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NuMI Off-Axis Electron Neutrino Appearance (NOvA)

- A long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment
- For more details, refer to R. Gandrajula’s talk on May 20.
Event Topology

\[ \nu_{\mu}-\text{CC} \]
\[ \nu_e-\text{CC} \]
\[ \text{NC } \pi^0 \]

EM showers from \( \pi^0 \) decay can mimic \( \nu_e-\text{CC} \) signal.
Motivation

- Neutrino cross section is physics rich in its own right.
- Using nuclear target to increase event rate makes the physics more difficult
  - Intranuclear rescattering
- Cross section modeling is one of the leading systematic uncertainties for NOvA’s measurements.

\[ \nu \rightarrow \bar{\nu} \]

Taken from: T. Golan
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# NOvA Cross Section Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FHC (νμ beam)</th>
<th>RHC (ν̄μ beam)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>νμ CC π⁰ Semi-Inclusive</td>
<td>ν̄μ CC π⁰ Semi-Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>νμ CC Inclusive</td>
<td>νμ CC Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>νe CC Inclusive</td>
<td>ν̄e CC Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>νμ CC π⁺⁻</td>
<td>νμ CC 2p2h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>νμ CC 0π/QE</td>
<td>ν̄μ CC 2p2h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC coherent π⁰</td>
<td>NC π⁰ Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC π⁰ Inclusive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν-e Flux Constraint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# NOvA Cross Section Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FHC ($\nu_\mu$ beam)</th>
<th>RHC ($\bar{\nu}_\mu$ beam)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^0$ Semi-Inclusive</td>
<td>$\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC $\pi^0$ Semi-Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC Inclusive</td>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_e$ CC Inclusive</td>
<td>$\bar{\nu}_e$ CC Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^+/-$</td>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC 2p2h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC 2p2h</td>
<td>$\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC 2p2h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ CC 0\pi/QE</td>
<td>$\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC 0\pi/QE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC coherent $\pi^0$</td>
<td>NC coherent $\pi^0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC $\pi^0$ Inclusive</td>
<td>NC $\pi^0$ Inclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$-$e$ Flux Constraint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Will focus on these two analyses.
- Internal review
- Submitted to PRD
Topics Shared by Multiple Analyses
Topics Shared by Multiple Analyses
Systematic Uncertainties

Detector Uncertainties
- Considered calibration, light yield, Cerenkov light
- Using systematically-shifted MC to assess the impact

Flux
- Geant4 based simulation that carefully implements NuMI (Neutrinos at the Main Injector) geometry
- Correction for hadron production mismodeling from dedicated hadron production measurements.
- Uncertainties are incorporated with the multi-universe approach. Randomized physics parameters drawn according to central values and uncertainties.
- Used 100 universes.

Cross-Section Model
- NOvA uses GENIE as the neutrino generator
- GENIE has tens of tunable physics parameters (knobs, ex. $m_A^{QE}$)
- Multi-universe approach as flux. Using O(100) to O(1000) universes.
Cut Optimization

• Taking $\nu_\mu$ CC inclusive analysis’ muon ID as an example.
• A general strategy in many analyses is to determine selection criteria that minimize the systematic uncertainty of the total cross section:

$$\frac{\delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta N_{bkg}^{syst}}{N_{bkg}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta N_{bkg}^{stat}}{N_{bkg}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right)^2}$$

• At low cut values, uncertainty is dominated by background. At high cut values, uncertainty is dominated by the efficiency.
• The same optimization method is used for fiducial and containment cuts as well.
$\nu_\mu$ CC Inclusive
Our major delivery* is flux-averaged double-differential cross section as a function of the final-state muon’s true kinetic energy and true angle:

\[
\left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{\mu}dT_{\mu}} \right)_i = \sum_j U_{ij}(N_{sel}^{\cos\theta_{\mu}}(T_{\mu}) - N_{bkg}^{\cos\theta_{\mu}}(T_{\mu})) \varepsilon(\cos\theta_{\mu}, T_{\mu}) \epsilon(\cos\theta_{\mu}, (\Delta \cos\theta_{\mu})_i (\Delta T_{\mu})_i N_{target}\phi)
\]

- \(i\): bin index
- \(U\): unfolding matrices
- \(\epsilon\): signal selection efficiency
- \(N_{target}\): number of targets in the fiducial volume
- \(\phi\): neutrino flux

