

Forward particle production in proton-nucleus collisions at high energy: from trijet to NLO dijet


Yair Mulian (with E. Iancu),
Mostly based on hep-ph/1809.05526



Forward Particle Production

By using the formalism of the light-cone wave function in perturbative QCD, together with the hybrid factorization, the derivation of the forward LO dijet cross-section was done in hep-ph/0708.0231 (C. Marquet).

The basic setup: a large- x parton from the proton scatters off the small- x gluon distribution in the target nucleus. Large- x parton is most likely a quark.



Quark fragmentation in the presence of a shockwave.

The time evolution of the initial (bare) quark state is given by:

$$|q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q})\rangle_{\text{in}} \equiv U(0, -\infty) |q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q})\rangle$$

Where U denotes a unitary operator:

$$U(t, t_0) = \text{T exp} \left\{ -i \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H_I(t_1) \right\}$$

The information both on the time evolution and interaction of the bare quark with the target nucleus is given by the “outgoing state”:

$$|q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{\text{out}} \equiv U(\infty, 0) \hat{S} U(0, -\infty) |q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle$$

This state will be shown to generate all the possible insertions of the shockwave. More importantly, the outgoing state is directly related to expectation values:

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \left\langle \langle q | U^\dagger \hat{S} U \hat{O} U^\dagger \hat{S} U | q \rangle \right\rangle_{cgc}$$

The LO Outgoing State

The production state at leading order is given by

$$|q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{out}^{(g)} \equiv U(\infty, 0) \hat{S} U(0, -\infty) |q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle = |\psi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{qg} + |q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle$$

$$|\psi_\lambda^\alpha\rangle_{qg} = |q^\gamma g^b\rangle \left(-\langle q^\gamma g^b | \hat{S} | q^\beta g^a \rangle \frac{\langle q^\beta g^a | H_{q \rightarrow qg} | q^\alpha \rangle}{E_{qg} - E_q} + \frac{\langle q^\gamma g^b | H_{q \rightarrow qg} | q^\beta \rangle}{E_{qg} - E_q} \langle q^\beta | \hat{S} | q^\alpha \rangle \right)$$


Where only terms of order g were kept. The following result is obtained for the $|qg\rangle$ contribution:

$$|\psi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{qg} = \int_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} \int_0^1 d\vartheta \frac{ig\phi_{\lambda_1\lambda}^{ij}(\vartheta)\sqrt{q^+} \mathbf{X}^j}{4\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\vartheta} \mathbf{X}^2} \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{w} - (1-\vartheta)\mathbf{x} - \vartheta\mathbf{z})$$

$$\times \left[V^{\gamma\beta}(\mathbf{x}) U^{ba}(z) t_{\beta\alpha}^a - t_{\gamma\beta}^b V^{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{w}) \right] |q_{\lambda_1}^\gamma((1-\vartheta)q^+, \mathbf{x}) g_i^b(\vartheta q^+, \mathbf{z})\rangle$$

$\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}$

Diagrammatically (blue bar denotes a shockwave = interaction with the target):



One gluon production at leading order with shockwave before and after the emission.

The LO forward dijet cross-section

From the production state we can pass easily to the quark-gluon dijet cross section:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{LO}}^{qA \rightarrow qg+X}}{d^3k d^3p} &\equiv \frac{1}{2N_c L} \langle q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q}) | \hat{N}_q(p) \hat{N}_g(k) | q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q}) \rangle_{\text{out}}^{(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2N_c L} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \bar{\mathbf{w}}} e^{i(\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{q}} \langle \psi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \bar{\mathbf{w}}) | \hat{N}_q(p) \hat{N}_g(k) | \psi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w}) \rangle_{qg} \end{aligned}$$

The following number density operators were introduced:

$$\hat{N}_q(p) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} b_\lambda^{\alpha\dagger}(p) b_\lambda^\alpha(p) \quad \hat{N}_g(k) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} a_i^{a\dagger}(k) a_i^a(k)$$

