# **Rare hyperon decays as probes of BSM physics**

Jusak Tandean National Taiwan University

POST FPCP 2018 WORKSHOP IITH, Hyderabad, India

21 July 2018

• Flavor-SU(3)-octet spin-1/2 baryons



Lightest baryons

• Flavor-SU(3)-decuplet spin-3/2 baryons



- They often involve flavor-changing neutral currents.
- Some of these decays cannot occur in the SM.
- Hence these processes are potentially sensitive to the effects of physics beyond the SM.
- Constraints from these decays complement the constraints from the kaon sector.
  - Operators contributing to  $K \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  and  $K \rightarrow \pi \mu^+ \mu^-$  also affect  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ .

## Outline

- Introduction
- $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Flavor-changing baryon decay  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$
- Lepton-number-violating  $\mathcal{B}^- \to \mathcal{B}^{+} \ell^- \ell^-$
- Conclusions

# Outline

Introduction

•  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Flavor-changing baryon decay  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$ 

```
Lepton-number-violating \mathcal{B}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{+} \ell^- \ell^-
```

Conclusions

# Evidence for the Decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$

H. K. Park,<sup>8</sup> R. A. Burnstein,<sup>5</sup> A. Chakravorty,<sup>5</sup> Y. C. Chen,<sup>1</sup> W. S. Choong,<sup>2,7</sup> K. Clark,<sup>9</sup> E. C. Dukes,<sup>10</sup> C. Durandet,<sup>10</sup> J. Felix,<sup>4</sup> Y. Fu,<sup>7</sup> G. Gidal,<sup>7</sup> H. R. Gustafson,<sup>8</sup> T. Holmstrom,<sup>10</sup> M. Huang,<sup>10</sup> C. James,<sup>3</sup> C. M. Jenkins,<sup>9</sup> T. Jones,<sup>7</sup> D. M. Kaplan,<sup>5</sup> L. M. Lederman,<sup>5</sup> N. Leros,<sup>6</sup> M. J. Longo,<sup>8,\*</sup> F. Lopez,<sup>8</sup> L. C. Lu,<sup>10</sup> W. Luebke,<sup>5</sup> K. B. Luk,<sup>2,7</sup> K. S. Nelson,<sup>10</sup> J.-P. Perroud,<sup>6</sup> D. Rajaram,<sup>5</sup> H. A. Rubin,<sup>5</sup> J. Volk,<sup>3</sup> C. G. White,<sup>5</sup> S. L. White,<sup>5</sup> and P. Zyla<sup>7</sup>

(HyperCP Collaboration)

 <sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan, Republic of China <sup>2</sup>University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
 <sup>3</sup>Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA <sup>4</sup>Universidad de Guanajuato, 37000 León, Mexico
 <sup>5</sup>Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois 60616, USA <sup>6</sup>Université de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
 <sup>7</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA <sup>8</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA <sup>9</sup>University of South Alabama, Mobile, Alabama 36688, USA <sup>10</sup>University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, USA (Received 3 November 2004; published 18 January 2005)

We report the first evidence for the decay  $\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-$  from data taken by the HyperCP (E871) experiment at Fermilab. Based on three observed events, the branching ratio is  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-) = [8.6^{+6.6}_{-5.4}(\text{stat}) \pm 5.5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-8}$ . The narrow range of dimuon masses may indicate that the decay proceeds via a neutral intermediate state,  $\Sigma^+ \to pP^0$ ,  $P^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$  with a  $P^0$  mass of 214.3  $\pm$  0.5 MeV/ $c^2$  and branching ratio  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma^+ \to pP^0, P^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-) = [3.1^{+2.4}_{-1.9}(\text{stat}) \pm 1.5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-8}$ .

HyperCP data



FIG. 4. Real (points) and MC (histogram) dimuon mass distributions for (a)  $\Sigma_{p\mu\mu}^+$  MC events (arbitrary normalization) with a form-factor decay (solid histogram) and uniform phase-space decay (dashed histogram) model, and (b)  $\Sigma_{pP\mu\mu}^+$  MC events normalized to match the data.

