

MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF REAL WORLD PROCESSES

Barbara Wagner

Weierstrass Institute Berlin

14/15 March 2019

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

CERN Training Lecture 2019





Matched asymptotic expansions

Multi-scale dynamics

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)



W.I.S.E. NASA,

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)



W.I.S.E. NASA,



W.I.S.E. NASA,

STARS - AMEBEA - LIQUID UNDER THERMAL GRADIENT

- Single to multi-armed spirals
- Forces that govern the motion of the indivdual stars or amebea are very different !!
- Presently no one can compute millions/billions of 3D paths of interacting stars or amebea, yet
 - on a large scale the millions of individuals behave as if their mass were continuously distributed
 - forming similar large scale structures
- Mathematical model that is able to describe these large scale patterns will have to be able to reduce the description to the essential most dominant forces creating these structures and their dynamics
- Power of Applied Mathematics is to be able model these (physically unrelated) structures AND analyse them with common mathematical tools
- Derivation of a averaged continuum model, analysis, numerical solution
- Important in modeling: Know the errors
- Dimensional Analysis and Scaling



- 1945 first atomic explosion in New Mexico: classified¹
- 1947 movie of explosion appeared
- 1950 G. I Taylor (University of Cambridge) published article on energy (still classified!!!) of explosion.
- What effects might be expected during nuclear fission?

To answer this question:

Calculate motion and pressure of ambient gas after explosion What is known ? What can be assumed ?

- After short, intense initial period: Shock appears
- Governing equations inside shock wave
- Assume: viscous effects are negligible (at this early stage), spherical symmtry

¹Barenblatt, 'Scaling' (2012)

Governing Equations (Spherical Symmetry)

Conservation of mass

Conservation of momentum

Conservation of energy

$$\partial_t \rho + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r \left(\rho r^2 u \right) = 0$$
$$\partial_t u + u \partial_r u + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_r p = 0$$
$$\partial_t \left(\frac{p}{\rho^{\gamma}} \right) + u \partial_r \left(\frac{p}{\rho^{\gamma}} \right) = 0$$

Boundary Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s(u_s - D) &= -\rho_0 D\\ \rho_s(u_s - D)^2 + p_s &= p_o + \rho_0 D^2\\ \rho_s(u_s - D) \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p_s}{\rho_s} + \frac{(u_s - D)^2}{2}\right) &= -\rho_0 D \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p_0}{\rho_0} + \frac{D^2}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Initial Conditions

$$\begin{split} \rho(r,0) &= \rho_0(r), \quad p(r,0) = p_0(r), \quad u(r,0) = 0 \quad r \ge r_0 \\ \rho(r,0) &= \rho_i(r), \quad p(r,0) = p_i(r), \quad u(r,0) = u_i(r) \quad r < r_0 \\ E &= 4\pi \int_0^{r_0} \rho_i \left(\frac{u_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p_i}{\rho_i}\right) r^2 dr \end{split}$$

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

Scaling

(i) Location of shock r_s depends on: $E,
ho_0, t, t_0, p_0, \gamma$

(ii) Assume: energy is suddenly released in infinitely concentrated form

 \Rightarrow point source i.e. $r_0 \ll r_s$

Assume: pressure of moving gas $p_s \gg p_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{neglect terms with } p_0$ at shock

Units
$$[E] = \frac{gcm^2}{s^2}$$
, $[\rho_0] = \frac{g}{cm^3}$, $[t] = s$, $[r_0] = cm$, $[p_0] = \frac{g}{cms^2}$
(i) & (ii) \Rightarrow neglect r_0, p_0

$$\Rightarrow \qquad S = \left(\frac{Et^2}{\rho_0}\right)^{1/5} \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{dimension of length} \\ \Rightarrow \qquad I := \frac{r_s}{S} = F(S, \rho_0, t, \gamma) \quad \text{dimensionless !}$$

- \Rightarrow depends only on constant γ
- \Rightarrow Taylor's Scaling Law $r_s = C(\gamma) \left(\frac{Et^2}{\rho_0}\right)^{1/5}$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{5}{2} \log_{10} r_s = \frac{5}{2} \log_{10} C + \frac{1}{2} \log_{10} \left(\frac{E}{\rho_0}\right) + \log_{10} t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathsf{E}$$

Scaling



FIGURE 1. Logarithmic plot showing that $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is proportional to t.

