LHC Higgs X-section WG2 CERN 10.12.2018

- BSM Benchmarks -

Mingshui Chen, Marco Delmastro, Chris Hays, Predrag Milenovic, David Marzocca, Francesco Riva

Higgs and EW Physics

Precision SM Measurements in Higgs physics…why?

- 1) Indirect searches of BSM
- 2) Test of how well we know the SM

Established framework: EFT*

$$
\mathcal{L}^{EFT} = \mathcal{L}^{SM} + \sum_{\left(i\right)} c_i \frac{\mathcal{O}}{M^2} + \cdots
$$

for 2), we want this as general as possible (for a nice parametrisation in this case, see last YR, [1610.07922\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1610.07922)

* Anomalous couplings lower SM cutoff and are an EFT

…as general as possible, model independent. $model$

- Difficult in practice $\mathsf{ts}\ \mathsf{V}_\mathsf{T}\qquad \mathsf{affects}\ \mathsf{V}_\mathsf{L}$ $\begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & & & \bullet \\ \bullet & & & \bullet \end{array}$ affects V_T affects V_L
- Inefficient (e.g. nearly flat direction $(\lambda_Z) \approx (\delta g_{1, Z})$, $\lambda_Z \approx -\delta g_{1.2}$
- Restricting assumptions appear in most a complete accident that occurs for the energy range and the observables explored by LEP-2. In the observab p

analyses (e.g. flavour universality) e[±] and W[±], whereas including polarization information would remove the blind direction. Single

- More is learnt about the SM when it's tested

against specific BSM hypotheses

BSM Benchmarks

Document that summarizes classes of BSM scenarios and matches to EFT

- Useful for experiments to motivate more sharply specific searches
- Useful for theorists to interpret exp. results in EFT language
- Leads to educated choices of subsets of operators

BSM Benchmarks

Largest effects: at tree level, when NP couples to SM as, ΦO_{SM}

- 1) Composite Higgs models _{Vecchi}
- 2) Generic Minimal SM extension

deBlas, Criado, Perez-Victoria,Santiago

3) Extended scalar sectors Dawson, Murphy

4) Strongly interacting vectors Liu, Wang

BSM Benchmarks

Largest effects: at tree level, when $\mathsf{NP}\,\Phi$ couples to SM as, ΦO_{SM}

- 1) Composite Higgs models _{Vecchi}
- 2) Generic Minimal SM extension deBlas, Criado, Perez-Victoria,Santiago
- 3) Extended scalar sectors Dawson, Murphy
- 4) Strongly interacting vectors Liu, Wang

Symmetry can lead to first interactions with NP $\Phi^2\mathcal{O}_{SM}$

5) New Physics at Loop level Henning

HXSWG Document

All contributions have arrived

Introduction missing

Document will provide a dictionary between class of models and class of processes

1) Composite HiggsLuca Vecchi

Guiding table: operators <-> processes (Universal Theories)

1) Composite HiggsLuca Vecchi

Composite Higgs:

1) Composite HiggsLuca Vecchi

Composite Higgs: $\delta {\cal L}_{\rm NDA}$ = m_\ast^4 ⇤ g^2_* ⇤ *L*ˆ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ *g*⇤*H* m_* $, \epsilon_{\psi}$ $g_\ast\psi$ *m* 3*/*2 ⇤ *,* D_μ m_{*} ! *,* (1) where $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ is a function of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ in the additional model-dependent coefficients of order coeffic New (perhaps large) coupling Symmetries New mass

Assumptions <-> EFT:

2) Generic Minimal SM extensions

deBlas, Criado, Perez-Victoria, Santiago (summarising 1711.10391)

2) Generic Minimal SM extensions

deBlas, Criado, Perez-Victoria, Santiago (summarising 1711.10391)

Simple extension with just one resonance:

2) Generic Minimal SM extensions

deBlas, Criado, Perez-Victoria, Santiago communisting 1711.10391) observed that many of the contributions to the contributions \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}