# Evidence for the Rare Decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$

R. Aaij *et al.*\* (LHCb Collaboration)

(Received 22 December 2017; published 31 May 2018)

A search for the rare decay  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$  is performed using pp collision data recorded by the LHCb experiment at center-of-mass energies  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 8 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb<sup>-1</sup>. An excess of events is observed with respect to the background expectation, with a signal significance of 4.1 standard deviations. No significant structure is observed in the dimuon invariant mass distribution, in contrast with a previous result from the HyperCP experiment. The measured  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$  branching fraction is  $(2.2^{+1.8}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-8}$ , where statistical and systematic uncertainties are included, which is consistent with the standard model prediction.

A signal yield of  $10.2^{+3.9}_{-3.5}$  is observed.

9

LHCb data



FIG. 3. Background-subtracted distribution of the dimuon invariant mass for  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$  candidates, superimposed with the distribution from the simulated phase-space (PS) model. Uncertainties on data points are calculated as the square root of the sum of squared weights.

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mu^+\mu^-$ 

- The decay amplitude consists of short-distance & long-distance parts.
- The SM short-distance contribution arises mainly from Z-penguin and box diagrams





• It's described by the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{d} \gamma^{\kappa} (1 - \gamma_5) s \,\overline{\mu} \gamma_{\kappa} \big( \lambda_u z_{7V} - \lambda_t y_{7V} - \gamma_5 \lambda_t y_{7A} \big) \mu \,+\, \text{H.c.}$$
Buchalla, Buras, Lautenbacher, 1996

with Wilson coefficients  $z_{7V}$  &  $y_{7V,7A}$  and CKM factor  $\lambda_q = V_{qd}^*V_{qs}$ 

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

- The decay amplitude consists of short-distance & long-distance parts.
- The SM short-distance contribution arises mainly from Z-penguin and box diagrams





It's described by the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{d} \gamma^{\kappa} (1 - \gamma_5) s \,\overline{\mu} \gamma_{\kappa} (\lambda_u z_{7V} - \lambda_t y_{7V} - \gamma_5 \lambda_t y_{7A}) \mu + \text{H.c.}$$
Buchalla, Buras, Lautenbacher, 1996

with Wilson coefficients  $z_{7V}$  &  $y_{7V,7A}$  and CKM factor  $\lambda_{q} = V_{qd}^{*}V_{qs}$ 

- Hadronic matrix elements  $\langle p|\bar{d}\gamma^{\kappa}s|\Sigma^{+}\rangle = -\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\kappa}u_{\Sigma},$  $\langle p|\overline{d}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{5}s|\Sigma^{+}\rangle = (D-F)\left(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{5}u_{\Sigma} + \frac{m_{\Sigma}+m_{p}}{q^{2}-m_{K}^{2}}\bar{u}_{p}\gamma_{5}u_{\Sigma}q^{\nu}\right)$
- The SM SD contribution alone yields a branching fraction of order  $10^{-12}$ 
  - much smaller than the measured value,  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-8}$

He, JT, Valencia, 2005

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

+ Long-distance contribution mainly from  $\Sigma^+ o p \gamma^* o p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

$$\mathcal{M}^{ ext{LD}}_{ ext{SM}} \,=\, rac{-ie^2 G_{ ext{F}}}{q^2}\,ar{u}_pig(a+\gamma_5 big)\sigma_{\kappa
u}q^\kappa u_\Sigma\,ar{u}_\mu\gamma^
u v_{ar{\mu}} - e^2 G_{ ext{F}}\,ar{u}_p\gamma_\kappaig(c+\gamma_5 dig)u_\Sigma\,ar{u}_\mu\gamma^\kappa v_{ar{\mu}}$$

a, b, c, d are form factors depending on  $q^2 = M_{\mu\mu}^2$ 

Lyagin & Ginzburg, 1962 Bergstrom, Safadi, Singer, 1988 He, JT, Valencia, 2005



• The LD contribution leads to significant uncertainties in the predicted rate.

Differential rate of  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$  in SM

•  $\Gamma' = d\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \to p \mu^+ \mu^-)/dq^2$ 



<

He, JT, Valencia, 1806.08350

Branching fraction of  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$  in SM



FIG. 1: Sample points of  $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-) \times 10^8$  in relation to the preferred ranges of Im(a, b) at  $q^2 = 0$ and of Re(a, b), as explained in the text. Each horizontal red line marks the  $2\sigma$  upper-limit of the LHCb measurement [2].