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

Buckingham-Pi Theorem

Assumptions

(i) A quantity u to be determined in terms of measurable quantities $\{w_1, ..., w_n\}$:

$$u = f(w_1, ..., w_n)$$
 (1)

- (ii) $\{u, w_1, ..., w_n\}$ involve m fundamental dimensions $L_1, ..., L_m$
- (iii) The dimension of a quantity z is a product of powers of the fundamental dimensions

$$[z] = L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots L_m^{\alpha_m} \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

Conclusions

(i) (1) can be expressed in terms of dim'less quantities.
(ii)
$$b_i = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ b_{mi} \end{bmatrix}$$
 dimension of w_i , $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & \dots & b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ dimension of problem.
Number of dim'less quantities is

Number of dim less quantities is

$$k+1 = n+1 - r(B)$$
, where $r(B) = rank$ of B.

Exactly k of these depend on $\{w_1, ..., w_n\}$

(iii) Let x_i , i = 1, ...k be k = n - r(B) linearly independent solutions x of Bx = 0. Let a be the dimension vector of u and y a solution of By = -a. Then (1) reduces to

$$\pi = g(\pi_1, ..., \pi_k), \tag{2}$$

where

$$\pi = u w_1^{y_1} \cdots w_n^{y_n} \text{ and } \pi_i = u w_1^{x_{1i}} \cdots w_n^{x_{ni}}$$
$$u = w_1^{-y_1} \cdots w_n^{-y_n} g(\pi_1, ..., \pi_k)$$
(3)

are dim'less quantities and (1) becomes

Buckingham-Pi Theorem

Homework !

- Let u = R radius of shock wave, with $R = f(w_1, ..., w_4)$ and $w_1 = E$, $w_2 = t$, $w_3 = \rho_0$, $w_4 = p_0$
- Using fundamental dimensions, obtain dimension matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Apply Buckingham-Pi: $r(B) = 3 \Rightarrow k = n - r(B) = 4 - 3 = 1.$ Solution to Bx = 0 is $x_1 = -\frac{2}{5}x_4, \quad x_2 = \frac{6}{5}x_4, \quad x_3 = -\frac{3}{5}x_4 \quad \text{where} \quad x_4 \text{ arbitrary (e.g. } x_4 = 1)$ $\Rightarrow \text{ Dimensionless quantity:} \quad \pi_1 = p_0 \left(\frac{t^6}{E^2\rho_0^3}\right)^{1/5}$ Solution of By = -a is $y = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, dimension of R is $a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\Rightarrow \text{ Dimensional Analysis:} \quad R = \left(\frac{Et^2}{\rho_0}\right)^{1/5}g(\pi_1)$





Barbara Wagner (WIAS)





























Phase separation: Cahn-Hilliard equation





 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Cahn-Hilliard equation} & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left(M \, \nabla \frac{\delta f}{\delta \phi} \right) \\ \hline \mbox{Total free energy:} & \mbox{Ginzburg-Landau free energy} \\ & f[\phi,T] = \int_V F(\phi(\mathbf{x}),T(\mathbf{x})) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon |\nabla \phi|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \end{array}$

Bulk free energy:

 $F = \phi(1 - \phi) + k_B T(\phi \ln \phi + (1 - \phi) \ln(1 - \phi))$

Simplest free energy, combining bulk contribution from a binary mixture and interfacial energy.

