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The fact is that

\[ \frac{G_F \hbar^2}{G_N c^2} \sim 10^{33} \]

where \( G_F \) - Fermi constant, \( G_N \) - Newton constant

**Quantum complications:**


Let \( M_X \) be some heavy mass scale. Then, one expects

\[ \delta m_{H,X}^2 \sim M_X^2 \]

Even if one assumes that there are no heavy thresholds beyond the EW scale, then, naively,

\[ \delta m_{H,grav.}^2 \sim M_P^2 \]
EFT approach and beyond

The Effective Field Theory paradigm:

Low energy description of Nature, provided by the SM, can be affected by an unknown UV physics only through a finite set of parameters.

This “Naturalness principle” is questioned now in light of the absence of signatures of new physics at the LHC.


What if one goes beyond the EFT approach? Many examples are known:

- Multiple point criticality principle  
  D. L. Bennett, H. B. Nielsen’94; C. D. Froggatt, H. B. Nielsen’96

- Asymptotic safety of gravity  
  S. Weinberg’09; M. Shaposhnikov, C. Wetterich’09

- EW vacuum decay  
  V. Branchina, E. Messina, M. Sher’14; F. Bezrukov, M. Shaposhnikov’14
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It is tempting to write something like

\[ v \sim M_p e^{-B} \]  

where \( v \) is the Higgs vev and \( B \approx 37 \) in order to match with experiment.

Eq. (*) can be viewed as resulting from a saddle-point approximation of some functional integral. At this point euclidean classical configurations come into play.
Outline of the idea

Let us endow Eq. (*) with the physical meaning.

Consider a theory containing the real scalar field \( \phi \), the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \), and, possibly, other fields whose presence we ignore for the moment. Let \( M_P \) be the only classical scale in the theory. Then,

The vacuum expectation value of \( \phi \) is

\[
\langle \phi \rangle \sim \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}g_{\mu\nu} \phi(0) e^{-S}.
\]

**Assume** that in the large-field limit the scalar degree of freedom is reorganised according to

\[
\phi \rightarrow M_p e^{\bar{\phi}/M_p}, \quad \phi \gtrsim M_p
\]

Then, the expression in the path integral in this limit becomes

\[
\phi(0) e^{-S} \rightarrow M_p e^{-S'}, \quad S' = -\bar{\phi}(0)/M_p + S
\]

**Assume** that the saddle-point approximation (SPA) can be applied to \( S' \). Then,

\[
\langle \phi \rangle = M_p e^{-B + B_0}
\]

where \( B \) - the value of \( S' \) evaluated on a saddle, \( B_0 \) - the euclidean action evaluated on a vacuum solution.

**For this to work, it is necessary to find**

- appropriate saddle points of \( S' \),
- semiclassical parameter that would justify the SPA,
- physical argumentation that would justify the change of the scalar field variable.
Conjectures:

- **Scale Invariance:** The idea of reducing an amount of dimensionful parameters as a way towards the fundamental theory seems fruitful. Besides, SI can protect the Higgs mass against large radiative corrections.

- **No degrees of freedom beyond the EW scale:** Experimental data?

- **Dynamical gravity:** We believe gravity plays a crucial role in the effect we look for.
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**Disclaimer:** We do not argue that the models we choose to test the instanton mechanism can indeed be embedded into the UV complete theory of gravity.
Example 1: Higgs inflation scenario

Lagrangian of the model: \( \mathcal{L}_I \) (inspired by Higgs inflation)

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}_I}{\sqrt{g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (M_P^2 + \xi \phi^2)R + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 + V
\]

to get rid of the non-minimal coupling

Some fields redefinition:

\[
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Omega^2 = \frac{M_P^2 + \xi \phi^2}{M_P^2},
\]

\( \phi = M_P e^{\phi/M_P} \)

“Einstein frame” Lagrangian:

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}_E}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}}} = -\frac{1}{2} M_P^2 \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2a} (\bar{\partial} \bar{\phi})^2 + V \Omega^{-4}
\]

to make the kinetic term canonical

\( V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 \)

\( g \rightarrow a_{SI} = \frac{1}{1/\xi + 6} \)
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---

The problem: \(\tilde{\phi}(0) = \infty\)
Example 1: Higgs inflation scenario

To cure the problem, let us modify the Lagrangian:
\[
\mathcal{L}_I = -\frac{1}{2}(M_P^2 + \xi \phi^2)R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^2 + V + \delta_n \frac{(\partial \phi)^{2n}}{(M_P \Omega)^{4n-4}}
\]

Some fields redefinition:
\[
\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Omega^2 = \frac{M_P^2 + \xi \phi^2}{M_P^2}, \quad \phi = M_P e^{\tilde{\phi}/M_P}
\]

"Einstein frame" Lagrangian:
\[
\mathcal{L}_E = -\frac{1}{2} M_P^2 \bar{R} + \frac{1}{2a} (\bar{\partial} \phi)^2 + V \Omega^{-4}
\]

Action to vary: \( S' = -\tilde{\phi}(0)/M_P + S \)

Metric ansatz: \( d\tilde{s}^2 = f^2(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_3^2 \)

EoM for \( \tilde{\phi} \) in the SI regime:
\[
\frac{4\delta \rho^3 \tilde{\phi}^3}{M_P^4 f^3} + \frac{r^3 \tilde{\phi}'}{fa_{SI}} = -\frac{1}{M_P}
\]
\( \delta = \delta_2/\xi^2 \)