Then the result for the leading-order dijet cross section is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{LO}}^{qA \rightarrow qg+X}}{dk^+ d^2\mathbf{k} dp^+ d^2\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{2\alpha_s C_F (1 + (1 - \vartheta)^2)}{(2\pi)^6 \vartheta q^+} \delta(q^+ - k^+ - p^+) \\ &\times \int_{\mathbf{x}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}} \frac{\mathbf{X} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{X}}}{\mathbf{X}^2 \bar{\mathbf{X}}^2} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} - \bar{\mathbf{z}})} \mathbb{S}_{\text{LO}}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}$, $\bar{\mathbf{X}} \equiv \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{z}}$, $\mathbf{w} = (1 - \vartheta)\mathbf{x} + \vartheta\mathbf{z}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (1 - \vartheta)\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \vartheta\bar{\mathbf{z}}$.

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{LO}}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \equiv S_{qgqg}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - S_{qgq}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - S_{qgq}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{w}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) + \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w})$$


Where the following combinations of Wilson lines were introduced (in the large N_c limit these combinations represent the quadropole-dipole and dipole-dipole interactions):

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{q\bar{q}gg}^{(1)}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, x, z) &\equiv \frac{1}{C_F N_c} \text{tr} \left(V^\dagger(\bar{x}) V(x) t^a t^c \right) \left[U^\dagger(\bar{z}) U(z) \right]^{ca} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2C_F N_c} (N_c^2 \mathcal{Q}(\bar{x}, x, z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, z) - \mathcal{S}(\bar{x}, x)) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(\bar{x}, x, z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, z) \\
 S_{q\bar{q}g}(\bar{w}, x, z) &\equiv \frac{1}{C_F N_c} \text{tr} \left(V^\dagger(\bar{w}) t^b V(x) t^a \right) U^{ba}(z) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2C_F N_c} (N_c^2 \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, z) \mathcal{S}(z, x) - \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, x)) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, z) \mathcal{S}(z, x)
 \end{aligned}$$

The dipole and quadropole are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, w) &\equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr} \left[V^\dagger(\bar{w}) V(w) \right] \\
 \mathcal{Q}(\bar{x}, x, z, \bar{z}) &\equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr} \left[V^\dagger(\bar{x}) V(x) V^\dagger(z) V(\bar{z}) \right] \\
 U(x) &= \text{T exp} \left\{ ig \int dx^+ T^a A_a^-(x^+, x) \right\}, \quad V(x) = \text{T exp} \left\{ ig \int dx^+ t^a A_a^-(x^+, x) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

In total there are four different insertions of Wilson lines (each diagram corresponds to a different term in the rectangular brackets). For example, below is the relevant diagram which corresponds to $S_{q\bar{q}g}(\bar{w}, x, z)$ (the location of the measurement is denoted by a dashed line).




The Trijet Setup

In the new setup, we have to produce three particles in the final state. There are two configurations of particles:

- a) Quark, quark and anti-quark
- b) Quark together with two gluons.

Due to the fact that we are using the light-cone gauge, the production of these configurations can happen both instantaneously (via one emission), or in the regular way, via two successive emissions or one emission followed by splitting process.



An example for a contribution with three particles in the final state

The Trijet Outgoing State

The perturbative expression for the outgoing state is:

$$|out\rangle = |in\rangle + |out\rangle^{(1)} + |out\rangle^{(2)} + \dots$$


with:

$$\begin{aligned} |out\rangle^{(1)} &= - \sum_{f,j} |f\rangle \langle f|S|j\rangle \frac{\langle j|H_{\text{int}}|in\rangle}{E_j - E_{in}} + \sum_{f,j} |f\rangle \frac{\langle f|H_{\text{int}}|j\rangle}{E_f - E_j} \langle j|S|in\rangle \\ |out\rangle^{(2)} &= \sum_{f,j,i} |f\rangle \langle f|S|j\rangle \frac{\langle j|H_{\text{int}}|i\rangle \langle i|H_{\text{int}}|in\rangle}{(E_j - E_{in})(E_i - E_{in})} + \sum_{f,j,i} |f\rangle \frac{\langle f|H_{\text{int}}|j\rangle \langle j|H_{\text{int}}|i\rangle}{(E_f - E_j)(E_f - E_i)} \langle i|S|in\rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{f,j,i} |f\rangle \frac{\langle f|H_{\text{int}}|j\rangle}{E_f - E_j} \langle j|S|i\rangle \frac{\langle i|H_{\text{int}}|in\rangle}{E_i - E_{in}} \end{aligned}$$

