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The CMS Collaboration

3170 scientists and engineers (including ~800 students) from 169 institutes in 39 countries

~1/4 of the people who made CMS possible
7 TeV operations since March 30

About 346 nb\(^{-1}\) delivered by LHC and \(\sim 303\) nb\(^{-1}\) of data collected by CMS. Overall data taking efficiency \(\sim 88\%\).

CMS: Integrated Luminosity 2010

\[
\mathcal{L} \approx 10^{27} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L} \approx 10^{28} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L} \approx 10^{29} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L} \approx 10^{30} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

Good performance of CMS in coping with the 3 orders of magnitude increase in instantaneous luminosity. Additional challenge: most of the luminosity used for ICHEP results delivered in the last week(s).

281 nb\(^{-1}\) good data for muon based analyses; 254 nb\(^{-1}\) validated for any analysis.
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## Sub-detectors operational status

![Graph showing sub-detectors operational status](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>91</th>
<th>92</th>
<th>93</th>
<th>94</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUON-CSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUON-DT</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUON-RPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL BARREL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL ENDCAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL FORWARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECAL BARREL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECAL END-CAPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE-SHOWER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRIP TRACKER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIXEL TRACKER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Series 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUON-CSC</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUON-DT</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUON-RPC</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL BARREL</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL ENDCAP</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL FORWARD</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECAL BARREL</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECAL END-CAPS</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE-SHOWER</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRIP TRACKER</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIXEL TRACKER</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

G. Tonelli, CERN/INFN/UNIPI

ICHEP10 Paris

July, 26 2010
DAQ & L1 and HLT Triggers

- **L1/DAQ**
  - L1 ~ 45kHz; Event size at DAQ 500 kB/evt (after compression in HLT for StreamA ~250kB); 200-400Hz of data to storage.
  - Timing has precision of 1 ns or better

- All L1 triggers have high efficiency and sharp turn-on curves

---

**L1 EG Trigger**
(Threshold 5 GeV)

Efficiency for electrons/photons

**L1 Jet Trigger**

Efficiency for rec. jets
L1+ HLT Triggers

- Successfully deployed HLT menus for $2-4-8 \times 10^{29} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $1.6 \times 10^{30} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Each one has a factor 2 of safety margin. Very smooth running throughout. In preparation/validation HLT menus for $10^{31}-10^{32}$.
- Processing time per event to $\sim 50 \text{ ms/ev}$ at a lumi of $\sim 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- (Farm Capacity $\sim 100 \text{ ms/evt}$ at L1 rate of 50kHz)

Special stream to collect $\pi^0$s for the calibration of ECAL: $>100 \pi^0$/crystal/day at $10^{30}$. Relative calibration already close to 1%. Goal is 0.5% ($>10 \text{pb}^{-1}$)
Data Processing, Transfer and Analysis Activities

Excellent experience so far: the whole offline and computing organization + GRID infrastructure performing very well.

Hourly Peaks to Tier1s of 600MB/s

Express FEVT Latency

Mean is 60.5 minutes
Target is 60 minutes

Change of slope with ICHEP and FastSim
250M New Simulated Events per Month with T2 and T3

Routinely >100k jobs per day

>500 individuals submitting jobs
Understanding the Tracker Performance

- **$p_T$ spectrum**
- **$\eta$ distribution**
- **$\phi$ distribution**
- **Transverse impact parameter**

**Pion tracking efficiency from the study of $D^0(K3\pi), D^0(K\pi)$**

**Muon tracking efficiency with Tag&Probe from $J/\psi$**
Low mass resonances

- Tracks displaced from primary vertex ($d_{3D} > 3\sigma$)
- Common displaced vertex ($L_{3D} > 10\sigma$)

Invariant mass distribution for different combinations ($\Omega^\pm \rightarrow \Lambda K^\pm$ or $\Xi^\pm \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^\pm$) fit to a common vertex.

PDG Mass:
- $\Omega^-$ ($\rightarrow \Lambda K^-$):
  - Mass: $1321.71 \pm 0.07$

PDG Mass:
- $\Xi^-$ ($\rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-$):
  - Mass: $1672.43 \pm 0.29$

![Invariant mass distribution graphs](image1.png)

---
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Several different b-tagging algorithms fully validated:

a) Track counting
b) Secondary vertex tagger
c) Jet probability
d) Lepton taggers.

