

Phenomenological computing

Korinna Zapp

LIP (Lisbon) & CERN



Soft physics

Motivation

- ▶ extract material properties of strongly interacting matter
- ▶ understand equilibration in QCD

State of the art

- ▶ simulation chain:
 - initial condition
 - + 3+1 D viscous hydro
 - + freeze-out
 - + hadronic afterburner
- ▶ event-by-event

Challenges

Is a quasi-particle description with finite mean free path conceivable?

- ▶ requires major developments in kinetic theory phenomenology
- ▶ study correlations

Hard physics

Motivation

- ▶ gives access to scale dependence of QGP properties
- ▶ allows to observe process of equilibration

State of the art

What is needed to model jet shapes/sub-structure:

- ▶ vacuum baseline: jet production + evolution
- + radiative energy loss
- + collisional energy loss + medium response

State of the art

- ▶ separation between jet and background ambiguous
- ▶ need unification of jet and background modeling

Small systems

Motivation: the big surprise

- ▶ soft particle production in high-multiplicity p+p and p+A closely resembles A+A
- ▶ but jets seem to be unmodified
- ▶ challenges our understanding of p+p and A+A collisions

State of the art

- ▶ heavy ion models applied to p+p
- ▶ extensions of soft QCD models in p+p

Challenges

- ▶ understand jet quenching in small systems
- ▶ smoothly interpolate between small and large systems
- ▶ unification of modeling of soft and hard physics

Phenomenology tools

- ▶ hydro codes

VISHNU, ECHO-QGP, MUSIC, Hirano, Romatschke, HYDJET, ...

- ▶ kinetic theory/transport

AMPT, BAMPS, LBT, ...

- ▶ jet quenching models

Q-PYTHIA/Q-HERWIG, HYDJET++/PYQUEN, MARTINI, JEWEL, hybrid model, LBT/coLBT, ...

- ▶ building A+A from N+N

HIJING, ANGANTYR

- ▶ improvements & extensions of soft QCD models in p+p

rope hadronisation (PYTHIA/DIPSY), string hadronisation (PYTHIA), SHRiMPS (SHERPA), ...

$\mathcal{O}(100 - 200)$ people worldwide

Phenomenology trends

Increasing complexity

- ▶ physics: models have to encompass many different aspects of HIC
- ▶ needed: collaboration with different communities jets, hydro, p+p, ...
- ▶ needed: collaboration between theorists and experimentalists
- ▶ computational demands (cpu & storage) increase

Organisation of efforts

- ▶ traditionally: models developed by many small groups
 - ▶ support and maintenance of public codes bind resources
 - ▶ group size limits possibilities of diversifying expertise
- ⇒ Cannot be scaled up to challenges of next decade.

Phenomenology needs

- ▶ richness in concepts, methods and tools is worth preserving
 - ▶ **divide and conquer**: future success depends on clever division of work
 - ▶ helpful would be a structure to
 - ▶ standardise and centralise repetitive elements
 - ▶ develop common event formats e.g. HepMC
 - ▶ develop and support common analysis framework e.g. Rivet
 - ▶ enhance collaborations between groups
 - ▶ support publication of tools
 - ▶ create platform for sharing of results, tools, event files, . . .
 - ▶ currently such a support structure is missing in Europe
 - ▶ community efforts without significant funding
- Lisbon accord, TH Institute, workshops