J Tandean

#### 21 July 2018

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

- Amplitude accommodating SM and potential NP contributions
  - $$\begin{split} \mathcal{M} \, &= \, \bar{u}_p \big[ i q_\kappa \big( \tilde{\mathbf{A}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \big) \sigma^{\nu\kappa} \gamma^\nu \big( \tilde{\mathbf{C}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{D}} \big) \big] u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_\nu v_{\bar{\mu}} + \bar{u}_p \gamma^\nu \big( \tilde{\mathbf{E}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \big) u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 v_{\bar{\mu}} \\ &+ \, \bar{u}_p \big( \tilde{\mathbf{G}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \big) u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu v_{\bar{\mu}} + \bar{u}_p \big( \tilde{\mathbf{J}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \big) u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_5 v_{\bar{\mu}} \end{split}$$
  - $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, ..., \tilde{K}$  are complex coefficients

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mu^+\mu^-$ 

- Amplitude accommodating SM and potential NP contributions
  - $$\begin{split} \mathcal{M} &= \bar{u}_p \big[ i q_\kappa \big( \tilde{\mathbf{A}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \big) \sigma^{\nu\kappa} \gamma^\nu \big( \tilde{\mathbf{C}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{D}} \big) \big] u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_\nu v_{\bar{\mu}} + \bar{u}_p \gamma^\nu \big( \tilde{\mathbf{E}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \big) u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 v_{\bar{\mu}} \\ &+ \bar{u}_p \big( \tilde{\mathbf{G}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \big) u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu v_{\bar{\mu}} + \bar{u}_p \big( \tilde{\mathbf{J}} + \gamma_5 \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \big) u_\Sigma \, \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_5 v_{\bar{\mu}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}, ..., \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \text{ are complex coefficients} \end{split}$$
  - SM contributions

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} &= \frac{e^2 G_{\mathrm{F}} a}{q^2}, & \tilde{\mathbf{B}} &= \frac{e^2 G_{\mathrm{F}} b}{q^2}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{C}} &= e^2 G_{\mathrm{F}} c + G_{\mathrm{F}} \frac{\lambda_u z_{7V} - \lambda_t y_{7V}}{\sqrt{2}}, & \tilde{\mathbf{D}} &= e^2 G_{\mathrm{F}} d + \frac{D - F}{\sqrt{2}} G_{\mathrm{F}} \left(\lambda_u z_{7V} - \lambda_t y_{7V}\right) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}} &= \frac{G_{\mathrm{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_t y_{7A}, & \tilde{\mathbf{F}} &= \frac{D - F}{\sqrt{2}} G_{\mathrm{F}} \lambda_t y_{7A}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{K}} &= \frac{m_{\Sigma} + m_p}{q^2 - m_K^2} \sqrt{2} \left(D - F\right) G_{\mathrm{F}} \lambda_t y_{7A} m_\mu \end{split}$$

- Observables may be constructed which are sensitive to terms in the amplitude not dominated by LD contributions
  - Such observables are then sensitive to SD effects beyond the SM.

Muon asymmetries in  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Forward-backward asymmetry

$${\cal A}_{
m FB} \,=\, rac{\int_{-1}^1 dc_ heta \,\, {
m sgn}(c_ heta) \,\, \Gamma''}{\int_{-1}^1 dc_ heta \,\, \Gamma''} \,\,, \qquad \Gamma'' = rac{d^2 \Gamma(\Sigma^+ o p \mu^+ \mu^-)}{dq^2 \, dc_ heta} \,, \quad c_ heta = \cos heta$$

