perp)}; P_{(-)})$.

Splitting of the Causal Distribution: Normalization Terms

$(r; a)$ and $(\tilde{r}; \tilde{a})$: two solutions of the splitting problem.

$$d = r - a = \tilde{r} - \tilde{a} \Rightarrow r - \tilde{r} = a - \tilde{a} .$$

Support of l.h.s.: $\Gamma_{n-1}^+(0)$, support of r.h.s.: $\Gamma_{n-1}^-(0)$, hence the equality can hold only if the support of the above quantities is the intersection of those two sets, that is, the $X^{(-)}$ -axis. That means that r and \tilde{r} can only differ by *normalization terms* of the form:

$$r(X) - \tilde{r}(X) = \sum_{a=0}^{[\omega_-^r]} C_a \left(X^{(-)} \right) D_{(+,\perp)}^a \delta \left(X^{(+,\perp)} \right) ,$$

with $C_a \left(X^{(-)} \right)$ some distributions of the variables $X^{(-)}$. Those normalization terms only appear for $\omega_-^r \geq 0$, while the retarded solution for $\omega_-^r < 0$ is unique.

In momentum space, the indefinite terms are:

$$\sum_{a=0}^{[\omega_-^r]} \hat{C}_a \left(P_{(-)} \right) P_{(+,\perp)}^a .$$

This normalization terms (the distributions \hat{C}_a) must be fixed by other physical conditions besides causality.

In CPT the one-point distribution is defined as (linear in e):

$$T_1(x) = -i :j_{(a)}(x): A^{(a)}(x) \quad ; \quad :j_\mu(x): = ie : \varphi^\dagger(x) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \varphi(x): \quad .$$

To go to second order we need:

$$A'_2(x_1; x_2) = \tilde{T}_1(x_1) T_1(x_2) = -T_1(x_1) T_1(x_2) \quad , \quad R'_2(x_1; x_2) = -T_1(x_2) T_1(x_1) \quad .$$

Causal distribution of second order ($y \equiv x_1 - x_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2(x_1; x_2) = e^2 \left\{ \right. & \left[: \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_\mu \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \partial_\nu \varphi(x_2) : - : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_\mu \varphi(x_1) \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \varphi(x_2) : \right. \\
 & - : \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \partial_\nu \varphi(x_2) : + : \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_1) \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \varphi(x_2) : \left. \right] iD^{\mu\nu}(y) \quad (1) \\
 & + \left[- : \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : i\partial_\mu \partial_\nu D(y) + : \partial_\mu \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : i\partial_\nu D(y) \right. \\
 & - : \varphi(x_1) \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : i\partial_\mu D(y) + : \partial_\mu \varphi(x_1) \partial_\nu \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : iD(y) \\
 & - : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : i\partial_\mu \partial_\nu D(y) + : \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : i\partial_\nu D(y) \\
 & \left. - : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_\nu \varphi(x_2) : i\partial_\mu D(y) + : \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_\nu \varphi(x_2) : iD(y) \right] : A^\mu(x_1) A^\nu(x_2) : + \dots \left. \right\} \quad . \\
 & \quad \quad \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Moeller's scattering: $D_2^M(x_1; x_2) = -iD_{(a)(b)}(y) :j^{(a)}(x_1)j^{(b)}(x_2): .$

$$T_2^M(x_1; x_2) = R_2^M(x_1; x_2) - R_2^{\prime M}(x_1; x_2) = -iD_{\mu\nu}^F(y) :j^\mu(x_1)j^\nu(x_2):$$

Second order S_2 operator in the adiabatic limit ($g \rightarrow 1$) with normalization term allowed for $\omega_-^r = 0$:

$$S_2^M = -\frac{i}{2}(2\pi)^{-2} \int d^4k d^4x_1 d^4x_2 e^{-iky} \left(\hat{D}_{\mu\nu}^F(k) + \hat{C}(k_{(-)}) \right) :j^\mu(x_1)j^\nu(x_2): .$$