<u>Mass conservation:</u> $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}$

$$oldsymbol{J} = -M
abla \cdot \mu \quad ext{with} \quad \mu = rac{\delta f}{\delta \phi}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \displaystyle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &=& \Delta \mu \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega = \Omega_+ \cup \Omega_- \\ \\ \displaystyle \mu &=& F'(u) - \epsilon^2 \Delta u \\ \displaystyle n \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mu &=& 0 \ , \quad \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \end{array}$$

- Solutions reach near phase equilibrium after O(1) time.
- Near phase equilibrium:

solution has developed an interface between precipitates Ω_+ , Ω_- of width of $O(\varepsilon)$

- approaching a sharp interfaces Γ_k as $\epsilon \to 0$
- Dynamics of the precipitates evolves on the slow time-scale au = arepsilon t

$$\Rightarrow \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \Delta \, \mu$$

Analysis
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Matched Asymptotic Expansions



Asymptotics expansions

Definitions

(i) Let $u(\mathbf{x};\epsilon)$ and $v(\mathbf{x};\epsilon)$ be functions defined in $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $I: 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0(\mathbf{x})$. Then

$$u(\mathbf{x}; \epsilon) = O(v(\mathbf{x}; \epsilon)), \text{ in D, as } \epsilon \to 0$$

if for each $\mathbf{x} \in D$ there exists $k(\mathbf{x})$ and and I such that $|u| \leq k|v|$ for each $\epsilon \in I$. (ii)

 $u(\mathbf{x};\epsilon)=o(v(\mathbf{x};\epsilon)),\quad\text{in D, as}\quad\epsilon\to 0$

if for each $\mathbf{x} \in D$ and given $\delta > 0$, there exists $I : 0 \le \epsilon \le \epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \delta)$ s.t. $|u| \le \delta |v|$ for all $\epsilon \in I$ and denote this by $u \ll v$.

- (iii) An asymptotic sequence $\{\phi_n(\epsilon)\}$, n = 1, 2, ... is a sequence such that $\phi_{n+1}(\epsilon) = o(\phi_n(\epsilon))$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.
- (iv) The series $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n(\epsilon) u_n(\mathbf{x})$ is called an asymptotic expansion of u w.r.t. $\{\phi_n(\epsilon)\}$ for $\epsilon \to 0$, if for each M = 1, ..., N

$$u(\mathbf{x};\epsilon) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n(\epsilon) u_n(\mathbf{x}) = o(\phi_M), \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0$$

Example²: Consider $\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2) dt$

 $\bullet~\exp(-t^2)$ is analytic in the entire complex plane $\mathbb C$ so that its Taylor series

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{10} - \frac{x^7}{42} + \frac{x^9}{216} - \frac{x^{11}}{1320} + \cdots \right)$$

converges with infinite radius of convergence.

Accuracy: For an accuracy of 10⁻⁵ upto x = 2 need 16 terms, upto x = 3 need 31 terms, upto x = 5 need 75 terms
 For round-off error 10⁻⁷: At x = 5 largest term is 6.6 · 10⁸ ⇒ WRONG answer!
 ALTERNATIVE: Integrate by parts

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp(-t^{2}) dt = 1 - \frac{\exp(-x^{2})}{x\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2x^{2}} + \frac{1 \cdot 3}{(2x^{2})^{2}} - \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{(2x^{2})^{3}} + \cdots \right)$$

⇒ series diverges for all x and radius of convergence is zero! BUT: x = 2.5 need 3 terms for accuracy of 10^{-5} , x = 3 need 2 terms for accuracy of 10^{-5}

• This series is an asymptotic expansion!