*We will also report the cross section as a function of the derived quantities \(E_\nu\) and \(Q^2\):

\[
\sigma(E_i) = \sum_j U_{ij}(N_{sel}(E_i) - N_{bkg}(E_i)) \varepsilon(E_i) N_{target}\phi(E_i)
\]
\( \nu_\mu \) CC Inclusive Muon Identification

- Four variables are used for training a BDT
  - \( dE/dx \) log-likelihood
  - Scattering log-likelihood
  - \( dE/dx \) in the last 10 cm of the track
  - \( dE/dx \) in the last 40 cm of the track
- Referred to as MuonID
- \( N^{bkg} \) in this analysis is estimated by simulation.
We performed unfolding and efficiency correction in 3D space, \((\cos \theta_\mu, T_\mu, E_\nu)\):
- Cross section depends not only on muon variables, but also hadronic variables \((E_\nu\) as a proxy)
- Doing unfolding and efficiency corrections in lower dimensional spaces loses information
- This testing shows 3D unfolding gives better results.
- Efficiency correction in 3D followed by unfolding.
- Projection to 1D or 2D space for results in the end.
- NOTE: we are in the process of switching from strongly model-dependent \(E_\nu\) to less model-dependent observable \(E_{avail}\) as the 3\(^{rd}\) axis.
\(\nu_\mu\) CC Inclusive - Fake Data Results

- Fake data are generated by data POT equivalent, statistically independent MC events.
  - With flux weights
  - Without interaction model weights
- Different neutrino generator predictions are overlaid.
  - GENIE v2.12.10 with NOvA tune*
  - Default GENIE v2.12.10
  - GiBUU v2016
  - NuWro 2017
  - NEUT v5.3.6

\* c.f. Greg's NOvA APS talk.
$\nu_e$ CC Inclusive
\( \nu_e \) CC Inclusive Overview

- Signal of appearance measurement
- \( \nu_e \) account for \( \sim 1\% \) of the flux
- Goal
  - Double differential \( d^2\sigma/dE_dcos\theta_e \)
  - \( \sigma(E) \)
  - Long term: ratio to \( \nu_\mu \) CC inclusive
\( \nu_e \) CC Inclusive Event Selection

- Primary cuts are applied to remove background events
  - Mostly \( \nu_\mu \) CC
- After these, still left with enormous background events
- More powerful tools required

### Cut Flow Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slicing</td>
<td>1,530,520 (100%)</td>
<td>134,201,000 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Quality Cuts</td>
<td>721,905 (47.2%)</td>
<td>85,528,000 (63.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiducial</td>
<td>113,160 (15.7%)</td>
<td>6,403,530 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment</td>
<td>36,430 (32.2%)</td>
<td>1,278,050 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Planes</td>
<td>36,187 (99.3%)</td>
<td>1,269,240 (99.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Hits in Event</td>
<td>22,533 (62.3%)</td>
<td>1,158,890 (91.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon ID</td>
<td>19,105 (84.8%)</td>
<td>322,951 (27.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No CVNe cut

NOvA Simulation

- Total MC
- Signal
- \( \nu_e \) CC
- NC \( \nu^0 \)
- NC non \( \nu^0 \)
- Other

\[ 10^3 \text{ Events/8.09 x 10^{30} POT} \]

5/21/2019

Shih-Kai Lin
$\nu_e$ CC Inclusive Event Identification

- Convolutional Neural Network based event identification method called CVN
- Identify events by their topological features
- Effort is put into removing event generator dependence
  - Trained on single particle events

![Diagram showing event distribution](image)

**NOvA Simulation**

- Total MC
- Signal
- $\nu_\mu$ CC
- NC $\pi^0$
- NC non $\pi^0$
- Other
\(\nu_e \) CC Inclusive Template Fitting

- To further estimate signal and background, template fit is performed
  - Same technique used in \(\nu_\mu \) CC \(\pi^0\) as well

- Fit adjusts normalizations of signal, \(\nu_\mu\) CC, and NC templates to match data distribution, bin by bin

- Fit also takes into account various systematic uncertainties and the correlations between them
  - Systematic uncertainties are estimated by modified MC (for detector calibration) or by reweighting existing MC (cross-section model uncertainties)

\[
\chi^2 = (Data_i - MC_i)^T V_{ij}^{-1} (Data_j - MC_j)
\]

\[
V_{ij} = V_{\text{stat}} + V_{\text{syst}}
\]
$\nu_e$ CC Inclusive Selection Efficiency

- Template fit leaves efficiency at a relative high level, ~40%
- Systematic uncertainties are estimated by modified MC (for detector calibration) or by reweighting existing MC (cross-section model uncertainties)
- Data driven methods are used and found data MC discrepancy within 2%.
- Preliminary results for this analysis are in place. Stay tuned.
NC Coherent $\pi^0$
NC Coherent $\pi^0$
Overview

1. Neutrino coherently scatters off target nucleus via neutral current exchange

2. Very small momentum transfer. No quantum number (charge, spin, isospin) exchange

3. Target nucleus stays in ground state; No vertex activity.

4. Single forward-going pion in the final state. No other pions or nucleons.

- $\pi^0$ production is one of the most important backgrounds to $\nu_e$ appearance oscillation analysis.

