

# **RECENT RESULTS FROM LHCB**

### Daniel Johnson

on behalf of the LHCb collaboration



Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

26 March 2019





### 3. Exotic hadron spectroscopy

4. Lepton universality

**Aspen 2019 Winter Conference** 

Last Thursday

Last Thursda

This morning

Last Friday

2 Dan Johnson (CERN)

## The LHCb experiment



Efficient trigger & reconstruction; effective identification Magnet polarity routinely reversed

3 Dan Johnson (CERN)

CP violation in beauty
 CP violation in charm



3. Exotic hadron spectroscopy

4. Lepton universality

4 Dan Johnson (CERN)

**Indirect CPV in**  $B_s^0$  **decays to non-flavour-specific f.s.** • in absence of penguin contamination  $\phi_s \approx -2\beta_s = \arg[-(V_{ts}V_{tb}^*)/(V_{cs}V_{cb}^*)]$ 

**Expected to be very small: CKM**  $-2\beta_s = -37.04 \pm 0.64$  mrad 1106.4041

**Classic mode for**  $\phi_s$ :  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$ 

•admixture of CP-even and CP-odd t-dep. angular analysis •most precise measurement uses  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$  from LHCb: 3 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 $\phi_s = 60 \pm 49 \pm 6 \text{ mrad}$  1411.3104

### Significant K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> S-wave present

5 Dan Johnson (CERN)

•  $s\bar{s}$  can manifest in  $f_0(980) [ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-]$  0812.2832

### • $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ is > 97.7% CP-odd at 95% CL



### Data 2015 - 2016: $2 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ @ 13 TeV

**Trigger:** 

- Hardware: high pT muon or high ET calorimeter deposit
- Software 1: High  $p_{\rm T}$  &  $\chi^2_{IP}$  muon or muon-pair with  $m(\mu^+\mu^-) > 2.7 \,{\rm GeV}/c^2$
- Software 2: Full reco; good dimuon vertex, well-separated from PVs, near  $m_{J/\psi}$



6 Dan Johnson (CERN)

### **Data 2015 - 2016:** 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 13 TeV

**Trigger:** 

7 Dan Johnson (CERN)

- Hardware: high pT muon or high ET calorimeter deposit
- Software 1: High  $p_{\rm T} \& \chi_{IP}^2$  muon or muon-pair with  $m(\mu^+\mu^-) > 2.7 \, {\rm GeV}/c^2$
- Software 2: Full reco; good dimuon vertex, well-separated from PVs, near  $m_{J/\psi}$



1903.05530

### Fit: Unbinned max-L fit to:

decay time, flavour tag,  $(m_{\pi\pi}/m_{KK} \text{bin}), B_s^0$  helicity angles

• Efficiencies in angular variables (and  $m_{\pi\pi}$  ) taken from simulation



- Decay time acceptance determined using  $B^0 \to J/\psi K^*(892)^0$  and known  $\tau_{B^0}$
- Decay time uncertainty calibrated with prompt J/ψ + h<sup>+</sup>h<sup>-</sup>
  effective resolution: 40 45 fs
- Flavour tag a) decays of 'opposite side' b-hadron b) jet fragments on 'same side' containing a kaon calibrate with  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$



#### 8 Dan Johnson (CERN)

**Results**  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi K^+ K^ \phi_s = -80 \pm 41 \pm 6 \text{ mrad}$ **main syst:** factorisation of mass and

**Dan Johnson (CERN)** 

9

helicity angle

 $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

1903.05530

 $\phi_s = -57 \pm 60 \pm 11 \text{ mrad}$ 

main syst: ππ amp. model

Combined, including Run 1 results:  $\phi_s = -53 \pm 26 \text{ mrad}$ Most precise determination of  $\phi_s$  to date!