² Jon Chapman, Univ. of Oxford

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

Matched asymptotic expansions

A simple but instructive example:

$$e y'' + 2 y' + 2 y = 0$$
 in $0 < x < 1$, $e \ll 1$
 $y(0) = 0$ $y(1) = 1$

- As $\epsilon \to 0$ order of ODE decreases \Rightarrow one BC can't be satisfied \Rightarrow singular perturbation problem
- Region where $\epsilon = 0$ -problem does not have solution: Boundary layer
- Where is the boundary layer (BL)?
- Let BL be at $x = x_0$: $x = x_0 + \epsilon^{\alpha} \bar{x}$ or

Inner (BL) variable

$$\bar{x} = \frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon^{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

• Assume $x_0 = 0$, define $Y(\bar{x}; \epsilon) = y(x; \epsilon)$ Inner (BL) problem

$$\epsilon^{1-2\alpha} \frac{d^2 Y}{d\bar{x}^2} + 2\epsilon^{-\alpha} \frac{dY}{d\bar{x}} + 2Y = 0, \quad 0 < \bar{x} < \infty, \quad Y(0) = 0$$

Outer expansion Assume solution of outer problem has asymptotic expansion

 $y(x;\epsilon) = y_0(x) + \epsilon y_1(x) + O(\epsilon^2)$

Note: iterative method Leading order problem (O(1)):

$$y_0' + y_0 = 0 \quad y_0(1) = 1$$

 $\Rightarrow y_0(x) = \exp(1-x)$

Inner expansion Assume

$$Y(\bar{x};\epsilon) = Y_0(\bar{x}) + \epsilon^{\gamma} Y_1(\bar{x}) + O(\epsilon^{\delta}), \quad \delta > \gamma, \quad \gamma > 0$$

Matched asymptotic expansions

Leading order problem:

$$\epsilon^{1-2\alpha} \frac{d^2}{d\bar{x}^2} \left(Y_0 + h.o.t. \right) + 2 \,\epsilon^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\bar{x}} \left(Y_0 + h.o.t. \right) + 2 \,\left(Y_0 + h.o.t. \right) = 0$$

Dominant balance \Rightarrow leading order problem (i) 1st and 3rd term balance and 2nd term is of smaller order as $\epsilon \to 0$ $\Rightarrow 1 - 2\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ \Rightarrow 1st and 3rd are O(1) and 2nd of $O(\epsilon^{-1/2})$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ \notin (ii) 1st and 2nd term balance and 3rd is smaller $\Rightarrow \alpha = 1 \Rightarrow$ 1st& 2nd are $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ and 3rd $O(1) \sqrt{2}$

$$\frac{d^2 Y_0}{d\bar{x}^2} + 2\frac{dY_0}{d\bar{x}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \bar{x} < \infty$$
$$Y_0(0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad Y_0(\bar{x}) = A(1 - \exp(-2\bar{x}))$$

Matching

- There exist a domain where inner and outer solutions approximate same function
 - \Rightarrow Overlap-Domain
 - \Rightarrow there exist an **intermediate variable** x_{η} such that



Matched asymptotic expansions

Theorem

Kaplun's Extension Theorem

Let $\epsilon \ll \eta(\epsilon) \ll 1$ such that $x_{\eta} = x/\eta(\epsilon)$

) There exist an $\eta_1(\epsilon)$ such that for x_η fixed

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} y_{outer}(x_{\eta}) - y_0(\eta(\epsilon) \quad x_{\eta}) = 0 \text{ for any } \eta(\epsilon) \text{ that satisfies } \eta_1(\epsilon) \ll \eta(\epsilon) \ll 1.$

(ii) There exist an $\eta_2(\epsilon)$ such that for x_η fixed

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} y_{inner}(x_\eta) - Y_0(\epsilon \eta(\epsilon) \, x_\eta) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \eta(\epsilon) \, \text{ that satisfies } \quad \epsilon \ll \eta(\epsilon) \ll \eta_2(\epsilon).$

Remark

Kaplun's Hypothesis

The domain of validity of y_{outer} and y_{inner} overlap, i.e. $\eta_1 \ll \eta_2$. In this overlap domain the leading orders of y_{outer} and y_{inner} agree.

