

Scale invariance and symmetries in inflation

Jinn-Ouk Gong

Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute
Daejeon 34055, Korea

Scale invariance in particle physics and cosmology

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

28th January, 2019

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Scale invariance during inflation
- 3 Symmetries during inflation
- 4 Consequences and beyond
 - Non-Gaussian consistency relation
 - Spectral tilt of power spectrum
 - Extension to multi-field case
- 5 Conclusions

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Scale invariance during inflation
- 3 Symmetries during inflation
- 4 Consequences and beyond
 - Non-Gaussian consistency relation
 - Spectral tilt of power spectrum
 - Extension to multi-field case
- 5 Conclusions

Why are universal relations important?

- Relations to underlying principles behind phenomenology
- Independent of model detail
- Symmetries behind

Strong discriminator for different classes of models

Examples

- Squeezed limit of scalar bispectrum & power spectrum

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_{\mathcal{R}}(q, k_1, k_2)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)} = (1 - n_{\mathcal{R}}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \quad (k_1 \approx k_2 \equiv k)$$

- Similar relations also hold

Are such relations from symmetry ?

Examples

- Squeezed limit of scalar bispectrum & power spectrum

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_{\mathcal{R}}(q, k_1, k_2)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)} = (1 - n_{\mathcal{R}}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \quad (k_1 \approx k_2 \equiv k)$$

- Similar relations also hold

Are such relations from ~~symmetry~~ scale invariance?

What am I going to discuss?

Scale invariance in particle physics and cosmology

- Scale invariance during inflation?
- Relation to symmetries during inflation?
- Consequences and further idea?

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Scale invariance during inflation**
- 3 Symmetries during inflation
- 4 Consequences and beyond
 - Non-Gaussian consistency relation
 - Spectral tilt of power spectrum
 - Extension to multi-field case
- 5 Conclusions

Scale invariance with gravity

Inclusion of gravity requires a dimensionful coupling

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} R + S_{\text{matter}}$$

Scale invariance is broken from the beginning!

Quadratic action for cosmological perturbations

Spatial metric $g_{ij} = a^2(t)(e^h)_{ij}$ with $h_{ij} = 2H_L\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij} + \dots$

$$S_2^{(s)} = \int d^4x a^3 m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \epsilon \left[\dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 - \frac{(\nabla\mathcal{R})^2}{a^2} \right] \quad \left(\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \ll 1 \right)$$

Canonical form with $d\tau = a dt$, $u \equiv z\mathcal{R}$ and $z \equiv a\dot{\phi}_0/H$

$$S_2^{(s)} = \int d\tau d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left[u'^2 - (\nabla u)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{z''}{z}}_{\equiv -m^2} u^2 \right]$$

Breaking of scale invariance

(Global) rescaling of coordinates x^μ and u (N.B. u has mass dim 1)

$$x^\mu \rightarrow e^\alpha x^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad u \rightarrow e^{-\alpha} u$$

Associated current is **not** conserved, but slightly broken

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = \underbrace{\left(m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dm^2}{d \log \tau} \right)}_{=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)} u^2$$

Current and Ward-Takahashi identities

- Action as a function of ϕ and $\partial_\mu\phi$: $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu\phi)$
- Under $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \delta\phi$, $\delta S = \int d^4x \Delta$ with $\partial_\mu j^\mu = \Delta$

WT identities i.t.o. connected Green's functions reads (e.g. Coleman 1985)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} \left\langle T[j^\mu(y)\phi(x_1)\cdots\phi(x_n)] \right\rangle = \left\langle T[\Delta(y)\phi(x_1)\cdots\phi(x_n)] \right\rangle \\ - i\delta^{(4)}(y-x_1) \left\langle T[\delta\phi(x_1)\cdots\phi(x_n)] \right\rangle - \dots$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Scale invariance during inflation
- 3 Symmetries during inflation**
- 4 Consequences and beyond
 - Non-Gaussian consistency relation
 - Spectral tilt of power spectrum
 - Extension to multi-field case
- 5 Conclusions

