ICHEP 2010, Paris, July 22

Combined measurement of $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ and effect on PDF fits

Massimo Corradi

INFN Bologna

on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS and collaborations

Heavy Quark production in DIS

Fixed Flavour–Number Scheme (FFNS)

c (b)only from hard scattering,
3 (4) active flavours in p



leading order: boson-gluon fusion

Direct access to $g(x)$

FFNS spoiled when Q^2, $p_T \gg m_Q$
e.g. large $\log(Q^2/m_Q^2)$

Zero Mass Variable Flavour–Number Scheme (ZM-VFNS)

c, b active flavours in p



resums $\log(Q^2/m^2)$

c,b: massless partons:
ZM-VFNS fails at $Q^2 \leq \mathcal{O}(m_Q^2)$

needed at high-energy colliders

c, b PDFs can be directly tested in DIS

PDF fits and charm threshold, GM-VFNS

HERA DIS data are in a Q^2 range where the charm mass effects are relevant:
ZM-VFNS can not be used

Generalised Mass-VFNS (GM-VFNS):
interpolates between FFNS at $Q^2 < m_c^2$ and ZM-VFNS at $Q^2 >> m_c^2$
different HQ schemes developed recently

GM-VFNS PDFs have a significant dependence on

- the HQ scheme
- the charm mass

Impact of different GM-VFNS schemes on W/Z cross section at LHC
up to 3% at NLO

Precise charm DIS data expected to test/constrain these GM-VFN schemes

Data sets used in the prel. H1-ZEUS combination

- ZEUS, D^{*+}, HERA I, L=82+37 pb^{-1} (hep-ex/9908012, hep-ex/0308068)
- H1, D^{*+}, HERA I, L=47 pb^{-1} (hep-ex/0608042)
- H1, D^{*+}, HERA II prel., L=340 pb^{-1} (high-Q^2 part: arXiv:0911.3989)
- ZEUS, D^+, D^0, 2005 data, L=134 pb^{-1} (arXiv:0704.3562 [hep-ex])
- ZEUS, μ, 2005 data, L=121 pb^{-1} (arXiv:0904.3487 [hep-ex])
- H1, lifetime tag, HERA I, L=57 pb^{-1} (hep-ex/0411046, hep-ex/0507081)
- H1 lifetime tag, HERA II prel, L=189 pb^{-1} (now in arXiv:0907.2643)



D^{*+} signal (ZEUS HERA-I 98-00)



Secondary vertex significance (H1 lifetime tag)

$F_2^{c\bar{c}}$

$F_2^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2)$ is the part of the F_2 structure function with a $c\bar{c}$ in the final state:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{c\bar{c}}}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^4} Y_+ \left[F_2^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} F_L^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2) \right]$$

$$Y_+ = 1 + (1 - y)^2$$

(note: definition may differ from that used by theorists, see Forte et al. arXiv:1001.2312)

D mesons (or μ) production measured in "visible" phase space

typically $|\eta(D^*)| < 1.5$, $p_T(D^*) > 1.5$ GeV

$F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ extracted using theory-based correction:

$$F_2^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2) = \sigma_{\text{vis,bin}} \frac{F_2^{c\bar{c},\text{theo.}}(x, Q^2)}{\sigma_{\text{vis,bin}}^{\text{theo.}}}$$

Similarly for inclusive lifetime tagging:
experiments mostly sensitive to events with several central high-p_T tracks

extraction of $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$

- $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ re-calculated consistently for D, μ data starting from σ_{vis}

- HVQDIS NLO program used (Harris, Smith). good description of $\eta(D)$, $p_T(D)$

- non-perturbative quantities taken from e^+e^- and ep data:
  - fragm. functions
  - fragm. fractions

- Typical kin. acceptances: $\mathcal{A} = \sigma_{\text{vis}}/\sigma_{\text{tot}}$

  D^*: $0.25 < \mathcal{A} < 0.70$ for $2 < Q^2 < 200$ GeV^2

  μ: $0.25 < \mathcal{A} < 0.40$ for $30 < Q^2 < 1000$ GeV^2



$p_T(D^*)$



$\eta(D^*)$



frag. function



frag. fractions

Combined F_2^c compared to single measurements

- method similar to inclusive combination arxiv:0911.0884
- 156 measurements + 54 correl. syst. $\Rightarrow$ 46 combined points
- data are compatible $\chi^2/\mathrm{ndof} = 88/110$
- precision $7-10\%$ for $6.5 \leq Q^2 \leq 60$ GeV^2
- $Q^2 \leq 4$ GeV^2 D^* HERA-I data
- $Q^2 \leq 20$ GeV^2 sizeable correlated theoretical uncertainty



H1-ZEUS combined F_2^c compared to NLO and NNLO calculations

- data can distinguish between different theoretical predictions
- FFNS:
  GJR08
  ABKM FFNS
- GM-VFNS:
  MSTW08
  CTEQ6.6
  ABKM BMSN



H1-ZEUS combined F_2^c compared to HERAPDF1.0

- HERAPDF 1.0 fit to inclusive HERA I data
- RT GM-VFNS (as MSTW08)
- Central curve: $m_c = 1.4$ GeV

  band:
  $m_c = 1.3$GeV (upper)
  $m_c = 1.65$GeV (lower)

  [pole mass (PDG): 1.47 – 1.83 GeV]



