Cosmological Probes of Dark Matter Physics

Kimberly Boddy Johns Hopkins University

Light Dark World, KAIST

17 December 2018











Planck 2015



ESA and Planck Collaboration

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations



W. Hu, http://background.uchicago.edu/~whu/index.html

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations



How does this picture change with non-gravitational dark matter interactions?

W. Hu, http://background.uchicago.edu/~whu/index.html

Search Channels



in particle physics

ColliderIndirect detectionDirect detectionin cosmologyEnergy injectionMomentum transfer

Search Channels

Production SM χ **SN** in particle physics Collider in cosmology

Relic abundance





Indirect detection

Energy injection

Direct detection

Momentum transfer

Energy Injection

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dt\,dV}\right)_{\rm dep} = f(z)\left(\frac{dE}{dt\,dV}\right)_{\rm inj} = f(z)(1+z)^6\Omega_{\rm DM}^2c^2\rho_c^2\frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{m_{\rm DM}}$$

Example: s-wave annihilation 10⁻¹ **H** ionization ×[∞] 10⁻² 10⁻³ 10⁴ 10³ $T_{mat}\left(K\right)$ 10² baryons stay coupled 10¹ to radiation longer 10⁰ 100 10 1000 1+z Padmanabhan and Finkbeiner (2005)

see also Galli+ (2009, 2013), Finkbeiner (2011), Slatyer (2016)

Energy Injection

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dt\,dV}\right)_{\rm dep} = f(z)\left(\frac{dE}{dt\,dV}\right)_{\rm inj} = f(z)(1+z)^6\Omega_{\rm DM}^2c^2\rho_c^2\frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{m_{\rm DM}}$$



see also Galli+ (2009, 2013), Finkbeiner (2011), Slatyer (2016)

CMB Annihilation Limits



~20% improvement over Planck 2015

Planck Collaboration (2018)

For decay, see Poulin+ (2017), Slatyer and Wu (2017)

Search Channels



Direct Detection

CMB complementarity:

- access high cross sections above direct detection ceilings
- access wide range of dark matter masses (down to keV range)
- independent of local halo properties
- search avenue beyond standard WIMP scenario



Emken and Kouvaris (2018)









Fan et al. (2010), Fitzpatrick et al. (2013), Anand et al. (2014), Dent et al. (2015)

Observables

 $\mathcal{O} \sim \left| \vec{v}^{\perp} \right|^{\alpha} \left| \vec{q} \right|^{\beta}$

- DM and nucleon spins
- Momentum transfer (MT) $|\vec{q}| \sim |\vec{v}|(1 \cos \theta)^{1/2}$
- Perpendicular velocity $\vec{v}^{\perp}(\vec{v}, \vec{q}) \rightarrow \vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{q} = 0$

Fan et al. (2010), Fitzpatrick et al. (2013), Anand et al. (2014), Dent et al. (2015)

Observables

 $\mathcal{O} \sim \left| \vec{v}^{\perp} \right|^{\alpha} \left| \vec{q} \right|^{\beta}$

- DM and nucleon spins
- Momentum transfer (MT) $|\vec{q}| \sim |\vec{v}|(1 \cos \theta)^{1/2}$
- Perpendicular velocity $\vec{v}^{\perp}(\vec{v},\vec{q}) \rightarrow \vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{q} = 0$

 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^{2(\alpha+\beta)} \times (v \text{-dependent correction for He})$

Fan et al. (2010), Fitzpatrick et al. (2013), Anand et al. (2014), Dent et al. (2015)

Observables

 $\mathcal{O} \sim \left| \vec{v}^{\perp} \right|^{\alpha} \left| \vec{q} \right|^{\beta}$

- DM and nucleon spins
- Momentum transfer (MT) $|\vec{q}| \sim |\vec{v}| (1 \cos \theta)^{1/2}$
- Perpendicular velocity

$$\vec{v}^{\perp}(\vec{v},\vec{q}) \rightarrow \vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{q} = 0$$

 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^{2(\alpha+\beta)} \times (v \text{-dependent correction for He})$ **DM response** nuclear response

Fan et al. (2010), Fitzpatrick et al. (2013), Anand et al. (2014), Dent et al. (2015)

Observables

 $\mathcal{O} \sim \left| \vec{v}^{\perp} \right|^{\alpha} \left| \vec{q} \right|^{\beta}$

- DM and nucleon spins
- Momentum transfer (MT) $|\vec{q}| \sim |\vec{v}|(1 \cos \theta)^{1/2}$
- Perpendicular velocity $\vec{v}^{\perp}(\vec{v},\vec{q}) \rightarrow \vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{q} = 0$

 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^{2(\alpha+\beta)} \times (v \text{-dependent correction for He})$ **DM response nuclear response**

CMB is sensitive to rate of momentum transfer (and rate of heat transfer).

rate ~ (cross section)/mass x (number density of target) x (reduced mass)

 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



Interactions via heavy mediators (early-time scattering)



SI scattering



Spectral distortions (Ali-Haïmoud et al, 2015) COBE+2dF (Chen et al., 2002)

Planck 2013 (Dvorkin et al., 2014)

KB and Gluscevic (PRL 2017, PRD 2018)

SI scattering



Spectral distortions (Ali-Haïmoud et al, 2015) COBE+2dF (Chen et al., 2002) Planck 2013 (Dvorkin et al., 2014)

KB and Gluscevic (PRL 2017, PRD 2018) Li, Gluscevic, **KB**, Madhavacheril (2018)



KB and Gluscevic (PRD 2018)



KB and Gluscevic (PRD 2018)

Large Scale Structure



Work in progress:

- Ly- α forest
- Galaxy counts

KB and Gluscevic (PRD 2018)









 $\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$



$$\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$$

• Expect small (large) cross sections at early (late) times, but reconsider relative velocity



$$\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$$

- Expect small (large) cross sections at early (late) times, but reconsider relative velocity
- For EFT models, could assume

$$|\vec{V}_{\rm DM} - \vec{V}_b|^2 \ll \bar{v}_{\rm th}^2$$



$$\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$$

- Expect small (large) cross sections at early (late) times, but reconsider relative velocity
- For EFT models, could assume

$$|\vec{V}_{\rm DM} - \vec{V}_b|^2 \ll \bar{v}_{\rm th}^2$$

 Large bulk velocities lead to nonlinearities

Dvorkin, Blum, Kamionkowski (2014)



$$\sigma_{MT}(v) = \sigma_0 v^n$$

- Expect small (large) cross sections at early (late) times, but reconsider relative velocity
- For EFT models, could assume

$$|\vec{V}_{\rm DM} - \vec{V}_b|^2 \ll \bar{v}_{\rm th}^2$$

- Large bulk velocities lead to
 nonlinearities
 Dvorkin, Blum, Kamionkowski (2014)
- Introduce new treatment of bulk velocities











How do late-time interactions affect other cosmological observables?











Implication for EDGES



Implication for EDGES



Implication for EDGES



see also: Munoz and Loeb (2018), Berlin+ (2018), Barkana+ (2018)















SN1987A: Chang, Essig, and McDermott (2018) SLAC: Prinz et al. (1998) Stellar: Vogel and Redondo (2014)

Kovetz, Poulin, Gluscevic, **KB**+ (PRD 2018)



SN1987A: Chang, Essig, and McDermott (2018) SLAC: Prinz et al. (1998) Stellar: Vogel and Redondo (2014)

Kovetz, Poulin, Gluscevic, **KB**+ (PRD 2018)

Summary and Outlook

Cosmological observables provide a unique and rich foundation for complementary searches of particle dark matter interactions.



Next generation CMB experiments Intensity mapping