Choosing (locality condition):

$$\hat{C}(k_{(-)}) = (2\pi)^{-2} \frac{\eta_\mu \eta_\nu}{k_{(-)}^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{D}_{\mu\nu}^F(k) + \hat{C}(k_{(-)}) = -(2\pi)^{-2} \frac{1}{k^2 + i0^+} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu \eta_\nu + \eta_\mu k_\nu}{k_{(-)}} \right) .$$

We identify the chosen term $\hat{C}(k_{(-)})$ as the instantaneous term of the interaction Lagrangian density in the usual approach, which has arose in CPT as a normalization term by imposing a locality condition:

$$S_2^M = \dots - \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x_1 :j^{(+)}(x_1) \frac{1}{\partial_{(-)}^2} j^{(+)}(x_1): \quad .$$

For the initial and final defined momenta states

$$b^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_1) b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad b^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_2) b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_2) \Omega$$

the contribution of the remaining non-local terms vanish, so that the result is equivalent to use simply:

$$-(2\pi)^{-2} \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{k^2 + i0^+} \quad .$$

This proves the equivalence with instant dynamics for Moeller's scattering of scalar particles.

Compton's scattering: In D_2^C appear the numerical distributions ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_- [D] &= -2 \quad ; \quad \omega_- [\partial_{(-)} D] = -2 \quad , \quad \omega_- [\partial_{(\alpha)} D] = -1 \quad ; \\ \omega_- [\partial_{(-)}^2 D] &= -2 \quad , \quad \omega_- [\partial_{(-)} \partial_{(\alpha)} D] = -1 \quad , \quad \omega_- [\partial_{(\alpha)} \partial_{(\beta)} D] = 0 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Performing the splitting and writing the normalization terms:

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^C(x_1; x_2) &= ie^2 : A^{(a)}(x_1) A^{(b)}(x_2) : \\ &\times \left\{ - \left(: \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : + : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : \right) \partial_{(a)} \partial_{(b)} D^F(y) \right. \\ &\quad + \left(: \partial_{(a)} \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : + : \partial_{(a)} \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : \right) \partial_{(b)} D^F(y) \\ &\quad - \left(: \varphi(x_1) \partial_{(b)} \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : + : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_{(b)} \varphi(x_2) : \right) \partial_{(a)} D^F(y) \\ &\quad \left. + \left(: \partial_{(a)} \varphi(x_1) \partial_{(b)} \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : + : \partial_{(a)} \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_{(b)} \varphi(x_2) : \right) D^F(y) \right\} \\ &- ie^2 : A^{(\perp)}(x_1) A^{(\perp)}(x_2) : \left\{ C(y^{(-)}) : \varphi(x_1) \varphi^\dagger(x_2) : \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C'(y^{(-)}) : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : \right\} \delta(y^{(+, \perp)}) . \end{aligned}$$

We use physical conditions to fix the normalization terms:

(A) Charge conjugation invariance:

$$(\mathbf{U}_\varphi \otimes \mathbf{U}_A) T_n(x_1; \dots; x_n) (\mathbf{U}_\varphi \otimes \mathbf{U}_A)^\dagger = T_n(x_1; \dots; x_n) \quad ,$$

with: $\mathbf{U}_\varphi \varphi(x) \mathbf{U}_\varphi^\dagger = \varphi^\dagger(x)$, $\mathbf{U}_A A^\mu(x) \mathbf{U}_A^\dagger = -A^\mu(x)$. Then: $C(y^{(-)}) = C'(y^{(-)})$.

(B) Residual gauge invariance: Imposing that T_2^C is invariant under

$A^{(a)}(x) = A^{(a)}(x) + \partial^{(a)} \Lambda(x^{(+)}; x^{(\perp)})$, which guarantees $A^{(+)} = A^{(+)} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left[: \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \varphi(x_1) : + : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : \right] \left(\partial_{(b)} \delta(y) - \partial_{(b)} \left[C(y^{(-)}) \delta(y^{(+,\perp)}) \right] \right) \\ & + \left[: \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \partial_{(b)} \varphi(x_1) : + : \partial_{(b)} \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_2) : \right] C(y^{(-)}) \delta(y^{(+,\perp)}) \\ & - \left[: \partial_{(b)} \varphi^\dagger(x_2) \varphi(x_1) : + : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \partial_{(b)} \varphi(x_2) : \right] \delta(y) = 0 \Rightarrow C(y^{(-)}) = \delta(y^{(-)}) . \end{aligned}$$