High efficiency taggers used in the first studies.

3D impact parameter value and significance (+zoom into all tracks with Pt>1GeV belonging to jets with p_T > 40 GeV and |η| < 1.5 (PFlow Jets anti-k_T R=0.5).

Excellent alignment and general tracking performance
Two b-jets candidate
Progress in the study of the tracker material

A complex activity is ongoing using many different, complementary methods: conversions, nuclear interactions, multiple scattering etc+ check of the energy loss and of the momentum scale using low mass resonances.

Material uncertainty today better than 10%→Systematics uncertainties on physics quantities related to material budget <1% .
ECAL clusters (electrons and photons)

1 GeV  4.5 GeV
timing resolution

energy distribution

$\eta$ distribution

$\phi$ distribution
Muons

Muons identification efficiencies and kinematic variables have been studied in detail using minimum bias events and dimuon resonances.

Distributions dominated by light hadron decay (red); excellent agreement with MC prediction including heavy flavor decays (blue); small fraction of punch-through (black) and fakes (green).
The highest mass dijet event in the first 120nb$^{-1}$ of data
Jet Energy Correction

- Jets reconstructed with anti-$k_T$ $R=0.5$ algorithms.
- Three different approaches: Purely Calorimetric, Jet+Tracks, Particle Flow Jets
- Jet Energy Correction performed using MC vs data on single particle response, dijet $p_T$ balance, photon+jet balance.

Current physics analysis use a 10% (5%) JEC uncertainties for CALO jets (JPT and PFjets), with an additional 2% uncertainty per unit rapidity.

Our measurements show that this assumption can be considered conservative.
Excellent resolution and small non-gaussian tails. Understanding all sources of erratic noise is very important for cleaning the distributions. MET ready for physics.


Minimum bias events
Non single-diffractive event selection (correction 6% $\rightarrow$ 2.5% systematic error)
Really soft QCD ($p_T$ tracks down to 50MeV)
Inclusive jet cross section

Inclusive jet $p_T$ spectra have been produced for all three jet approaches used in CMS.

All results are in good agreement with NLO theory.

With the new Particle Flow approach the distributions can be extended to a low $p_T$ value of 18 GeV.
Inclusive b-jet cross section

Important test of our capability to master the b-tagging tools (in this case the High Purity version of the Secondary Vertex Tagger). The b-tagged purity of the sample has been extracted from the fit to the mass of the secondary vertex with templates. The b-tagging efficiency from a fit to the muon $p_T$ variable using templates. Mistag rate from negative tails of the distributions. The ratio of the b-inclusive to the jet-inclusive to cancel out common systematic uncertainties.

Reasonable agreement with NLO but discrepancies in $\eta$ and $p_T$ shapes.
Search for narrow resonances in di-jet final states.

We have measured in 120\,nb\(^{-1}\) of data the dijet mass differential cross section for centrally produced jets \(|\eta_1, \eta_2|<1.3\). The distribution is sensitive to the coupling of any new massive object from New Physics to quarks and gluons.

As appetizer of what will be soon possible: 85% exclusion limits for String resonances with mass <1.67\,TeV; excited quarks< 0.59\,TeV; axigluons <0.52\,TeV
Stopped gluinos and Heavy Stable Charged Particles.

We search for long living particles decaying in the detector after the end of each LHC fills (special trigger to record important release of energy in “no beam condition”) and for heavy particles releasing anomalous signals in CMS while traversing the tracking system (high momentum, highly ionizing “muons”). Gluino masses are excluded <229GeV (τ=200ns) and <225GeV (τ=2.6μs).

Limits on gluinos from HSCP analysis at 271 and 284 GeV (with muon id).
A) great flexibility of the trigger system of CMS. Two algorithms deployed: 1) HLT Mu3, is a single muon trigger using very loose L1 muon trigger primitives+ simple $p_T$ cut of 3 GeV/c on the associated track. 2) L1 Double Muon Open: two muons at the hardware level, without any further processing ($p_T<4$ GeV in $|\eta|<2.4$). 50k $J/\psi$ per pb$^{-1}$ down to 0 $p_T$ in the forward.