Generated operator(s), Warsaw basis

2) Generic Minimal SM extensions *^L*BSM ⁼ *^L*SM ⁺ *iQ*¯7*DQ/* ⁷ ⁺ *iQ*¯1*DQ/* ¹ + *M Q*¯7*Q*⁷ + *Q*¯1*Q*¹ representation of *SU*(2)*^L* ⇥ *SU*(2)*^R* ⇥ *^U*(1)*X*, where the hypercharge is *^Y* ⁼ *^T ^R* ³ + *X*. The contributions to the *O* operators from both doublets cancel each other. Only the operator (*Ou*)³³ is generated by a tree-level integration, with a positive Wilson coecient. The explicit value of (*Cu*)³³ in this SM extension

deBlas, Criado, Perez-Victoria, Santiago (summarising 1711.10391) In this model we have two singlet vector fields with di↵erent hypercharges. The *B* field contains a *Z*⁰ t. $\mathcal C$ T, ⇣ *Q*¯7*Lt^R* + *Q*¯1*L*˜*t^R* ⌘ + h.c.ⁱ 11**444**
22011 3.3 Pair of vector singlets: *B* ⇠ (1*,* 1)⁰ and *B*¹ ⇠ (1*,* 1)¹ as already indicated, and the *B*1, a *W*⁰ with right-handed couplings. We assign to them the same mass

Many models contribute to EW precision data: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ operators from both doublets cancel each other. Only the operator $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Table 5: Tree-level contributions to operators with the Higgs from the quark doublets *Q*⁷ and *Q*1, with the

Therefore, this is a model which can give large negative contributions to the top Yukawa coupling without the top Yukawa coupl

 assume custodial symmetry (Rather than neglecting operators) tustodial symmetry (Rather than neglecting operators) is given in table 5. **1** assume custodial symmetry (Rather than neak α *^BµB^µ* ⁺ *^B†* 1*µB^µ* \mathcal{L}

3.3 Pair of vector singlets: *B* ⇠ (1*,* 1)⁰ and *B*¹ ⇠ (1*,* 1)¹

3.1 Quark bidoublet: *Q*¹ ⇠ (3*,* 2)1*/*⁶ and *Q*⁷ ⇠ (3*,* 2)7*/*⁶ particular model: contributions to the *T* parameter are protected by custodial symmetry, bounds from the *S*

^g ⇣

 \mathbf{D} **c** and **r** \mathbf{L} respectively, with the pair of \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} Pair of vector singlets: $\mathcal{B} \sim (1,1)_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 \sim (1,1)_1$ \overline{p} Pair of vector singlets: $\mathcal{B} \sim (1,1)_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 \sim (1,1)_1$

*^L*BSM ⁼ *^L*SM ⁺ *iQ*¯7*DQ/* ⁷ ⁺ *iQ*¯1*DQ/* ¹

3) More details on Extended Scalar Sectors

Dawson, Murphy (summarising 1704.07851)

3) More details on Extended Scalar Sectors **Dawson, Murphy** (summarising 1704.07851) while holding the other parameters fixed, or sending *M* ! 1 (Eq. 8) also while keeping defaile an Extended Sealar Sectare *the internal (summarising 1/04.0/851)* the *SU*(2)*^L* representation of , the *Z*² violating potential contains one of the following

Scalar models parametrised through physical $\alpha,\;\beta$ where parametrised infough physical α, β while holding the other parameters fixed, or sending *M* ! 1 (Eq. 8) also while keeping α parameters fixed, causes the new scalar multiplet to decouplet the new scalar multiplet to decouple. The new scalar multiplet to decouple the new scalar multiplet to decouple. The new scalar multiplet to decouple the analogs of the alignment with decoupling limit, and the decoupling limit, and the decoupling limit of the 2HDM, \mathcal{A}

$$
\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h' \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tan \beta_s = v_h/v_\phi
$$

We define the angle $\mathbb P$ to characterize the mixing between the mixing between the neutral, $\mathbb P$ even com- $\tan \beta_s$ mixing ratio of vevs $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \vdots & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ tan $\beta_s = v_h/v_\phi$. We define the angle to characterize the mixing between the mixing between the mixing between the neutral, and components of *H* and mixing ratio of vevs

 $\text{Re}(\phi^0) = v_\phi + \varphi$.