- Partially Conserved Axial Current (PCAC) models: relate coherent cross-section to pion-nucleus elastic scattering at $Q^2=0$ limit.

- Challenges: coherent cross-section is relatively small compared to other $\pi^0$ production modes
  - Small number of signals (large stat. uncertainty)
  - Large number of background (large syst. uncertainty)
NC Coherent $\pi^0$ - Results

- **Measured flux-averaged cross-section:**
  \[ \sigma = 14.0 \pm 0.9\text{(stat.)} \pm 2.1\text{(syst.)} \times 10^{-40}\ \text{cm}^2/\text{nucleus} \]
- One of the best measurements in the few-GeV region.

- **6.7% statistical uncertainty with 3.7E20POT data**
- **10.4% systematic uncertainty from background modeling, constrained by control sample data**
- **3.7% Uncertainty from signal modeling and 1% from EM shower modeling**
- **<1% uncertainty from detector simulation**
- **9.4% uncertainty Constrained by external hadron production data**

Measurements scaled to $^{12}\text{C}$ by $A^{2/3}$
$\nu_\mu \text{ CC } \pi^0 \text{ Semi-Inclusive}$
$$\nu_\mu \text{ CC } \pi^0 \text{ Semi-Inclusive Overview}$$

- Deliver flux-averaged cross sections differential in:
  - direct observables $p_\pi, \cos \theta_\pi, p_\mu, \cos \theta_\mu$
  - four-momentum transfer to hadronic system: $Q^2 = -q^2$
  - invariant mass of the hadronic system, useful for separating DIS and Res events: $W = \sqrt{(n + q)^2}$
\( \nu_\mu \) CC \( \pi^0 \) Semi-Inclusive Results

- Systematic uncertainties are similar to other analyses.
- In both cases, data suggests harder shape than the generator.
- Other variable results are also available but not shown.
Summary and Outlook

• The ideas and methods of four NOvA cross-section measurements were presented
  • $\nu_{\mu}$ CC inclusive
  • $\nu_{e}$ CC inclusive
• NC coherent $\pi^0$ and $\nu_{\mu}$ CC $\pi^0$ semi-inclusive has gone through the Fermilab JETP seminar, a milestone before publication
  • NC coherent $\pi^0$ has been submitted to PRD and is under review
  • $\nu_{\mu}$ CC $\pi^0$ semi-inclusive is under collaboration review
• Many other measurements with reversed horn current beam are underway, and ratio measurements will follow.
Backup
Topics Shared by Multiple Analyses
Convolutional Visual Network (CVN)

- Deep neural networks developed by computer scientists
- By stacking many hidden layers, such networks are able to extract more and more advanced features, and eventually outperform human in image recognition.
- NOvA adopted the technology and it turned out to perform well in identifying topological features of different types of neutrino events.
First, look for clear final state muon tracks from muon neutrino events.
$\nu_e$ CC Inclusive Data Driven Method for Checking Selection Efficiency

Remove all hits associated with the muon track

Top View

Side View

beam
Add in a simulated electron with same energy and direction as original muon.

Top View

Side View
$\nu_e$ CC Inclusive

Data Driven Method for Checking Selection Efficiency

• This technique is called Muon Removal - Electron Added (MRE)

• Our selection is applied to each of these modified datasets and the differences in efficiency can be compared
  • Allows for the study of the hadronic response and effects it has on our selection

• Total selection efficiency difference between data and MC is $\sim$2%.
Motivation

- Accurate knowledge of neutrino-nucleon interaction cross section is required for energy measurement, crucial for oscillation analyses.
- Previous measurements in NOvA energy range have 10-20% uncertainties.