The contribution of this normalization terms to S_2 in the adiabatic limit is:

$$\frac{1}{2!} \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 T_2^C(x_1; x_2) = \dots - ie^2 \int d^4 x_1 : A^{(\perp)}(x_1) A^{(\perp)}(x_1) : : \varphi^\dagger(x_1) \varphi(x_1) : \quad .$$

Application: $\bar{\psi}\Gamma\psi\varphi$ Model

φ : Neutral spin zero field; ψ : fermion field. One-point distribution:

$$T_1(x) = -ig : \bar{\psi}(x)\Gamma\psi(x) : \varphi(x) \quad .$$

$\Gamma = 1$ for φ scalar, $\Gamma = \gamma^{(5)} := i\gamma^{(0)}\gamma^{(1)}\gamma^{(2)}\gamma^{(3)}$ for φ pseudoscalar.

Boson-fermion scattering:

$$D_2^{(BF)}(x_1; x_2) = ig^2 (: \bar{\psi}(x_1)\Gamma S(y)\Gamma\psi(x_2) : - : \bar{\psi}(x_2)\Gamma S(-y)\Gamma\psi(x_1) :) : \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) : \quad .$$

Singular order: $\omega_- = -1$. Performing the splitting and writing the normalization terms:






$$T_2^{(BF)}(x_1; x_2) = \left\{ : \bar{\psi}(x_1) \left(-ig^2\Gamma S^F(y)\Gamma + C(y^{(-)}) \delta(y^{(+,\perp)}) \right) \psi(x_2) : \right. \\ \left. - : \bar{\psi}(x_2) \left(-ig^2\Gamma S^F(-y)\Gamma + C'(y^{(-)}) \delta(y^{(+,\perp)}) \right) \psi(x_1) : \right\} : \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) : \quad .$$

Choosing $\hat{C}(p_{(-)}) = -i(2\pi)^{-2}g^2\epsilon\frac{\gamma^{(+)}}{2p_{(-)}} = -\hat{C}'(p_{(-)})$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1$ for $\Gamma = 1, \gamma^{(5)}$) the instantaneous part in $\hat{S}^F(y)$ is cancelled (locality condition).

Contribution of normalization terms to S_2 in the adiabatic limit:

$$\frac{1}{2!} \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 T_2^{(BF)}(x_1; x_2) = \dots + \int d^4x_1 : \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_1) : : \bar{\psi}(x_1)\epsilon g^2 \frac{\gamma^{(+)}}{2\partial_{(-)}} \psi(x_1) : \quad .$$

- When the causality axiom is referred to the $x^{(+)}$ coordinate, causality theorems in LF dynamics allows the retarded and advanced distributions to be non-null on the entire $x^{(-)}$ -axis. Then the normalization terms are defined in this region.
- Instantaneous terms in the propagators arise in the splitting procedure of the commutation distributions.
- In the applications, the normalization distributions can be chosen in such a way that locality is preserved. They reproduce the non-local terms in the Lagrangian density in the usual approach.
- Residual gauge invariance implies the arising of the second order vertex of SQED as a normalization term.

-  H. Epstein, V. Glaser. The role of locality in perturbation theory. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré A* **19**: 211-295, (1973).
-  F. Rohrlich. Null Plane Field Theory. *Acta Phys. Austriaca*, Suppl. VIII: 277-322, (1971).
-  G. Scharf. *Finite Quantum Electrodynamics. The Causal Approach*. 3th edition. Dover, (2014).
-  R. Bufalo, B.M. Pimentel, D.E. Soto. The Epstein-Glaser causal approach to the light-front QED₄ I: Free theory. *Ann. Phys.* 351: 1034-1061, (2014).
-  R. Bufalo, B.M. Pimentel, D.E. Soto. The Epstein-Glaser causal approach to the light-front QED₄ II: Vacuum polarization tensor. *Ann. Phys.* 351: 1062-1084, (2014).

Thanks to the LC2019 Organizing Committee.



And to CNPq-Brazil for the financial support.