B) Excellent momentum resolution $\leq 1\%$ for $|\eta|\leq 0.7$; $\leq 3\%$ for $|\eta|\leq 2.5$;
Here is the Compact Muon Solenoid

CMS Preliminary, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV
$L_{\text{int}} = 280$ nb$^{-1}$
Differential cross section as a function of pT for the two different rapidity intervals and in the null polarization scenario. The total cross section for inclusive J/ψ production in the di-muon decay channel is

\[
\text{BR}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \cdot \sigma(pp \rightarrow J/\psi + X) = (289.1 \pm 16.7\text{(stat)} \pm 60.1\text{(syst)}) \text{ nb}
\]

(4 \leq p_T \leq 30\text{GeV/c and } |y| <2.4; the systematic uncertainty is dominated by the statistical precision of the muon efficiency determination from data).
Fraction of $J/\psi\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-$ from B Hadron decays

Traditional approach: the B transverse decay length used to separate the prompt from the non-prompt component

and to measure the prompt (non-prompt) differential cross section.

Non prompt cross section:

$$\text{BR}(J/\psi\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-) \cdot \sigma(pp\rightarrow bX\rightarrow J/\psi X') = (56.1 \pm 5.5\text{(stat)} \pm 7.2\text{(syst)}) \text{ nb}$$

($p_T > 4\text{GeV/c and } |y| < 2.4$)
Y(1s, 2s and 3s) → μ⁺μ⁻

The Y family is there and with enough statistics we will be able to resolve well the Y2s from the Y3s (we have measured 67 MeV resolution for |y| < 0.7). Meanwhile we have measured the Y(1s) cross section x BR in dimuons and the corresponding differential cross section.

\[ \sigma(pp \rightarrow Y(1S)X) \cdot B(Y(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (8.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.0) \text{nb} \] (Assuming no polarization and integrated over |y| < 2.0)
Extraction of the $W^\pm (Z^0) \rightarrow \mu^\pm (\mu^\pm \mu^-) \rightarrow \mu^\pm (\mu^- \mu^-)$ yield signal

Trigger path: $\mu + X(p_T > 9 GeV/c) \ | \Delta R < 2.4$.

Good quality muon track (hits in pixels, strip tracker, muon system and $\chi^2$/dof <10). For the W: relative isolation $\leq 0.15$ in a cone of $\Delta R < 0.3$ around the muon. For the Z: looser quality criteria on the second muon, opposite charge and $| \Delta R | < 2.4$; both muons isolated, $p_T > 20$ GeV and invariant mass $60 < m_{\mu\mu} < 120$ GeV/c$^2$.

Simultaneous fits to backgrounds and signal contributions. QCD background shapes obtained using data. EWK background shapes and signal from MonteCarlo.

$N_w = 818 \pm 27$

$N_Z = 77$
Trigger HLT path: $e/\gamma + X (E_T > 15 \text{ GeV})$. $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}; 0 < |\eta| < 1.4; 1.566 < |\eta| < 2.5$. Electron identification: ECAL clusters are required to match a track + requirements on shower shape variables in ECAL, HCAL.

Tight algorithm (75% efficiency) is used for W while a looser algorithm (90% efficiency) is used for the Z. Yield of W bosons determined using simultaneous fits to background and signal contributions. QCD background shapes obtained using data, electroweak background and signal shapes from Monte Carlo simulation.

Yield of W bosons determined using simultaneous fits to background and signal contributions. QCD background shapes obtained using data, electroweak background and signal shapes from Monte Carlo simulation.