Adding combined $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ to HERAPDF1.0 Fit

- Fit HERA I + $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$

  $Q^2 > 3.5$ GeV^2
  ($Q^2 = 2$ GeV^2 bin excluded)

  41 charm points

- RT GM-VFNS

- $m_c = 1.4$ GeV
  $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 134.5/41$

  $m_c = 1.65$ GeV
  $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 43.5/41$



Effect on PDFs

Alternative HQ schemes





Optimized RT (smoother at $Q^2 = m_c^2$, Thorne DIS2010)

RT STandard (MSTW)

- small change in $g(x)$
- dependence on m_c and HQ scheme of the same size of PDF uncertainty



ACOT (as in CTEQ6.6)

NNLO Fit

- "approximated" NNLO RT GM-VFNS

- expected to be less dependent on HQ scheme

- agrees better at low x, Q^2

  overall agreement similar to NLO

- $m_c = 1.4$ GeV
  $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 54/41$

  $m_c = 1.65$ GeV
  $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 186/41$



Conclusions

- Preliminary combination of H1 and ZEUS $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ data
- data from $Q^2 = 2$ GeV^2 to 1000 GeV^2
- precision of $7 - 10\%$ on a wide kinematic range
- data more precise than spread between different theor. predictions
- $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ fitted together with inclusive HERA data
- significant dependence of the results on the choice of m_c and of the HQ scheme.

BACKUPS

HERA, H1 and ZEUS

HERA

- $E(e^{\pm}) = 27.5\text{GeV}$
  $E(p) = 920\text{GeV}$
- HERA-I: 1992-2000
  HERA-II: 2003-2007



- $\mathcal{L} \simeq 0.5\text{fb}^{-1}$ (per experiment)





Combination method

Combination done taking into account correlated exp. and theo. uncertainties

Similar method as for inclusive combination: find the values of the "true" $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ (m^i) and of the "systematic shifts" (b_j) that minimize the function

correlated systematic errors

$$\chi^2(\vec{m},\vec{b}) = \sum_i \frac{\left(m^i - \sum_j \gamma_j^i m^i b_j - \mu^i\right)^2}{(\delta_{i,stat}\,\mu^i)^2 + (\delta_{i,unc}\,m^i)^2} + \sum_j b_j^2$$

statistical errors

uncorrelated systematic errors

(where μ^i=i^{th} measurement)

MC experiments show that this is an unbiased estimator

156 original measurements

54 correlated uncertainties

46 combined $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ points

Exp. syst. independent between H1 and ZEUS

Theor. unc. correlated between data sets:

- fragmentation function
- fragmentation fractions
- HVQDIS: $\mu_f = \mu_r = \sqrt{Q^2 + m_c^2}$ varied by fact. 2, m_c, PDFs

Procedural unc. on the χ^2 function form

Fits With Different HQ schemes: RT-Optimized, ACOT





RT-optimized: smoother FFNS-VFNS transition (see R. Thorne, DIS 2010)

- $m_c = 1.4$ GeV : $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 64/41$
- $m_c = 1.65$ GeV : $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 100/41$

ACOT-full (as used by CTEQ)

- $m_c = 1.4$ GeV: $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 89/41$
- $m_c = 1.65$ GeV: $\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 41/41$

HERAPDF1.0+$F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ FFNS FIT





χ^2 of fits with different HQ schemes:

HQ scheme	$m_c = 1.4$ GeV	$m_c = 1.65$ GeV
NLO:		
RT STandard	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 134/41$	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 43/41$
RT Optimized	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 64/41$	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 100/41$
ACOT	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 89/41$	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 41/41$
FFNS	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 52/41$	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 249/41$
NNLO:		
RT STandard	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 54/41$	$\chi^2_{\text{charm}} = 186/41$

ACOT scheme with/without $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ data



Thanks to Amanda Cooper Sarkar

W and Z rapidity distributions



Predictions from HERAPDF1.0 for W+ W- anc rapidity distributions at the LHC 14 TeV (m_c=1.4GeV)

The blue line shows the shi for m_c=1.65 GeV

$\sigma(W^+).B_{W\to l\nu}$ (nb)	$\sigma(W^-).B_{W\to l\nu}$ (nb)	$\sigma(Z).B_{Z\to ll}$ (nb)
m_c=1.4 GeV **12.44±0.01** **+0.31 -0.08** **+0.23 -0.06**	**9.28±0.05** **+0.25 -0.07** **+0.21 -0.04**	**2.076±0.014** **+0.059 -0.015** **+0.045 -0.009**
m_c=1.65 GeV **12.76**	**9.52**	**2.13**

Charm production from $Q^2 \sim 0$ to 400 GeV^2



$\sigma(\gamma^* p \to D^{*\pm} X)$
for $p_T(D^*) > 1.5$ GeV^2, $|\eta(D^*)| < 1.5$

$d\sigma(ep \to D^* X)/dQ^2 \propto 1/Q^2$ for $Q^2 \ll m_c^2$

$d\sigma(ep \to D^* X)/dQ^2 \propto 1/Q^4$ for $Q^2 \gg m_c^2$

Acceptance of ZEUS muon analysis



$$A = \frac{N(\mu; p_T > 1.5, -1.6 < \eta < 2.3)}{N(\mu)}$$

versus p_T or y
of the highest-p_T $c/\bar{c}$ quark