<u>Proofs</u>: P. Lagerstrom, "Matched asymptotic expansions: Ideas and Techniques", Spriner-Verlag, 1988.

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

CERN Training Lecture 2019

Matching

Let $\eta(\epsilon)=\epsilon^\beta$ with $0<\beta<1$ so that $\epsilon\ll\epsilon^\beta\ll 1$ and define the intermediate variable r

$$x_{\eta} = \frac{x}{\epsilon^{\beta}}$$

Then

$$y_{inner} = A \left[1 - \exp\left(-2\frac{x_{\eta}}{\epsilon^{1-\beta}}\right) \right] + h.o.t. \rightarrow A + e.s.t. + h.o.t. \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0, x_{\eta} \text{ fixed}$$

and

$$y_{outer} = \exp\left(1 - x_\eta \epsilon^\beta\right) + h.o.t. \rightarrow \exp(1) + h.o.t. \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0, x_\eta \text{ fixed}$$

 $\Rightarrow A = \exp(1).$

Uniformly valid (composite) expansion

To leading order the asymptotic solution valid in the whole domain:

$$y_c(x;\epsilon) = y_0(x) + Y_0\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) - \text{matched part} + h.o.t.$$

Matched part: $y_0(0)$.

$$\Rightarrow \quad y_c(x;\epsilon) = \exp(1-x) - \exp\left(1 - \frac{2x}{\epsilon}\right) + h.o.t.$$

Next order problem

$$\frac{dy_1}{dx} + y_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2y_0}{dx^2}, \quad y_1(1) = 0, \qquad \frac{d^2Y_1}{d\bar{x}^2} + 2\frac{dy_1}{d\bar{x}} = -2Y_0, \quad Y_1(0) = 0$$

• also needed to estimate validity of leading order result

• multiple BL, corner layers, transition layers, ...

Outer problem

 $u(\tau, \mathbf{x}; \varepsilon) = u_{o}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon u_{1}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^{2} u_{2}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) + O(\varepsilon^{3})$ $\mu(\tau, \mathbf{x}; \varepsilon) = \mu_{o}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon \mu_{1}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^{2} \mu_{2}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) + O(\varepsilon^{3})$

Leading order

$$0 = \Delta \mu_{\rm o} = \Delta F'(u_{\rm o})$$

 $O(\varepsilon)$

$$\frac{\partial u_{\rm o}}{\partial \tau} = \Delta \mu_1 = \Delta \left(F^{\prime\prime}(u_{\rm o}) u_1 \right)$$

 $O(\varepsilon^2)$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \tau} = \Delta \mu_2 = \Delta \left(F^{\prime\prime}(u_{\rm o})u_2 + \frac{1}{2}F^{\prime\prime\prime}(u_{\rm o})u_1^2 - \Delta u_{\rm o} \right)$$

- + boundary conditions on $\partial \Omega$
- Boundary conditions on $\Gamma_k \Rightarrow$ matching to 'inner' problem near Γ_k



Sharp-interface

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \text{Inner problem} \\ \hline \text{Define boundary layer with } z = \text{'inner' variable} \\ \hline \text{Parametrization of curve } \Gamma_k: \\ \mathbf{r}(\tau, s) = (r_1(\tau, s), r_2(\tau, s)), \ s \ \text{is arclength} \\ \mathbf{x}(\tau, s, z) = \mathbf{r}(\tau, s) + \epsilon z \, \boldsymbol{\nu}(\tau, s) \\ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) = (x, y), \ \mathbf{r}(\tau, s) = (r_1(\tau, s), r_2(\tau, s)) \\ \hline \boldsymbol{\nu}(\tau, s) = \left(-\frac{\partial r_2}{\partial s}(\tau, s), \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial s}(\tau, s)\right), \quad \mathbf{t}(\tau, s) = \left(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial s}(\tau, s), \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial s}(\tau, s)\right) \end{array}$