$N_w = 800 \pm 30$

$N_Z = 61$
Notice: ~all major components of the measurements (efficiency, background, systematic errors etc) are carefully evaluated using data driven methods.
and then we deploy everything for hunting the top
Lepton+Jets loose selection

- Triggers: \( \mu + X (p_T > 9 \text{ GeV/c}) \) or \( e/\gamma + X (E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}) \)
- Ask for exactly 1 prompt, isolated electron (muon) of good quality

- Rel.isol. < 10\%(e), 5\%(\mu) due to larger backgrounds
- \( p_T(e) > 30 \text{ GeV/c}, |\eta_e| < 2.4 \)
- \( p_T(\mu) > 20 \text{ GeV/c}, |\eta_\mu| < 2.1 \)
- No initial MET cut or b-tagging selection.

\[
\text{Detected energy around the lepton} = \sum_{R<0.3} p_T^{\text{track}} + \sum_{R<0.3} p_T^{\text{ECAL}} + \sum_{R<0.3} p_T^{\text{HCAL}} / p_T^{(\text{lepton})}
\]

- Count additional jets
  - anti-\( k_T \) jets, \( R = 0.5 \)
  - using calorimeter info
  - \( |\eta| < 2.4, p_T > 30 \text{ GeV/c} \)

\( \geq 4 \) jets is typical for ttbar
Event passes all cuts of full selection
1 high-momentum muon
significant MET > 100
$m_T(W) = 104 \text{ GeV/c}^2$
4 high-$p_T$ jets,
one of which with good $b$-tag

reconst. top mass around 210 GeV/c$^2$
masses of 2 untagged jets (3 possible comb.): 104, 105, 151 GeV/c$^2$
e+Jets candidate event on July 18

Event passes all cuts:
- 1 high-momentum electron
- significant MET $\approx 44$ GeV
- 4 high-$p_T$ jets, two of which with good/clear $b$-tags (with reconstructed 2ndary vertices)

Mass of 2 untagged jets $\approx 102$ GeV/$c^2$

$m_T(W) \approx 77$ GeV/$c^2$

$m(jjj) \approx 208, 232$ GeV/$c^2$ (for the two 3-jet combinations)
Dileptonic channels: ee, \( \mu\mu, e\mu + X \)

- **Triggers:** \( \mu+X (p_T > 9 \text{ GeV/c}) \) or \( e/\gamma+X (E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}) \)
  - 2 isolated, prompt, oppositely charged leptons \( (l = e,\mu) \) of good quality
    - \( p_T(l) > 20 \text{ GeV/c} \)
    - \( |\eta_{\mu}| < 2.5, |\eta_e| < 2.4 \)
    - Relative isolation <15%.

- **Missing transverse energy (MET)**
  - using calorimeter\(\oplus\)tracking
  - MET > 30 (20) GeV (in \( e\mu+X \))

- **Z-boson veto:**
  - \( 76 < M_{ee,\mu\mu} < 106 \text{ GeV/c}^2 \)

- **Count additional jets:**
  - anti-\(k_T\) jets, \( R = 0.5 \)
  - using calorimeter\(\oplus\)tracking info
  - \( |\eta| < 2.4, p_T > 30 \text{ GeV/c} \)
  - \( \geq 2 \) jets typical for ttbar
The "golden" $\mu\mu$+jets candidate July 18
Event passes all cuts of full selection:
2 muons with opposite charge
2 jets, both w/ good/clear b-tags (and secondary vertices!)
significant MET (>50 GeV)

Preliminarily reconstr. mass is in the range 160–220 GeV/c² (consistent with m_{top})

m(\mu\mu) = 26 GeV/c²
Multiple primary vertices → multiple pp collisions ("pile-up")
Jets & muons originate from same primary vertex

Very clean candidate sitting in a region where we expect very little background!
Where are we today?

Going through the full statistics collected so far and requiring at least 1 jet b-tagged (simple secondary vertex tagger with ≥2 tracks)

WARNING: All following plots are “out of the box”, i.e. no syst., no data-driven background estimation, yields from sim. etc etc.

Where are we today?

e+jets

μ+jets
The signal region is getting populated

At least 1 jet b-tagged

ee+jets/μμ+jets
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Conclusion

We are at the Top......

.....and it is just the beginning.