Where i, j and k runs over the relevant bare states, and Hint represent the interaction part of the QCD Hamiltonian. In the following we will focus only on the contribution to the outgoing states from the quark, quark, anti-quark configuration:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^\alpha\rangle_{qq\bar{q}}^{inst} &\equiv |\bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma\rangle \left(\frac{\langle \bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma | H_{q \rightarrow qq\bar{q}} | q^\beta \rangle \langle q^\beta | \hat{S} | q^\alpha \rangle}{E_{qq\bar{q}} - E_q} - \frac{\langle \bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma | \hat{S} | \bar{q}^\epsilon q^\delta q^\beta \rangle \langle \bar{q}^\epsilon q^\delta q^\beta | H_{q \rightarrow qq\bar{q}} | q^\alpha \rangle}{E_{qq\bar{q}} - E_q} \right) \\ |\psi^\alpha\rangle_{qq\bar{q}}^{reg} &\equiv |\bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma\rangle \left(\frac{\langle \bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma | \hat{S} | \bar{q}^\delta q^\epsilon q^\kappa \rangle \langle \bar{q}^\delta q^\epsilon q^\kappa | H_{g \rightarrow q\bar{q}} | q^\beta g^i \rangle \langle q^\beta g^i | H_{q \rightarrow qg} | q^\alpha \rangle}{(E_{qq\bar{q}} - E_q)(E_{qg} - E_q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\langle \bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma | H_{g \rightarrow q\bar{q}} | q^\gamma g^i \rangle \langle q^\gamma g^i | H_{q \rightarrow qg} | q^\beta \rangle \langle q^\beta | \hat{S} | q^\alpha \rangle}{(E_{qq\bar{q}} - E_{qg})(E_{qq\bar{q}} - E_q)} - \frac{\langle \bar{q}^\rho q^e q^\sigma | H_{g \rightarrow q\bar{q}} | q^\gamma g^j \rangle \langle q^\gamma g^j | \hat{S} | q^\beta g^i \rangle \langle q^\beta g^i | H_{q \rightarrow qg} | q^\alpha \rangle}{(E_{qq\bar{q}} - E_{qg})(E_{qg} - E_q)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The Results for the Quark Anti-quark Outgoing State (Instantaneous Emission)




$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{qq\bar{q}}^{inst} &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}'} \int_0^1 d\vartheta d\xi \frac{g^2 (1-\vartheta)\xi(1-\xi)q^+}{4\pi^3 \left(\xi(1-\xi)Z^2 + (1-\vartheta)(X + \xi Z)^2 \right)} \\
 &\times \left[V^{\varrho\delta}(\mathbf{z}') t_{\delta\epsilon}^a V^{\dagger\epsilon\rho}(\mathbf{z}) V^{\sigma\beta}(\mathbf{x}) t_{\beta\alpha}^a - t_{\varrho\rho}^a t_{\sigma\beta}^a V^{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{w}) \right] \\
 &\times \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{C}) \left| \bar{q}_{\lambda_1}^{\rho}((1-\xi)\vartheta q^+, \mathbf{z}) q_{\lambda_1}^{\varrho}(\xi\vartheta q^+, \mathbf{z}') q_{\lambda}^{\sigma}((1-\vartheta)q^+, \mathbf{x}) \right\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{C} denotes the c.o.m for of the three produced particles:

$$\mathbf{C} \equiv (1-\vartheta)\mathbf{x} + \xi\vartheta\mathbf{z} + (1-\xi)\vartheta\mathbf{z}'.$$