Sharp-interface model

 $\Delta \mu_1^- = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^- \,, \quad \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mu_1^- = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^- \,, \quad \mu_1^\pm = \tilde{\mu}_1(\tau, \boldsymbol{r}) \,, \quad \Delta \mu_1^+ = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^+$

Interfacial velocity

$$V^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = -\frac{\left[\left[\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\!\!\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^{\pm}(\tau, \boldsymbol{r})\right]\right]}{\left[\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\pm}\right]\right]}$$

Sharp-interface model governs the long-time dynamics of the phase field model Barbara Wagner (WIAS) CERN Training Lecture 2019 14/15 March 201

Multi-scale dynamics of patterns



Karin Jacobs and group: Condensed Matter Physics, Saarland University

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

CERN Training Lecture 2019

Liquid dewetting: Driving force



Liquid dewetting: Mathematical model

Bulk (0 < z < h): $\rho (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,$ $\mathbf{u} = (u, w), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x, z)$ Capillary interface (z = h(x, t)): $\mathbf{n} \cdot \underline{\Pi} \cdot \mathbf{t} = 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \underline{\Pi} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sigma \kappa - \phi'(h), \quad (0, \partial_t h) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}.$ $\partial_t h = (1 \ \partial_t h)^T \quad (-\partial_t h \ 1)^T$

$$\kappa = \frac{\partial_{xx}h}{(1+(\partial_x h)^2)^{3/2}}, \mathbf{t} = \frac{(1,\partial_x h)^T}{(1+(\partial_x h)^2)^{1/2}}, \mathbf{n} = \frac{(-\partial_x h, 1)^T}{(1+(\partial_x h)^2)^{1/2}},$$
$$\underline{\Pi} = -p \operatorname{id} + \mu \begin{pmatrix} 2\partial_x u & \partial_z u + \partial_x w \\ \partial_z u + \partial_x w & \partial_z w \end{pmatrix}.$$

Liquid/solid interface (z = 0): w = 0Navier-Slip condition: $u = b \partial_z u$



Liquid dewetting: Thin film models

• No-slip (b = 0):

$$\partial_t h = -\partial_x \left[h^3 \partial_x \left(\partial_{xx} h - \phi'(h) \right) \right]$$

• Weak-slip $(b \sim 1)$:

$$\partial_t h = -\partial_x \left[\left(h^3 + b h^2 \right) \partial_x \left(\partial_{xx} h - \phi'(h) \right) \right]$$

• Intermediate-slip
$$(1 \ll b \ll \epsilon_{\ell}^{-2})$$
:
 $\partial_t h = -\partial_x \left[h^2 \partial_x \left(\partial_{xx} h - \phi'(h)\right)\right]$

• Strong-slip $(b = \beta/\epsilon_{\ell}^2)$:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\partial_{t}u + u\partial_{x}u\right) = \frac{4\partial_{x}(h\partial_{x}u)}{h} + \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{xx}h - \phi'(h)\right) - \frac{u}{\beta h}$$
$$\partial_{t}h + \partial_{x}\left(hu\right) = 0$$



Matched asymptotics for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

• No slip (n=3): $s(t)\sim c \, t/(c\ln t+1)$, (Flitton, King 2004)

- Intermediate slip (n=2): $s(t)\sim Ct^{2/3}$ (FK04; Münch., W, Witelski 05)
- Strong slip: $s(t) \sim C \ t^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \sim 1$ (Evans, King, Münch, 2006)

 $\implies \text{ Dewetting Rates: } \begin{cases} \sim C & \text{ (no slip & strong slip)} \\ \sim Ct^{-1/3} & \text{ (intermediate slip)} \end{cases}$

Implications for contact-line instability?

