No image: Irony. On the road to success there are no shortcuts

No image: College of architecture and planning irony

The theory

In practice

Science

Development/evolution

Domain

Infrastructure

System

Computing hardware

Funding
Politics
Changing needs

Science

Computing hardware

Minimal support

Minimal support

- Clearly lots of evolution......
- What is the impact of the growing hardware on support needs?
- Can we sustain delivery to LHC and service other VOs with level effort?
- Priority of efficiency recommendations (i.e. big changes)? Where do we want to be for HL-LHC?
- What is the effort distribution model? As now?
- Transitions often require an injection of effort. Can we ask for more?
- What is the GridPP6 vision? Who are we trying to convince?
- Do we need to get more visibility of the benefits of effort in this area?

No image: Irony we can repair anything. Mall maintenance.

- PPRP only need to know RSE
- How much local engagement is needed?
- Data lakes – very different operational model.
- New VOs come with development requests (how much can be "handed off" to IRIS or similar?). We still need to operate the evolving services.