 $\theta$  angle between  $\mu^-$  and p directions in dimuon's rest frame

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{ ext{FB}} &= rac{eta^2\lambda}{64\pi^3\,\Gamma'\,m_\Sigma^3}\, ext{Re}\Big\{ig[ ext{M}_+ ilde{ extsf{A}}^* ilde{ extsf{F}} - ext{M}_- ilde{ extsf{B}}^* ilde{ extsf{E}} - ig( ilde{ extsf{A}}^* ilde{ extsf{G}} + ilde{ extsf{B}}^* ilde{ extsf{H}}ig)m_\mu + ilde{ extsf{C}}^* ilde{ extsf{F}} + ilde{ extsf{D}}^* ilde{ extsf{E}}ig]q^2 \ &- ig( extsf{M}_+ ilde{ extsf{C}}^* ilde{ extsf{G}} - extsf{M}_- ilde{ extsf{D}}^* ilde{ extsf{H}}ig)m_\mu\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

with 
$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4m_{\mu}^2/q^2}$$
,  $\bar{\lambda} = \hat{m}_-^2 \hat{m}_+^2$ ,  $\hat{m}_{\pm}^2 = M_{\pm}^2 - q^2$ ,  $M_{\pm} = m_{\Sigma} \pm m_p$ 

\* Integrated forward-backward asymmetry

$$egin{aligned} ilde{A}_{ ext{FB}} &= rac{1}{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ o p \mu^+ \mu^-)} \int_{q^2_{ ext{min}}}^{q^2_{ ext{max}}} dq^2 \int_{-1}^{1} dc_ heta \, ext{sgn}(c_ heta) \ \Gamma'' \ q^2_{ ext{min}} &= 4m^2_\mu \,, \quad q^2_{ ext{max}} = \left(m_\Sigma^- - m_p
ight)^2 \end{aligned}$$

He, JT, Valencia, 1806.08350

\* It's the main observable that could provide a window into NP modifying part of the SM amplitude not dominated by LD effects.

\* Polarization asymmetries of the muons

$$egin{aligned} rac{d\Gamma^{-}(arsigma_{x}^{-},arsigma_{y}^{-},arsigma_{z}^{-})}{dq^{2}} &= rac{\Gamma'}{2}ig(1 + \mathcal{P}_{ ext{T}}^{-}arsigma_{x}^{-} + \mathcal{P}_{ ext{N}}^{-}arsigma_{y}^{-} + \mathcal{P}_{ ext{L}}^{-}arsigma_{z}^{-}ig) \ &\hat{z} &= rac{p_{\mu}}{|p_{\mu}|}\,, \quad \hat{y} &= rac{p_{p} imes p_{\mu}}{|p_{p} imes p_{\mu}|}\,, \quad \hat{x} &= \hat{y} imes \hat{z}\,\,, \qquad (arsigma_{x}^{-})^{2} + (arsigma_{y}^{-})^{2} + (arsigma_{z}^{-})^{2} &= 1 \ &\mathcal{P}_{ ext{L}}^{-} &= rac{eta^{2}\sqrt{\lambda}}{192\pi^{3}\,\Gamma'\,m_{\Sigma}^{3}}\, ext{Re}ig\{ig[-3ig(2 ext{M}_{+}^{-} ilde{ ext{A}}^{*} ilde{ ext{E}} + ilde{ ext{H}}^{*} ilde{ ext{K}}ig)q^{2} - 2ig(\hat{m}_{+}^{2} + 3q^{2}ig) ilde{ ext{C}}^{*} ilde{ ext{E}} + 6m_{\mu} ext{M}_{+}^{-} ilde{ ext{F}}^{*} ilde{ ext{H}}ig]\hat{m}_{-}^{2}\,, \ & ext{A}^{*} ilde{ ext{A}}^{*} ilde{ ext{E}}^{*} + ilde{ ext{H}}^{*} ilde{ ext{K}}ig)q^{2} - 2ig(\hat{m}_{+}^{2} + 3q^{2}ig) ilde{ ext{C}}^{*} ilde{ ext{E}} + 6m_{\mu} ext{M}_{+}^{-} ilde{ ext{F}}^{*} ilde{ ext{H}}ig]\hat{m}_{-}^{2}\,, \end{aligned}$$