Event Selection – Fiducial and Containment

- Fiducial (solid) neutrino interaction vertices in fully active region to remove interactions in rock which enter the detector
- Muon containment (dashed) muon is contained in the detector to ensure good muon energy reconstruction
MuonID Cut Optimization

• A general strategy in this analysis is to determine selection criteria that minimize the systematic uncertainty of the total cross section:

\[
\frac{\delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\delta N_{bkg}^{syst}}{N_{bkg}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\delta N_{bkg}^{stat}}{N_{bkg}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\delta \epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^2}
\]

• At low cut values, uncertainty is dominated by background. At high cut values, uncertainty is dominated by the efficiency. 

• The same optimization method is used for fiducial and containment cuts as well.
Energy Estimation

- Using muon track length and visible hadronic energy to estimate muon energy and hadronic energy, respectively.
- Profiles of the plots on the left are fit to polynomial functions.
- Resolution (from standard deviation):
  - Muon ~6%
  - Hadronic ~33%
  - Neutrino ~12%
Binning is determined by resolution, statistics, and number of bins

- $T_\mu$: 20 even bins in [0.5, 2.5] GeV
- $\cos\theta_\mu$: 13 variable-sized bins
- Small statistical uncertainty in the bottom right region.
Uncertainties

- Adopt multi-universe approach for GENIE as well.
- Still under heavy study and subject to change.
- Overall <20% for most of the bins.
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Reweighted Spectrum for 3D Unfolding In-out Test

Reweight $\cos \theta_{\mu}$

Change in $E_\nu$ due to change in $\cos \theta_{\mu}$
\( \nu_\mu \) CC \( \pi^+/\pi^- \) & \( 0\pi \)
$\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^+/-$ & $0\pi$

- Each analysis requires the ability to identify and distinguish between protons and pions.
- Makes use of single particle trained CVN to classify reconstructed objects.
\( \nu_\mu \) \text{CC} \( \pi^+/\pi^- \text{ & } 0\pi \)

- Start with the \( \nu_\mu \) CC inclusive sample
- Identification of pions can be challenging
- Efficiency of proton selection is 92.8% at \( >0.5 \) and 98% at CVN Proton ID at 0.8
Coherent $\pi^0$ Analysis Strategy

**NC $\pi^0$ sample**
- no muon track, two photon showers, no other particles

**Control sample**
- dominated by non-coherent $\pi^0$'s, for background normalization.

**Signal sample**
- includes most of the coherent signal

**Flux-averaged cross-section measurement**
- from data excess over background prediction in the coherent region
  in the pion kinematic phase space.
Coherent $\pi^0$
Photon Shower Identification

- Identify photons by likelihoods build upon shower longitudinal and transverse $dE/dx$ information
Divide the NC $\pi^0$ into two sub-samples:

- **Signal sample**: events with most of their energy in the 2 photon showers and low vertex energy: it has >90% of the signal.
- **Control sample**: the events with extra energy other than the photons or in the vertex region, dominated by non-coherent $\pi^0$ s (RES and DIS).
Coherent $\pi^0$ - Background Constraint

- Fit the backgrounds to control sample data in $\pi^0$ energy vs angle 2D space.
- Apply the background tuning to the signal sample.

RES/DIS template fit in control sample

Apply fit results to signal sample
$\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^0$ Semi-Inclusive Signal Composition

- Analysis signal has large contribution from both Res and DIS interactions.
- There is a large multi-$\pi$ component in $\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^0$ events which is included in the analysis signal.
\( \nu_\mu \) CC \( \pi^0 \) Semi-Inclusive Event Selection

**Neutral Current Rejection**
CVN effectively rejects neutral current background from sample

**Signal Enhancement**
Select only events CVN classifies as RES or DIS
Reject background events classified as QE or Coh

![NOvA Simulation](image)

Rejected: CVN classified as QE or Coh

Selected: CVN classified as Res or DIS
$\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^0$ Semi-Inclusive $\pi^0$ Identification

- A four-variable photon score based on $\Delta LL$ is developed
  - Two are related to $dE/dx$
  - Two are related to “gapiness”
- $CC\pi^0$ID is defined as highest photon score in event
- Momentum estimate: function of prong calorimetric energy
- Direction estimate: reconstructed prong direction
$\nu_\mu$ CC $\pi^0$ Semi-Inclusive Constraining Simulation: Template Fitting

- Apply a data-driven constraint to simulation: a template fit
- Procedure assumes the simulated CC$\pi^0$ID shape but allows signal and background normalization to float
- Measurement is differential: must perform template fit in every kinematic bin separately
- Test the accuracy of this procedure with systematic fake-data study
- After the fit, total adjusted simulation agrees with fake-data
- Apply to real data to extract signal.