Many thanks

to you for the attention,
to the organizing committees for the perfect organization of this ICHEP10,
to the LHC teams for the excellent start-up of the first physics run at 7 TeV,
to the operations team of CMS (P5, online and offline, computing, validation, dqm etc) for having been so focused in taking high quality data up to the last available minute,
to the previous Spokespersons and the whole management at large of CMS
to the thousands of people that participated in the fantastic adventure of designing, building, installing and commissioning the CMS detector and its software and computing infrastructure.
to the hundreds of young (and not so young) colleagues that spent many sleepless nights in the last weeks to produce these results,
Back-up slides
CMS detector

- Tracking, ECAL and HCAL all embedded inside 3.8 T solenoid magnet
- Muon chambers outside magnet, interleaved with iron return yoke
- Precise silicon pixel and silicon strip tracking system at $|\eta| < 2.4$
- Fine-grained (Moliere radius ~2 cm) lead tungstate crystal ECAL at $|\eta| < 3.0$
- Barrel+end cap HCAL coverage up to $|\eta| < 3$, hadronic forward up to $|\eta| < 5$
Performance of CMS in a nutshell

Tracking

HCAL

CMS ECAL

b-tagging

Resolution in 3×3 crystal 704
S= 2.83 +/- 0.3 (%) 
N=124 (MeV) 
C= 0.26 +/- 0.04 (%)
Before collisions \(>10^9\) cosmics recorded
Detailed understanding of detector performance

Momentum resolution vs $p_T$ with 2-leg muons. Distance of minimal approach with split tracks. Excellent control of the momentum scale.

Good understanding of alignment and magnetic field; good description of the detector. Most of the tracker aligned at what was expected after 10pb$^{-1}$ of collision data. Performance not too far from ideal.
Missing Transverse Energy

- **Calo jets**
- **JPT jets**
- **PF jets**

**Minimum Bias Events**

- **Di-jet Events**

**Graphs**

- CMS Preliminary 2010
  - $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV
  - Data vs Simulation

- Number of Events / GeV
- Number of Events / 2 GeV
- Comparison of Calo jets, JPT jets, and PF jets in the context of minimum bias and di-jet events.
Fraction of $J/\psi$ from B hadron vs $p_T$

CMS data

LHC $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV
Preliminary

LHC and CDF data

G. Tonelli, CERN/INFN/UNIPI

ICHEP10 Paris

July, 26 2010
Anomalous Signals in Calorimeters

In collision data we observe some anomalous signals in ECAL and HCAL. Now reproduced in simulation.

**ECAL**
- Appear mostly in a single crystal
- In time with collisions but with wider time-spread (also occur in cosmics at a much lower rate)
- Caused mostly by deposits in APDs by highly ionising secondary particles.

**HCAL: HB, HE**
- Appear in 1-72 channels
- Random, low rate, ~ 10-20 Hz (E>20 GeV)
- Caused by ion feedback, noise & discharges in HPDs

**HCAL: HF**
- In time with collisions
- Caused by C\textsuperscript{\textnu} light by particles going through PMT glass

---
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Identification of EB Anomalous Deposits

Tagging by topology:
At the cluster level the anomalous deposits tend to be in a single isolated crystal, while for good deposits energy is typically shared between neighbouring crystals.
Flag: $k_{\text{weird}}$

Tagging using timing:
1) The anomalous signals tend to be out of time and have a much wider spread around the good timing.
2) The anomalous signal’s rise time is faster
Flags: $k_{\text{out of time}}, \chi^2$

- 7 TeV Data
  - Runs: 132601, 132605, 132716

- 7 TeV Data
  - Runs: 133974, 876, 877, 881, 885, 928, 135149, 175
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**Long fibers**: extend for the full length of HF

**Short fibers**: start at a depth of 22cm from the front of HF

HF PMT hits can be identified based on the energy sharing between the Long and Short fibers using a cut on

\[ R = \frac{E_L - E_S}{E_L + E_S} \]  and timing information.

---

**Graphs**:

- **Short Fibres**
  - \( R \) vs \( t \) for \( S > 90 \)

- **Long Fibres**
  - \( R \) vs \( t \) for \( L > 90 \)

---
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Current Status of MET Cleaning

Distributions exponential over 5-6 orders of magnitude
Scan of events in the high tail show no entries from potential ECAL anomalous deposits. There are a few HF ones, look to be easily identifiable and algorithms against these are being developed. Though more work is still needed.