 $+\left[3ig(2 extsf{M}_{ extsf{B}} ilde{ extsf{F}}- ilde{ extsf{G}}^* ilde{ extsf{J}}ig)q^2-2ig(\hat{m}_{-}^2+3q^2ig) ilde{ extsf{D}}^* ilde{ extsf{F}}-6m_{\mu} extsf{M}_{-} ilde{ extsf{E}}^* ilde{ extsf{G}}ig]\hat{m}_{+}^2
ight\}$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{ ext{N}}^{-} &= rac{eta^2ar{\lambda}\,\sqrt{q^2}}{256\pi^2\,\Gamma'\,m_{\Sigma}^3}\, ext{Im}\Big\{2ig[( ext{M}_+ ilde{ ext{A}}+ ilde{ ext{C}})^* ilde{ ext{F}}+( ilde{ ext{D}}- ext{M}_- ilde{ ext{B}})^* ilde{ ext{E}}ig]m_{\mu}-ig( ilde{ ext{A}}^* ilde{ ext{G}}+ ilde{ ext{B}}^* ilde{ ext{H}}ig)q^2\ &-ig( ilde{ ext{C}}^* ilde{ ext{G}}- ilde{ ext{E}}^* ilde{ ext{J}}ig) ext{M}_++ig( ilde{ ext{D}}^* ilde{ ext{H}}- ilde{ ext{F}}^* ilde{ ext{K}}ig) ext{M}_-\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-} &= \frac{\beta \bar{\lambda} \sqrt{q^2}}{256\pi^2 \, \Gamma' \, m_{\Sigma}^3} \, \mathrm{Re} \Big\{ 2 \big[ 2 \big( \mathrm{M}_{+} \tilde{\mathrm{A}} + \tilde{\mathrm{C}} \big)^* \big( \tilde{\mathrm{D}} - \mathrm{M}_{-} \tilde{\mathrm{B}} \big) - \mathrm{M}_{-} \tilde{\mathrm{A}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{E}} + \mathrm{M}_{+} \tilde{\mathrm{B}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{F}} \big] m_{\mu} \\ &- \mathrm{M}_{+} \tilde{\mathrm{C}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{J}} + \mathrm{M}_{-} \tilde{\mathrm{D}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{K}} + \beta^2 \big( \mathrm{M}_{+} \tilde{\mathrm{E}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{G}} - \mathrm{M}_{-} \tilde{\mathrm{F}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \big) \Big\} \\ &- \frac{\beta \bar{\lambda} \, \mathrm{Re} \Big[ \big( \tilde{\mathrm{A}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{J}} + \tilde{\mathrm{B}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{K}} \big) q^4 + 2 \big( \tilde{\mathrm{C}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{E}} + \tilde{\mathrm{D}}^* \tilde{\mathrm{F}} \big) \mathrm{M}_{+} \mathrm{M}_{-} m_{\mu} \Big]}{256\pi^2 \, \Gamma' \, m_{\Sigma}^3 \sqrt{q^2}} \end{split}$$
 He, JI

He, JT, Valencia, 1806.08350

Integrated polarization asymmetries

$$ilde{P}^-_{
m L,N,T} = rac{1}{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ o p \mu^+ \mu^-)} \int_{q^2_{
m min}}^{q^2_{
m max}} dq^2 \, \Gamma' \, \mathcal{P}^-_{
m L,N,T}$$

21 July 2018

- These muon asymmetries are analogous to those studied in the literature for
  - inclusive  $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$

Hewett, 1996 Kruger & Sehgal, 1996 Guetta & Nardi, 1998 Fukae, Kim, Morozumi, Yoshikawa, 1999 Fukae, Kim, Yoshikawa, 2000 Bensalem, London, Sinha, Sinha, 2003

- exclusive decay  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \ell^+ \ell^-$
- rare kaon decays  $K \rightarrow \pi \mu^+ \mu^-$ .

Chen & Geng, 2001 Aliev, Ozpineci, Savci, 2003 Giri & Mohanta, 2006

Savage & Wise, 1990 Agrawal, Ng, Belanger, Geng, 1992 Large muon polarization asymmetry in SM

• LD contributions dominate  $\mathcal{P}_{T}$ 



FIG. 3: The  $\mu^-$  transverse-polarization asymmetry  $\mathcal{P}_T^-$  in  $\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-$  versus  $M_{\mu\mu}$  in the SM.