...consistent (0.5 $\sigma$ ) with expectation assuming SM, and 2 $\sigma$  from zero



## CP violation in beauty CP violation in charm



### 3. Exotic hadron spectroscopy

4. Lepton universality

10 Dan Johnson (CERN)

## 2. CP violation in charm

Expected to be very small  $O(10^{-4} - 10^{-3})$ 

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda + \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^5[1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4(1 + 4A^2) & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3\left[1 - (\rho + i\eta)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\right)\right] & -A\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^4\left[1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)\right] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^4 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^6)$$

**Opportunity to probe NP effects in up-type-quark sector** 

### **Imprecise predictions:**

small  $m_c \Rightarrow$  long-distance effects are significant

### **Time integrated asymmetry difference**

many systematic effects cancel  $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-) - A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ 

Much sought!

11 Dan Johnson (CERN)



Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

1903.08726

## 2. CP violation in charm

### **Data 2015 - 2018:** 6 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 13 TeV





**Systematic cancellation:**  $A_{raw}(f) \approx A_{CP}(f) + A_D + A_P$ 

independent of final state

$$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{\text{raw}}(D^0 \to K^+ K^-) - A_{\text{raw}}(D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

**Trigger:** 

- Hardware: significant calorimeter / muon system deposits
- Software 1: High  $p_T \& \chi^2_{IP}$  track or 2-track secondary vtx consistent with  $D^0$

(Nearly) real-time detector alignment and calibration

Software 2: Full reconstruction; kinematic/topological/PID selection

#### 12 Dan Johnson (CERN)

#### Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

1903.08726

ΠΠ

## 2. CP violation in charm

### Selection

- Exclude regions with large A<sub>D</sub>(π<sup>tag</sup>, μ<sup>tag</sup>)
- Mass window for  $D^0$
- Require good D<sup>\*+</sup> vertex, close to PV
- Correct different KK/ππ tag-kinematics

#### Muon-tag only:

- Dedicated BDT to suppress comb. bg.
- Explicit veto for  $b \to [c\bar{c} \to \mu^+\mu^-]h(=\pi, K)X$

### Simultaneous fit

- $m(D^*(2010)^+)$  for pion-tagged
- $m(D^0)$  for muon-tagged

13 Dan Johnson (CERN)



14 Dan Johnson (CERN)

**Aspen 2019 Winter Conference** 

## 2. CP violation in charm

### **Systematic uncertainties**

- Signal/background mass models Pion-tag:  $\sigma(\Delta A_{CP}) = 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$
- Muon mistag

Main syst. for muon-tag:  $\sigma(\Delta A_{CP}) = 4 \times 10^{-4}$ 

- Tag KK/ππ kinematic reweighting
- Bg peaking in  $m(D^0\pi^+)$  but not  $m(D^0)$   $KKD^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0$   $\pi\pi D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\mu^+\nu_\mu$   $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-e^+\nu_e$ Pion-tag:  $\sigma(\Delta A_{CP}) = 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$
- Fractions of  $B^0$  and  $B^+$  in KK or  $\pi\pi$ 
  - different A<sub>P</sub> and reco. effs.



1903.08726

## 2. CP violation in charm

Results

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\pi-\text{tagged}} = [-18.2 \pm 3.2 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.9 \,(\text{syst.})] \times 10^{-4}$$
$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\mu-\text{tagged}} = [-9 \pm 8 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 5 \,(\text{syst.})] \times 10^{-4}$$

- In good agreement with world averages and previous LHCb results
- Combining with previous LHCb measurements:

 $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$ 5.3 standard deviations First observation of CPV in charm hadron decay  $\Delta A_{CP} \approx \Delta a_{CP}^{\text{dir}} \left(1 + \overbrace{(t)}^{\bullet} \swarrow CP \atop (t)} + \overbrace{(t)}^{\bullet} \overbrace{(t)}^{\bullet} a_{CP}^{\text{ind}} \right)$ • Primarily sensitive to direct CPV • Primarily sensitive to direct CPV • Direction of CPV in charm hadron decay

15 Dan Johnson (CERN)

Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

1903.08726

CP violation in beauty
 CP violation in charm



### 3. Exotic hadron spectroscopy

4. Lepton universality

16 Dan Johnson (CERN)

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

#### Back to 2015...

1507.03414

### • 6-dimensional amplitude fit to $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K$ with Run 1 ('11-'12) data



- ${\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$  All-known  $\Lambda^{*}$  states, and new ones tried
- Floated masses and widths
- Tested non-resonant terms/ $\Sigma^*$
- Cannot be a reflection 1604.05708