Regular Emission





$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^+, w)\rangle_{qq\bar{q}}^{reg} = & - \int_{x, z, z'} \int_0^1 d\vartheta d\xi \frac{g^2 \varphi_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{il}(\xi) \phi_{\lambda_1 \lambda}^{ij}(\vartheta) Z^l (X^j + \xi Z^j) q^+}{8\pi^3 (X + \xi Z)^2 Z^2} \\
 & \times \left[\Theta_1(x, z, z') V^{\varrho\delta}(z') t_{\delta\epsilon}^a V^{\dagger\epsilon\rho}(z) V^{\sigma\beta}(x) t_{\beta\alpha}^a + \Theta_2(x, z, z') t_{\varrho\rho}^a t_{\sigma\beta}^a V^{\beta\alpha}(w) \right. \\
 & \left. - t_{\varrho\rho}^b V^{\sigma\beta}(x) U^{ba}(y) t_{\beta\alpha}^a \right] \delta^{(2)}(w - C) \left| \bar{q}_{\lambda_3}^{\rho}((1-\xi)\vartheta q^+, z) q_{\lambda_2}^{\varrho}(\xi\vartheta q^+, z') q_{\lambda_1}^{\sigma}((1-\vartheta)q^+, x) \right\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



With the following definitions:

$$y \equiv \xi z' + (1-\xi)z \quad \Theta_1(x, z, z') \equiv \frac{(1-\vartheta)(X + \xi Z)^2}{(1-\vartheta)(X + \xi Z)^2 + \xi(1-\xi)Z^2} \quad \Theta_2(x, z, z') \equiv \frac{\xi(1-\xi)Z^2}{(1-\vartheta)(X + \xi Z)^2 + \xi(1-\xi)Z^2}$$

Note that both the result above and in the previous slide vanishes under the limit $S \rightarrow 1$. This property of the results has to be expected since the new particles are produced by the shockwave.

The Diagrams for the Quark and Two Gluons Outgoing States





The results for the forward trijet cross section

The expression for the forward trijet cross section is composed by two contributions:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pA \rightarrow 3jet+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} = \int dx_p q(x_p, \mu^2) \left(\frac{d\sigma^{qA \rightarrow qgg+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} + \frac{d\sigma^{qA \rightarrow qq\bar{q}+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} \right)$$


The two contributions to the two final partonic state:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{qA \rightarrow qq\bar{q}+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c L} \langle q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q} = 0_\perp) | \hat{N}_q(q_1) \hat{N}_q(q_2) \hat{N}_{\bar{q}}(q_3) | q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q} = 0_\perp) \rangle_{out}^{(g^2)}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^{qA \rightarrow qgg+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c L} \langle q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q} = 0_\perp) | \hat{N}_q(q_1) \hat{N}_g(q_2) \hat{N}_g(q_3) | q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q} = 0_\perp) \rangle_{out}^{(g^2)}$$

The results for the cross section (quark contribution)

The contribution of the quarks to the cross section is given in terms of four blocks which represent two direct (regular / instantaneous gluon both in the amplitude and its conjugate) and two interference (regular - inst.) contributions.