He, JT, Valencia, 1806.08350

$\frac{\operatorname{Re} a}{\operatorname{MeV}}$	$\frac{\operatorname{Re} b}{\operatorname{MeV}}$	$10^8 \mathcal{B}$	$10^5 \tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$	$10^5 \tilde{P}_{\rm L}^-$	$10^6 \tilde{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^-$	$\tilde{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-}$ (%)
13.3	-6.0	1.6	3.7	-7.0	-0.2	59
-13.3	6.0	3.5	-1.4	4.5	-9.6	50
6.0	-13.3	5.1	0.9	-5.1	-1.1	23
-6.0	13.3	9.1	-0.3	3.3	-3.1	17
11.0	-7.4	2.4	2.7	-5.7	-7.3	41
-11.0	7.4	4.7	-0.7	4.1	-10	36
7.4	-11.0	4.0	1.4	-5.2	-5.0	26
-7.4	11.0	7.4	-0.3	3.6	-6.0	21

Branching fraction & asymmetries of  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$  in SM

TABLE I: Sample values of the branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-$  and the corresponding integrated asymmetries  $\tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$  and  $\tilde{P}^-_{\rm L,N,T}$  computed within the SM including the SD and LD contributions. In the evaluation of the  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$ , and  $\tilde{P}^-_{\rm L,N,T}$  entries in the first [last] four rows, the relativistic [heavy baryon] expressions for  $\operatorname{Im}(a, b, c, d)$  have been used, as explained in the text.

$\frac{\operatorname{Re} a}{\operatorname{MeV}}$	$\frac{\operatorname{Re} b}{\operatorname{MeV}}$	$10^8 \mathcal{B}$	$10^5 \tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$	$10^5 \tilde{P}_{\rm L}^-$	$10^6 \tilde{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^-$	$\tilde{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-}$ (%)
13.3	-6.0	1.6	3.7	-7.0	-0.2	59
-13.3	6.0	3.5	-1.4	4.5	-9.6	50
6.0	-13.3	5.1	0.9	-5.1	-1.1	23
-6.0	13.3	9.1	-0.3	3.3	-3.1	17
11.0	-7.4	2.4	2.7	-5.7	-7.3	41
-11.0	7.4	4.7	-0.7	4.1	-10	36
7.4	-11.0	4.0	1.4	-5.2	-5.0	26
-7.4	11.0	7.4	-0.3	3.6	-6.0	21

Branching fraction & asymmetries of  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$  in SM

TABLE I: Sample values of the branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-$  and the corresponding integrated asymmetries  $\tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$  and  $\tilde{P}^-_{\rm L,N,T}$  computed within the SM including the SD and LD contributions. In the evaluation of the  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$ , and  $\tilde{P}^-_{\rm L,N,T}$  entries in the first [last] four rows, the relativistic [heavy baryon] expressions for  $\operatorname{Im}(a, b, c, d)$  have been used, as explained in the text.

• The asymmetries expected to be tiny in the SM can serve as probes of NP effects

These asymmetries are (approximate) null tests of the SM.

#### Enhanced asymmetries in of $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ due to new physics



FIG. 4: The integrated asymmetries  $\tilde{A}_{\rm FB}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{\rm L,N,T}^-$  of the muon in  $\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-$  versus the phases  $\phi_{\rm E,F}$  of the NP contributions to the coefficients  $\tilde{E}$  (top plots) and  $\tilde{F}$  (bottom plots), respectively, in the decay amplitude. For the top plots, only  $\tilde{E}$  has the NP term with magnitude  $g_{\rm E} = 7 \times 10^{-9} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$  (left) and  $7 \times 10^{-8} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$  (right). For the bottom plots, only  $\tilde{F}$  has the NP term with magnitude  $g_{\rm F} = 1 \times 10^{-8} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$  (left) and  $1 \times 10^{-7} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$  (right). He, JT, Valencia, 1806.08350

#### 21 July 2018

# Outline

Introduction

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

• Flavor-changing baryon decay  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$ 

Lepton-number-violating  $\mathcal{B}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{+} \ell^- \ell^-$ 

Conclusions

#### $\mathcal{B}{\rightarrow}\,\mathcal{B}' v\, v$

- This decay proceeds mainly from the SD contribution.
- In general  $s \to dvv$  interactions contribute not only to  $K \to \pi vv$  but also to  $K \to vv$ and  $\Sigma^+ \to pvv$ .
  - Constraints from their data are complementary to each other.
- $\mathcal{B}(K_L \to vv)_{SM} \sim 10^{-10} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(K_L \to vv)_{exp} < 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$  Marciano & Parsa, 1996 Gninenko, 2015
- $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma^+ \to p \nu \nu)_{SM} \sim 5 \times 10^{-13}$

HB Li, 2017

#### $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$

- This decay proceeds mainly from the SD contribution.
- In general  $s \to dvv$  interactions contribute not only to  $K \to \pi vv$  but also to  $K \to vv$ and  $\Sigma^+ \to pvv$ .
  - Constraints from their data are complementary to each other.