Short-distance asymptotics of the instanton: \( \tilde{\phi}' \sim M_P^2 \delta^{-1/6} \)

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{The problem:} \quad |B| = \mathcal{O}(1)
\end{array} \]

Different colors for different \( \delta \)
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To cure the problem, let us modify the Lagrangian:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_I}{\sqrt{g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (M_P^2 + \xi \phi^2) R + \frac{1}{2} F(\phi/M_P)(\partial \phi)^2 + V + \delta_n \frac{(\partial \phi)^{2n}}{(M_P \Omega)^{4n-4}}$$

some polynomial operator

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4$$

Some fields redefinition:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu \nu} , \quad \Omega^2 = \frac{M_P^2 + \xi \phi^2}{M_P^2} , \quad \phi = M_P e^{\tilde{\phi}/M_P}$$

"Einstein frame" Lagrangian:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_E}{\sqrt{g}} = -\frac{1}{2} M_P^2 \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2a'} (\tilde{\partial} \phi)^2 + V \Omega^{-4}$$

Action to vary: \[ S' = -\tilde{\phi}(0)/M_P + S \]

Metric ansatz: \[ d\tilde{s}^2 = f^2(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_3^2 \]

EoM for \( \tilde{\phi} \) in the HE regime:

$$\frac{4 \delta}{M_P^4 f^3} + \frac{r^3 \tilde{\phi}'}{fa_{HE}} = -\frac{1}{M_P}$$

$$\delta = \delta_2/\xi^2$$

The result:

$$B_{HE} \sim \sqrt{a_{HE}} \quad B \approx B_{HE} \quad \langle \phi \rangle \sim M_P e^{-B}$$

Here \( \xi \sim 10^3 \), \( \delta \sim 10^{-8} \)
Discussion

Points to notice:

- There is nothing special about $B \approx 37$
- The instanton is not sensitive to the physics at low energies
- The mechanism is not sensitive to the particular model, but:
- Approximate Weyl invariance at high energies seems to be necessary
Example 2: No scale scenario

The Higgs-Dilaton Lagrangian: (inspired by the fact that all scales emerge dynamically)

\[
\mathcal{L}_J = -\frac{1}{2}(\xi \chi^2 + \xi_h h^2)R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial h)^2 + V
\]

the vev of the dilaton gives the Planck mass

Some fields redefinition…

\[
\chi = \frac{M_P \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + 6\xi}} \cdot e^{\rho/M_P}, \quad h = \frac{M_P \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 + 6\xi_h}} \cdot e^{\rho/M_P}
\]

“polar coordinates”

“Einstein frame” Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_E = -\frac{1}{2}M_P^2 \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2a(\theta)}(\tilde{\partial} \rho)^2 + \frac{b(\theta)}{2}(\tilde{\partial} \theta)^2 + V\Omega^{-4}
\]

\[
\theta \to \pi/2 \quad a \longrightarrow a_{SI} = \frac{1}{1/\xi + 6}
\]
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Action to vary: \[ S' = -\rho(0)/M_P + S \]

Metric ansatz: \[ d\tilde{s}^2 = f^2(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega_3^2 \]

EoM for \( \rho \):

\[ \frac{r^3 \rho'}{fa(\theta)} = -\frac{1}{M_P} \]

Short-distance asymptotics of the instanton:

\[ \rho' \sim -M_P r^{-1}, \quad \theta \rightarrow \pi/2 \]

The same problems as in example 1.

The same treatment.
Example 2: No scale scenario

The modified Lagrangian: 
\[
\mathcal{L}_j = -\frac{1}{2}(\xi_\chi \xi^2 + \xi_h h^2)R + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ij}^{(2)} g^\mu_\nu \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ijkl}^{(4)} g^\mu_\nu \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^j g^\rho_\sigma \partial_\rho \phi^k \partial_\sigma \phi^l + V
\]

Some fields redefinition…

\[
\chi = \frac{M_P \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + 6\xi_\chi}}, \quad h = \frac{M_P \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 + 6\xi_h}}
\]

“Einstein frame” Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_E = -\frac{1}{2} M_P^2 \ddot{R} + \frac{1}{2a'(\theta)} (\ddot{\rho})^2 + \frac{b(\theta)}{2} (\dot{\theta})^2 + \delta (\ddot{\rho})^2 + V \Omega^{-4} + \frac{\delta}{M_P^2}
\]

Action to vary: 
\[
S' = -\rho(0)/M_P + S
\]

Metric ansatz: 
\[
d\tilde{s}^2 = f^2(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega_3^2
\]

EoM for \(\rho\):
\[
\frac{4\delta}{M_P^4} \frac{\rho^3 r^3}{f^3} + \frac{r^3 \rho'}{fa'(\theta)} = -\frac{1}{M_P}
\]

Short-distance asymptotics of the instanton:
\[
\rho' \sim -M_P^2 \delta^{-1/6}, \quad \theta \to \pi/2
\]

As before, 
\[
\begin{align*}
B_{HE} & \sim \sqrt{a_{HE}} \\
B & \approx B_{HE}
\end{align*}
\]
Outlook

- More understanding of the singular instanton is needed (what about fluctuations above it?),

- More understanding of the conditions for the successful implementation of the mechanism is needed (what is special about gravity?),

- The option where both low-energy and high-energy parts of $B$ are important is also possible,

- 2-point scalar correlation function via instanton?

- Majorana masses via instanton?
Thank you!