#### 17 Dan Johnson (CERN)

### ... 2 new pentaquarks

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

### Data 2011 - 2018: $9 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ @ 7,8,13 TeV

• new BDT, including hadron ID, doubles  $\Lambda_b^0$  signal efficiency; still 94% pure • resulting dataset is 9 times larger than that of the earlier analysis





18 Dan Johnson (CERN)

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

### Data 2011 - 2018: $9 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ @ 7,8,13 TeV

• new BDT, including hadron ID, doubles  $\Lambda_b^0$  signal efficiency; still 94% pure • resulting dataset is 9 times larger than that of the earlier analysis



**Complicated**  $\Lambda^*$  structure



18 Dan Johnson (CERN)

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

### Data 2011 - 2018: $9 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ @ 7,8,13 TeV

• new BDT, including hadron ID, doubles  $\Lambda_b^0$  signal efficiency; still 94% pure • resulting dataset is 9 times larger than that of the earlier analysis

**Complicated**  $\Lambda^*$  structure

#### **Clearly visible Pc states**



**18 Dan Johnson (CERN)** 



LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

## 3. Pentaquarks



Run 1 vs Run 2



Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

19 Dan Johnson (CERN)

### Strategy

20 Dan Johnson (CERN)

- new, narrow,  $J/\psi p~$  structures can be investigated without full model
- binned  $\chi^2$  fits performed to  $m(J/\psi p)$  in range  $4.22 < m(J/\psi p) < 4.57 \text{ GeV}$
- previous, broad,  $P_c(4380)^+$  state too broad to be studied for now



Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014

in preparation

Results

- Fit with full dataset,  $\Lambda^*$ -veto, and  $\cos(\theta_{P_c})$ -weighting
- 1-D fit strategy validated on toys sampled from 6-D amp. models dominant systematic from possible  $P_c^+$  interference, not probed in  $m(J/\psi p)$
- In all fits, 3 narrow BW  $P_c^+$  terms + smooth bg description
  - results insensitive to background models
  - $m(J/\psi p)$  negligibly impacted by detector efficiency

#### Systematic uncertainties account for:

- background model
- $P_c^+$  interference
- mass resolution
- S-/P-wave production/decay
- alternative (non-BDT) selection

#### Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014

in preparation

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

## 3. Pentaquarks

### **Results**

State	$M \; [\mathrm{MeV}\;]$	$\Gamma$ [ MeV ] (95% CL)	${\mathcal R}$
$P_{c}(4312)^{+}$	$4311.9\pm0.7^{+6.8}_{-0.6}$	$9.8 \pm 2.7^{+}_{-4.5}^{-3.7} (< 27)$	$(0.30\pm0.07^{+0.34}_{-0.09})\%$
$P_c(4440)^+$	$4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}$	$20.6 \pm 4.9^{+\ 8.7}_{-10.1} \ (< 49)$	$(1.11\pm0.33^{+0.22}_{-0.10})\%$
$P_{c}(4457)^{+}$	$4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}$	$6.4 \pm 2.0^{+}_{-1.9}^{5.7} (< 20)$	$(0.53 \pm 0.16^{+0.15}_{-0.13})\%$

Significance of P<sub>c</sub>(4312): 8.2σ including L.E. effect

**Significance of two-peak: 6.2**σ resolve P<sub>c</sub>(4440) & P<sub>c</sub>(4457)

**Broad P**<sub>c</sub>(4380) awaits amp. analysis



#### Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

22 Dan Johnson (CERN)

LHCb-PAPER-2019-014 in preparation

## 3. Pentaquarks

### **Results**

State	$M \;[\mathrm{MeV}\;]$	$\Gamma$ [ MeV ] (95% CL)	${\cal R}$
$P_{c}(4312)^{+}$	$4311.9\pm0.7^{+6.8}_{-0.6}$	$9.8 \pm 2.7^+_{-4.5} (< 27)$	$(0.30\pm0.07^{+0.34}_{-0.09})\%$
$P_c(4440)^+$	$4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}$	$20.6 \pm 4.9^{+\ 8.7}_{-10.1} \ (< 49)$	$(1.11\pm0.33^{+0.22}_{-0.10})\%$
$P_{c}(4457)^{+}$	$4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}$	$6.4 \pm 2.0^{+}_{-1.9}^{5.7} (< 20)$	$(0.53\pm0.16^{+0.15}_{-0.13})\%$