Marciano & Parsa, 1996 Gninenko, 2015

- $\mathcal{B}(K_L \to vv)_{SM} \sim 10^{-10} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(K_L \to vv)_{exp} < 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ HB Li, 2017
- $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma^+ \to \rho v v)_{SM} \sim 5 \times 10^{-13}$
- Expected BESIII sensitivities with  $10^{10}$  events on the  $J/\Psi$  peak and  $3 \times 10^9$  events on the  $\Psi(2S)$  peak.

Decay mode	Current data $\mathcal{B}(\times 10^{-6})$	Sensitivity $\mathcal{B}$ (90% C.L.) (×10 <sup>-6</sup> )
$\Lambda  ightarrow n  u ar{ u}$	-	< 0.3
$\Sigma^+ \to p \nu \bar{\nu}$		< 0.4
$\Xi^0 \to \Lambda \nu \bar{\nu}$		< 0.8
$\Xi^0\to \Sigma^0\nu\bar\nu$		< 0.9
$\Xi^- \to \Sigma^- \nu \bar{\nu}$	_	_*
$\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \nu \bar{\nu}$	_	< 26.0

J Tandean

## Outline

Introduction

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Flavor-changing baryon decay  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$ 

• Lepton-number-violating  $\mathcal{B}^- \to \mathcal{B}^{+} \ell^- \ell^-$ 

Conclusions





TABLE I. Lepton number violating ( $\Delta L = 2$ ) decays of hyperons. The classification of these decays according to their change in strangeness ( $\Delta S$ ) is also indicated.

Channel	$\Delta S$	Channel	$\Delta S$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	0	$\Xi^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-$	2
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-$	1	$\Xi^- \rightarrow p e^- \mu^-$	2
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p e^- \mu^-$	1	$\Xi^- \rightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	2
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	1	$\Omega^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	2
$\Xi^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	1	$\Omega^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \mu^- e^-$	2
$\Xi^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \mu^- e^-$	1	$\Omega^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \mu^- \mu^-$	2

Barbero, Li, Lopez Castro, Mariano, 2013

2 down-type quarks (*d* or *s*) convert to  $2u + 2\ell^{-}$ 

Only experimental limit from HyperCP, 2005  $B(\Xi^- \to p \mu^- \mu^-) \le 4.0 \times 10^{-8}$ 

#### Loop calculations



FIG. 1. Feynman graph for  $\Delta L = 2$  hyperon decays. The virtual state  $\eta$  denotes an intermediate hyperon state.

TABLE III. Decay rates (normalized to the effective neutrino mass  $\langle m_{ll} \rangle^2$ ) and branching ratios for  $\Delta L = 2$  hyperon decays. We use  $\langle m_{ee} \rangle^2 = (10 \text{ eV})^2$  and  $\langle m_{\mu\mu} \rangle^2 = (10 \text{ MeV})^2$  to evaluate the branching ratios.

	$\Gamma_{0\nu}/\langle m_{ll}\rangle^2 [{ m sec}^{-1}/{ m MeV}^2]$	$B(B_A \rightarrow B_B l^- l^-)$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	$1.000  imes 10^{-15}$	$1.48  imes 10^{-35}$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-$	$0.497  imes 10^{-10}$	$7.35  imes 10^{-31}$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	$0.426  imes 10^{-11}$	$6.31  imes 10^{-20}$
$\Xi^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	$0.841  imes 10^{-13}$	$1.38  imes 10^{-33}$
$\Xi^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-$	$1.150  imes 10^{-12}$	$1.88  imes 10^{-32}$
$\Xi^- \rightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	$0.480  imes 10^{-12}$	$7.87  imes 10^{-21}$

Barbero, Li, Lopez Castro, Mariano, 2007

• Bag-model method

 $B^{\text{bag}}(\Sigma^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-) \le 10^{-23}$ 

Barbero, Li, Lopez Castro, Mariano, 2013

# Loop calculations



FIG. 1. Feynman graph for  $\Delta L = 2$  hyperon decays. The virtual state  $\eta$  denotes an intermediate hyperon state.