3) More details on Extended Scalar Sectors **Dawson, Murphy** (summarising 1704.07851) while holding the other parameters fixed, or sending *M* ! 1 (Eq. 8) also while keeping defaile an Extended Sealar Sectare *the internal (summarising 1/04.0/851)* the *SU*(2)*^L* representation of , the *Z*² violating potential contains one of the following

Scalar models parametrised through physical $\alpha,\;\beta$ where parametrised infough physical α, β while holding the other parameters fixed, or sending *M* ! 1 (Eq. 8) also while keeping α parameters fixed, causes the new scalar multiplet to decouplet the new scalar multiplet to decouple. The new scalar multiplet to decouple the new scalar multiplet to decouple. The new scalar multiplet to decouple the analogs of the alignment with decoupling limit, and the decoupling limit, and the decoupling limit of the 2HDM, \mathcal{A}

$$
\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h' \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tan \beta_s = v_h/v_\phi
$$

We define the angle $\mathbb P$ to characterize the mixing between the mixing between the neutral, $\mathbb P$ even com- $\tan \beta_s$ mixing ratio of vevs $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \vdots & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ tan $\beta_s = v_h/v_\phi$. We define the angle to characterize the mixing between the mixing between the mixing between the neutral, and components of *H* and mixing ratio of vevs

 $Re(\phi^0) = v_{\phi} + \varphi$.

3) More details on Extended Scalar Sectors Dawson, Murphy

(summarising 1704.07851)

Constraints from T-parameter

Da Liu, Lian-Tao Wang

Models where transverse polarisations are strongly coupled (Remedios),

$$
\mathcal{O}_{3W}=\frac{1}{3!}g\epsilon_{abc}W^{a\nu}_{\mu}W^b_{\nu\rho}W^{c\rho\mu}
$$

Da Liu, Lian-Tao Wang

Models where transverse polarisations are strongly coupled (Remedios),

 $\mathcal{O}_{3W} = \frac{1}{3!} g \epsilon_{abc} W_\mu^{a\nu} W^b_{\nu\rho} W^{c\rho\mu}$

…& Higgs strongly coupled

Da Liu, Lian-Tao Wang

Models where transverse polarisations are strongly coupled (Remedios),

 $\mathcal{O}_{3W} = \frac{1}{3!} g \epsilon_{abc} W_\mu^{a\nu} W^b_{\nu\rho} W^{c\rho\mu}$

Important to motivate TGC or VH analysis

Da Liu, Lian-Tao Wang

5) Loop effects Henning

A Z2 accidental symmetry could lead to BSM-SM interactions:

First effects at loop level - calculable in weakly coupled UV

Some operators can only arise at loop level if $UV = weakly coupled particles of spin $\leq 1$$

Patterns: vs.

*c*3*^W c*2*^W* $\sim spin(\Phi)$

5) Loop effects

Explicit Examples: Light scalar stops $\Phi = (\tilde{Q}_3, \tilde{t}_R)^T$ $\mathcal{L} = \Phi^{\dagger} \left(-D^2 - m^2 - U \right) \Phi,$

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline c_{3G}=\frac{g_{z}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{20} & & & & & & \\ c_{H}=\frac{h_{t}^{4}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{3}{4}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{3}\frac{g'^{2}c_{2\beta}}{h_{t}^{2}}+\frac{1}{12}\frac{g'^{4}c_{2\beta}^{2}}{h_{t}^{4}}\right)-\frac{7}{6}\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{14}\frac{(g^{2}+2g'^{2})c_{2\beta}}{h_{t}^{2}}\right)+\frac{7}{30}\frac{X_{t}^{4}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}}\right] \\ c_{2G}=\frac{g_{z}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{20} & & & & & & \\ c_{2G}=\frac{g_{z}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{20} & & & & \\ c_{2W}=\frac{h_{t}^{4}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{g^{2}c_{2\beta}}{h_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2}\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{12}\frac{(3g^{2}+g'^{2})c_{2\beta}}{h_{t}^{2}}\right)+\frac{3}{10}\frac{X_{t}^{4}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{4}}\right] \\ c_{2W}=\frac{g^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{20} & & & & & \\ c_{D}=\frac{h_{t}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{h_{t}^{2}} & & & & \\ c_{D}=\frac{h_{t}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{h_{t}^{2}} & & & & \\ c_{D}=\frac{h_{t}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{h_{t}^{2}} & & & & \\ c_{G}=-\frac{h_{t}^{6}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{12}\frac{(3g^{2}-g'^{2})c_{2
$$

Useful for loop-level operators

Conclusions

EFT important for BSM searches and as generic SM test Generic analysis difficult

- Important to provide list of EFT BSM models with well-defined hypotheses (Benchmarks)
- Document ready early 2019

…more benchmarks…

Conclusions

Identify processes where EFT particularly simple or where dedicated analysis particularly advantageous

Ex: VH at high-E modified by a single dim-6 effect u_L, d_L u_L, d_L ϕ^{\mp} , ϕ^0

Ex: WZ — angular information improves analysis *Z*