In hep-ph/1809.05526,
the result is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{qA \rightarrow qq\bar{q}+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} &\equiv \frac{\alpha_s^2 C_F N_f}{2(2\pi)^{10}(q^+)^2} \delta(q^+ - q_1^+ - q_2^+ - q_3^+) \int_{\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z'} e^{-iq_1 \cdot (x - \bar{x}) - iq_2 \cdot (z - \bar{z}) - iq_3 \cdot (z' - \bar{z}')} \\ &\times \{ K_{qq\bar{q}}^1(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') [\bar{\Theta}_1 \Theta_1 S_{q\bar{q}qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') - \bar{\Theta}_1 S_{q\bar{q}qqq}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, y) \\ &- \Theta_1 S_{qqq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x, z, z') + \bar{\Theta}_2 \Theta_1 S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{w}, x, z, z') + \bar{\Theta}_1 \Theta_2 S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, w, \bar{z}', \bar{z}) \\ &+ S_{qqqq}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x, y) - \bar{\Theta}_2 S_{qqq}(\bar{w}, y, x) - \Theta_2 S_{qqq}(\bar{x}, w, \bar{y}) + \bar{\Theta}_2 \Theta_2 \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, w)] \\ &+ K_{qq\bar{q}}^2(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') [\Theta_1 S_{q\bar{q}qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') - S_{q\bar{q}qqq}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, y) \\ &- \Theta_1 S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{w}, x, z, z') + \Theta_2 S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, w, \bar{z}', \bar{z}) + S_{qqq}(\bar{w}, y, x) - \Theta_2 \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, w)] \\ &+ K_{qq\bar{q}}^2(x, z, z', \bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}') [\bar{\Theta}_1 S_{q\bar{q}qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') - S_{qqq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x, z, z') \\ &+ \bar{\Theta}_2 S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{w}, x, z, z') - \bar{\Theta}_1 S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, w, \bar{z}', \bar{z}) + S_{qqq}(\bar{x}, w, \bar{y}) - \bar{\Theta}_2 \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, w)] \\ &+ K_{qq\bar{q}}^3(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') [S_{q\bar{q}qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') - S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{w}, x, z, z') \\ &- S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{x}, w, \bar{z}', \bar{z}) + \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, w)] \} + (q_1^+ \leftrightarrow q_2^+, q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2) \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{qA \rightarrow qq\bar{q}+X}}{d^3q_1 d^3q_2 d^3q_3} &\equiv \frac{\alpha_s^2 C_F N_f}{2(2\pi)^{10}(q^+)^2} \delta(q^+ - q_1^+ - q_2^+ - q_3^+) \int_{\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z'} e^{-iq_1 \cdot (x - \bar{x}) - iq_2 \cdot (z - \bar{z}) - iq_3 \cdot (z' - \bar{z}')} \\ &\times [K_{qq\bar{q}}^1(\vartheta, \xi, \bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \mathbb{S}_{q\bar{q}}^1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') + K_{qq\bar{q}}^2(\vartheta, \xi, \bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\times \mathbb{S}_{qq\bar{q}}^2(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + h.c. + K_{qq\bar{q}}^3(\vartheta, \xi, \bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \mathbb{S}_{\text{LO}}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})] \\ &+ (q_1^+ \leftrightarrow q_2^+, q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_{qq\bar{q}}^1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') &\equiv S_{q\bar{q}qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') - S_{q\bar{q}qqq}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - S_{qqq\bar{q}q}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') + S_{qqqq}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ \mathbb{S}_{qq\bar{q}}^2(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') &\equiv S_{qqq\bar{q}q}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') - S_{qq\bar{q}q}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') - S_{qqqq}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + S_{qqq}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{q\bar{q}q\bar{q}q\bar{q}}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') &\equiv \frac{2}{C_F N_c} \text{tr} \left(V^\dagger(\bar{x}) V(x) t^a t^b \right) \text{tr} \left(V(\bar{z}') t^b V^\dagger(\bar{z}) V(z) t^a V^\dagger(z') \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2C_F N_c} \left(N_c^2 Q(\bar{x}, x, z', \bar{z}') \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, z) - \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, x, z', \bar{z}', \bar{z}, z) - \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, x, \bar{z}, z, z', \bar{z}') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathcal{S}(\bar{x}, x) Q(\bar{z}, z, z', \bar{z}') \right) \simeq Q(\bar{x}, x, z', \bar{z}') \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{q\bar{q}q\bar{q}g}^{(1)}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, y) &\equiv \frac{2}{C_F N_c} \text{tr} \left[t^a V^\dagger(\bar{x}) V(x) t^d \right] \text{tr} \left[t^a V^\dagger(\bar{z}) t^c V(\bar{z}') \right] U^{cd}(y) = \frac{1}{2C_F N_c} \\
&\times \left(N_c^2 Q(\bar{x}, x, y, \bar{z}') \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, y) - \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, x, y, \bar{z}', \bar{z}, y) - Q(\bar{x}, x, \bar{z}, \bar{z}') + \mathcal{S}(\bar{x}, x) \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, \bar{z}') \right) \\
&\simeq Q(\bar{x}, x, y, \bar{z}') \mathcal{S}(\bar{z}, y).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{q\bar{q}q\bar{q}g}^{(2)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x, z, z') &\equiv \frac{2}{C_F N_c} \text{tr} \left[t^d V^\dagger(\bar{x}) V(x) t^a \right] \text{tr} \left[t^c V(z) t^a V^\dagger(z') \right] U^{cd}(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2C_F N_c} \\
&\times \left(N_c^2 Q(\bar{x}, x, z', \bar{y}) \mathcal{S}(\bar{y}, z) - \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, x, \bar{y}, z, z', \bar{y}) - Q(\bar{x}, x, z', z) + \mathcal{S}(\bar{x}, x) \mathcal{S}(z', z) \right) \\
&\simeq Q(\bar{x}, x, z', \bar{y}) \mathcal{S}(\bar{y}, z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{q\bar{q}q\bar{q}}(\bar{w}, x, z, z') &= \frac{2}{C_F N_c} \text{tr} \left[V^\dagger(\bar{w}) t^b V(x) t^a \right] \text{tr} \left[V(z) t^a V^\dagger(z') t^b \right] = \frac{1}{2C_F N_c} \\
&\times \left(N_c^2 \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, z) \mathcal{S}(z', x) - Q(\bar{w}, x, z', z) - Q(\bar{w}, z, z', x) + \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, x) \mathcal{S}(z', z) \right) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\bar{w}, z) \mathcal{S}(z', x)
\end{aligned}$$