TABLE III. Decay rates (normalized to the effective neutrino mass  $\langle m_{ll} \rangle^2$ ) and branching ratios for  $\Delta L = 2$  hyperon decays. We use  $\langle m_{ee} \rangle^2 = (10 \text{ eV})^2$  and  $\langle m_{\mu\mu} \rangle^2 = (10 \text{ MeV})^2$  to evaluate the branching ratios.

	$\Gamma_{0\nu}/\langle m_{ll}\rangle^2  [{ m sec}^{-1}/{ m MeV^2}]$	$B(B_A \rightarrow B_B l^- l^-)$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	$1.000  imes 10^{-15}$	$1.48  imes 10^{-35}$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-$	$0.497  imes 10^{-10}$	$7.35  imes 10^{-31}$
$\Sigma^- \rightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	$0.426  imes 10^{-11}$	$6.31  imes 10^{-20}$
$\Xi^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	$0.841  imes 10^{-13}$	$1.38  imes 10^{-33}$
$\Xi^- \rightarrow p e^- e^-$	$1.150  imes 10^{-12}$	$1.88  imes 10^{-32}$
$\Xi^- \rightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	$0.480  imes 10^{-12}$	$7.87  imes 10^{-21}$

Barbero, Li, Lopez Castro, Mariano, 2007

• Bag-model method  $B^{\text{bag}}(\Sigma^- \rightarrow pe^-e^-) \le 10^{-23}$  Barbero, Li, Lopez Castro, Mariano, 2013

 Despite the large uncertainties, these numbers suggest that an observation of any of these decays would be good evidence for NP. Upcoming searches for neutrinoless  $\Delta L=2$  hyperon decays

• Expected BESIII sensitivities with  $10^{10}$  events on the  $J/\Psi$  peak and  $3 \times 10^9$  events on the  $\Psi(2S)$  peak.

Decay mode	Current data $\mathcal{B}(\times 10^{-6})$	Sensitivity $\mathcal{B}$ (90% C.L.) (×10 <sup>-6</sup> )
$\Sigma^-  ightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- e^-$	_	< 1.0
$\Sigma^-  ightarrow pe^-e^-$	_	< 0.6
$\Xi^- \to p e^- e^-$	_	< 0.4
$\varXi^-\to\varSigma^+e^-e^-$	_	< 0.7
$\varOmega^-\to \varSigma^+ e^- e^-$	_	< 15.0
$\Sigma^-  ightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	_	< 1.1
$\Xi^-  ightarrow p \mu^- \mu^-$	< 0.04	< 0.5
$\Omega^- \to \Sigma^+ \mu^- \mu^-$	_	< 17.0
$\varSigma^- \to p e^- \mu^-$	_	< 0.8
$\Xi^- \to p e^- \mu^-$	_	< 0.5
$\varXi^-\to \varSigma^+ e^- \mu^-$	_	< 0.8
$\Omega^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \mu^-$		< 17.0

21 July 2018

# Outline

Introduction

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Flavor-changing baryon decay  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$ 

```
Lepton-number-violating \mathcal{B}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{+} \ell^- \ell^-
```

Conclusions

#### Conclusions

- Rare hyperon decays can serve as potentially sensitive probes of physics beyond the SM.
- Concerning BSM physics, these decays can offer useful information which is complementary to that from the kaon sector.
- For  $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ , although the observables in the SM involve significant uncertainties, some of the muon asymmetries are predicted to be tiny in the SM and therefore can be sensitive to BSM physics, which may be testable at LHCb.
- The flavor-changing hyperon decays  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' v v$  and lepton-numberviolating  $\mathcal{B}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'^+ \ell^- \ell^-$  offer good null tests of the SM, which may be experimentally feasible at BESIII.