Symmetries during inflation

During inflation, space-time is almost de Sitter

- 1 Very special gravitational background
- 2 Symmetries we enjoy in dS:
 - GR: general coordinate invariance $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \xi^\mu$
 - Time-dependent: time translational symmetry is broken (EFT of inflation with Goldstone mode $\pi = -\mathcal{R}/H$)
 - dS isometries: transformations that leave dS as dS

Isometries of dS

Special coordinate transformations that leave dS as dS

- ① Translation: $x^i \rightarrow x^i + a^i$
- ② Rotation: $x^i \rightarrow x^i + \omega^i_j x^j$ with $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$
- ③ Special conformal transformations:

$$t \rightarrow t - 2H^{-1}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$x^i \rightarrow x^i - b^i(-H^{-2}e^{-2Ht} + x^2) + 2(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})x^i$$

At the end of inflation ($t \rightarrow \infty$) time is not affected

- ✓ Dilatation: both time and spatial coord change as

$$t \rightarrow t - H^{-1} \log(1 + \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad x^i \rightarrow (1 + \lambda)x^i$$

Again as $t \rightarrow \infty$ only spatial coordinates matter

Scale invariance as a dS isometry

- Scale invariance is one of dS isometries, dilatation symmetry
- Departure from scale inv amounts to departure from perfect dS
- Scale inv manifests itself through appropriate perturbation

We need a good gauge choice

Gauge choice

Different perturbations transform differently under $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \xi^\mu$, e.g. $\gamma_{ij} \rightarrow \gamma_{ij}$, so we can choose ξ^μ by setting specific variables zero

$$\text{Comoving gauge: } \delta\phi = 0 \text{ and } \partial_j(h_{ij} - 2H_L\delta_{ij}) = 0$$

Why dilatation is special in comoving gauge (or vice versa)

Under dilatation $x^i \rightarrow (1 + \lambda)x^i$, gauge conditions are invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\phi &\rightarrow (1 - \lambda x^k \partial_k) \delta\phi \\ h_{ij} - 2H_L \delta_{ij} &\rightarrow (1 - \lambda x^k \partial_k) (h_{ij} - 2H_L \delta_{ij})\end{aligned}$$

Form of metric remains intact under dilatation: residual symmetry, and e.g. $H_L = 0$ (flat gauge condition) is not preserved

$$H_L \rightarrow \begin{cases} H_L - \lambda(1 + x^i \partial_i H_L) & \text{(dilatation)} \\ H_L + [x^2 b^i - 2(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})x^i] \partial_i H_L - 2(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}) & \text{(SCTs)} \end{cases}$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Scale invariance during inflation
- 3 Symmetries during inflation
- 4 Consequences and beyond**
 - Non-Gaussian consistency relation
 - Spectral tilt of power spectrum
 - Extension to multi-field case
- 5 Conclusions

WT identities for \mathcal{R} with scale invariance

Integrating WT identity for n -point correlation function of \mathcal{R}

- Dilatation is an exact symmetry, so $\Delta = 0$
- Evaluated at the same time, $x_1^0 = x_2^0 = \dots = x_n^0 \equiv t$

$$\langle [Q, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_n)] \rangle = -i \langle \delta \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_n) \rangle \dots - i \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \delta \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_n) \rangle$$

with $Q \equiv \int d^3x j^0(t, \mathbf{x})$: dilatation charge

Why curvature perturbation is special

Q is the generator of transformation under dilatation: $\delta\phi = i[Q, \phi]$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{R} &= -1 - x^i \partial_i \mathcal{R} \\ \delta\phi &= -x^i \partial_i \phi \text{ for } \phi \in \{\gamma_{ij} \dots\} \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow \langle Q\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}) \rangle = \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}) + \text{real part}$$

- ① -1 is the Goldstone nature of \mathcal{R}
- ② Dilatation charge creates \mathcal{R} out of vacuum with $\mathbf{k} \approx 0$

Lowest-order relation

Specifying to $n = 2$

- LHS: inserting 1-ptl excited state $P_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1/2} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k})|\Omega\rangle$ gives

$$-i\langle\Omega|[Q, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2)]|\Omega\rangle = \lim_{q\rightarrow 0} \frac{B_{\mathcal{R}}(q, k_1, k_2)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)}$$