Liquid dewetting of polymer films: Experiments



Source: Karin Jacobs' group, Univ. Saarland



14/15 March 2019

Surface directed spinodal decomposition

Surface directed spinodal decomposition





Phase-field model



 ϕ order parameter, μ chemical potential, F bulk free energy

$$\phi_t = \Delta \mu, \qquad \mu = F'(\phi) - \epsilon^2 \Delta \phi,$$

$$F(\phi) = -\chi \phi^2 + \phi^4/6, \qquad \chi = (1 - T)/T$$

Boundary conditions at z = 0, d for antisymmetric walls:

$$\mu_z = 0 \quad \text{(no-flux)},$$

$$\epsilon \phi_z = \beta_1 (1 - \phi^2 / (3\chi_0)^{1/2})$$

Initial condition

$$\phi(z,0) = 0.$$

Cahn 1977, Binder 1995, Puri & Binder (2002, 2007,...), Gheoghegan & Krausch (2003) Cubic surface energy: e.g. Xu & Wang 2011

Barbara Wagner (WIAS)

Sharp Interface Model

$$\begin{split} \partial_{xx}\mu^{(1)} &+ \partial_{zz}\mu^{(1)} = 0, \quad \text{ on } 0 < z < h \quad \text{ and } \quad h < z < d, \\ \mu^{(1)} &= \frac{\sigma h_{xx}}{(1+h_x^2)^{1/2}}, \quad h_t = \frac{1}{2(3\chi)^{1/2}} \left(\left[\partial_x \mu^{(1)} h_x - \partial_z \mu^{(1)} \right]_-^+ \right), \quad \text{ at } z = h, \\ \partial_z \mu^{(1)} &= 0 \quad \text{ at } z = 0, d. \end{split}$$

Conditions at 3-phase contact line x = s(t):

$$h = 0, h_x = \tan \theta, q = 0,$$

with $\cos \theta = \frac{2\beta_1(1 + \chi)}{3\sigma}$ (Cahn 77 - Modica 87).

Conditions for A/B interface at $x \to \infty$:

$$h \to 1, \quad q \to 0.$$

Thin film model

Notice mass conservation:

$$h_t + q_x = 0$$
 with $q = \partial_x \int_0^d \mu dz$.

For $\theta \ll 1$, rescale and use thin film approximation.

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}}\tilde{\mu} &= 0, \qquad \text{on } 0 < \tilde{z} < h(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}) \text{ and } \tilde{h}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}) < \tilde{z} < d, \\ \tilde{\mu} &= \tilde{h}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}, \qquad \text{at } \tilde{z} = \tilde{h}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}), \\ \tilde{h}_{\tilde{t}} &= -\left[\partial_{\tilde{z}}\tilde{\mu}\right]_{-}^{+}, \qquad \text{at } \tilde{z} = \tilde{h}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}), \\ \partial_{\tilde{z}}\tilde{\mu} &= 0 \qquad \text{at } \tilde{z} = 0, d; \end{split}$$

Integrate and combine with mass conservation:

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{t}} + \tilde{h}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} = 0.$$

Contact line and far-field conditions:

$$x=s(t):\quad \tilde{h}=0,\quad \tilde{h}_{\tilde{x}}=1,\quad \tilde{h}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}=0;\quad \tilde{x}\to\infty:\quad \tilde{h}\to 1,\quad \tilde{h}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}\to 0.$$

Simulations of rupturing cascade



Top: Evolution of film in lubrication model – Bottom: Phase field model Parameters PFM: $\chi_0 = 0.1$, $\beta_1 = 0.11$, $\varepsilon = 0.03$, $\theta = 50^{\circ}$.

Rim shedding



Hennessy et al., EPL 2014, Hennessy et al., SIAP, 2014



Marion Dziwnik Andreas Münch Maciek Korzec Matt Hennessy Victor Burlakov Alain Goriely Tom Witelski John R. King Peter L. Evans Karin Jacobs Oliver Bäumchen Ludovik Marguant Ralf Blossey