Significance of P<sub>c</sub>(4312): 8.2σ including L.E. effect

**Significance of two-peak: 6.2**σ resolve P<sub>c</sub>(4440) & P<sub>c</sub>(4457)

**Broad P**<sub>c</sub>(4380) awaits amp. analysis



Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

22 Dan Johnson (CERN)

CP violation in beauty
 CP violation in charm



### 3. Exotic hadron spectroscopy

4. Lepton universality

23 Dan Johnson (CERN)

"Electroweak couplings of charged leptons are universal"

Standard Model, 1970s

**FCNC**  $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$  decays proceed via electroweak loop diagrams sensitive to virtual contributions from BSM particles

Predictions rely on calculation of hadronic effects focus on BF ratios below  $q^2(\ell^+\ell^-)$  where charmonium plays a role

- $R_K (1.0 < q^2 < 6.0 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2/c^4)$
- $R_{K^{*0}}(0.045 < q^2 < 1.1 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2/c^4)$
- $R_{K^{*0}}(1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2/c^4)$



At such low  $q^2$ , predictions have  $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$  precision

### Data 2011 - 2016: 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 7,8,13 TeV

Very different reconstruction strategy for muons and electrons electron bremsstrahlung; different triggers; so:

$$R_{K} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+} \mu^{+} \mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi \, (\to \mu^{+} \mu^{-}) K^{+})} \Big/ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+} e^{+} e^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi \, (\to e^{+} e^{-}) K^{+})}$$

 $(J/\psi$  decays lepton-universal at 0.4% level)

Improved reconstruction wrt earlier measurement and higher  $q_{\min}^2$ 

Identical selections for resonant/non-resonant: exploit topology & PID J/ $\psi$  constraint reduces mass resolution (MeV) 140 $\rightarrow$ 24.5 (e) & 30 $\rightarrow$ 17.5 ( $\mu$ ) Efficiency ratios from simulation, calibrated using the resonant mode

Simultaneous, unbinned fit, constraining resonant yields, fitting  $R_K$ 

#### 25 Dan Johnson (CERN)

### **Systematic uncertainties**



- Mass shape models: fit pseudo experiments with alternative models
- Efficiency uncertainties inserted via constraints in the fit non-e-triggered events: data-derived trigger efficiency calibration e-triggered events: calib sample statistics and data/MC differences
- $q^2$  migration studied in MC; negligible impact of data/MC differences
- Negligible uncertainty due to simulation decay model
  (Wilson coefficients, form factors, other hadronic uncertainties)
- Consistent cross-checks:

$$r_{J/\psi} = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-) K^+) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi (\to e^+ e^-) K^+) = 1.014 \pm 0.035$$
$$R_{\psi(2S)} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \psi(2S)(\to \mu^+ \mu^-) K^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi (\to e^+ e^-) K^+)} / \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \psi(2S)(\to e^+ e^-) K^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi (\to e^+ e^-) K^+)} = 0.986 \pm 0.013$$

#### Results

### Fit to selected candidates red-dashed line shows R<sub>K</sub>=1



27 Dan Johnson (CERN)



Consistent across trigger samples 7,8 TeV consistent with 13 TeV at  $1.9\sigma$  Reproduce earlier result at  $< 1\sigma$  2017/2018 analysis still to come!

Aspen 2019 Winter Conference

1903.09252

## Prospects



- Many Run 1/2 legacy results in preparation
- Installing and commissioning of the new detector well-underway
- Watch this space!

#### 28 Dan Johnson (CERN)

## Summary

### - World's best measurement of $\phi_s$

- Discovery of CP violation in the charm sector
- New pentaguark discoveries Released today!
- Search for lepton non-universality

journal details, hi-res plots and more at cern.ch/go/X7sX