- RHS: using $\delta\mathcal{R} = -1 - x^i\partial_i\mathcal{R}$ gives (with $|\mathbf{k}_1| \approx |-\mathbf{k}_2| \equiv k$)

$$-(3 + \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}_2})\langle\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2)\rangle = (1 - n_{\mathcal{R}})P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$$

Equating LHS and RHS gives lowest-order nG consistency relation

WT identities for \mathcal{R} with broken scale invariance

Dilatation charge is not conserved so now Δ term is included:

$$\left\langle \left[i \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \int d^3y \left(m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dm^2}{d\log \tau'} \right) u^2(\tau', \mathbf{y}), u(\tau, \mathbf{x}_1) u(\tau, \mathbf{x}_2) \right] \right\rangle$$

This gives

$$n_{\mathcal{R}} = \underbrace{3 + \frac{d\log |u_k|^2}{d\log \tau}}_{\text{definition of } n_{\mathcal{R}}} + 4\Im \underbrace{\left[\frac{u_k^{*2}}{|u_k|^2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \left(m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dm^2}{d\log \tau'} \right) u_k^2(\tau') \right]}_{=2[2-\log 2-\gamma-\log(-k\tau)]\epsilon\eta \text{ with } \eta \equiv \dot{\epsilon}/(H\epsilon)}$$

Comoving gauge in multi-field inflation

- 1 Spatial condition: $\partial_j(h_{ij} - 2H_L\delta_{ij}) = 0$, the same
- 2 Temporal condition: $\dot{\phi}_{0a}\delta\phi^a = 0$ (equiv to $T^0_i = 0$)
 - Decompose $\delta\phi^a$ along and orthogonal to time evolution

$$\delta\phi^a = \delta\phi_{\perp}^a + \dot{\phi}_0^a\pi \quad \text{with} \quad \dot{\phi}_{0a}\delta\phi_{\perp}^a = 0$$

- $\dot{\phi}_{0a}\delta\phi^a = \dot{\phi}_0^2\pi = 0$ means simply $\pi = 0$, so MS variables becomes

$$\delta\phi^a - \frac{\dot{\phi}_0^a}{H} \left(H_L - \frac{\Delta}{3} H_T \right) = \delta\phi_{\perp}^a - \frac{\dot{\phi}_0^a}{H} \underbrace{\left(H_L - \frac{\Delta}{3} H_T - H\pi \right)}_{\equiv \mathcal{R} \text{ with } H_T=0 \text{ \& } \pi=0}$$

1 d.o.f. in gravity, and $n - 1$ d.o.f. in matter

Lowest-order relation with multiple fields

While $\delta\phi_{\perp}$ is orthogonal to time evolution, it can interact with \mathcal{R}

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1, k_2, q)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)} &= (1 - n_{\mathcal{R}}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \\ &+ \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \left[P_a(q) - \frac{P_{a\mathcal{R}}^2(q)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)} - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{P_{ab}^2(q)}{P_b(q)} \right]^{-1} \frac{P_{a\mathcal{R}}(q)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)} \\ &\times \left[B_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}a}(k_1, k_2, q) - \frac{P_{a\mathcal{R}}(q)}{P_{\mathcal{R}}(q)} B_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1, k_2, q) \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{P_{ab}(q)}{P_b(q)} B_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}b}(k_1, k_2, q) \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Existence of interactions imposes normalization factor
- No assumption except for weak interactions between $\delta\phi_{\perp}^a$'s

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Scale invariance during inflation
- 3 Symmetries during inflation
- 4 Consequences and beyond
 - Non-Gaussian consistency relation
 - Spectral tilt of power spectrum
 - Extension to multi-field case
- 5 Conclusions

Conclusions

- Scale invariance during inflation
 - Scale invariance is broken
 - But the breaking is not strong
- Symmetries during inflation
 - dS isometries that leave dS as dS
 - Scale invariance as one of dS isometries
 - Good gauge choice is necessary: comoving gauge
- Consequences and beyond
 - WT identities based on symmetries
 - Various consequences follow