$$K_{qq\bar{q}}^1(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') \equiv \frac{2\bar{Z}^n (\bar{X}^p + \xi\bar{Z}^p) Z^l (X^j + \xi Z^j)}{\bar{Z}^2 (\bar{X} + \xi\bar{Z})^2 Z^2 (X + \xi Z)^2} \\ \times \left(((2\xi - 1)^2(\vartheta - 2)^2 + \vartheta^2) \delta^{np} \delta^{lj} + ((\vartheta - 2)^2 + 2\vartheta^2) \delta^{pj} \delta^{nl} - ((2\xi - 1)^2 \vartheta^2 + (\vartheta - 2)^2) \delta^{lp} \delta^{nj} \right)$$

$$K_{qq\bar{q}}^2(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') \equiv -\frac{4(2 - \vartheta)(1 - \vartheta)(2 - \xi)\xi(1 - \xi) (X \cdot Z + \xi Z^2)}{\left(\xi(1 - \xi)\bar{Z}^2 + (1 - \vartheta) (\bar{X} + \xi\bar{Z})^2 \right) Z^2 (X + \xi Z)^2}$$

$$K_{qq\bar{q}}^3(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}', x, z, z') \equiv \frac{(1 - \vartheta)^2 \xi^2 (1 - \xi)^2}{\left(\xi(1 - \xi)\bar{Z}^2 + (1 - \vartheta) (\bar{X} + \xi\bar{Z})^2 \right) \left(\xi(1 - \xi)Z^2 + (1 - \vartheta) (X + \xi Z)^2 \right)}$$

$$\vartheta = \frac{q_2^+ + q_3^+}{q^+}, \quad \xi = \frac{q_3^+}{q_2^+ + q_3^+}$$

Contribution from the Gluons







The forward dijet cross section

In order to allow phenomenology to be reliable, higher order corrections as dictated by pQCD must be included in the result of hep-ph/0708.0231.

The missing part of the new outgoing state (with respect to the trijet calculation) is the part which involves the production of a quark and a gluon together with a loop / virtual correction. In addition, each of the diagrams has a dependence on an IR longitudinal momentum cutoff. This dependence must not be a part of the final result for the cross section.

The NLO outgoing quark state has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} |q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{NLO} = & \hat{z}_{NLO} |q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle + |\Phi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{LO} + |\Phi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{qg} \\ & + |\Phi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{qq\bar{q}} + |\Phi_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{w})\rangle_{qgg}. \end{aligned}$$



The normalized contribution for the cubic term of the outgoing qg state:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |out\rangle^{(3)} = & - \left[\frac{\langle q g_2 | H | q g_3 g_4 \rangle \langle q g_3 g_4 | H | q g_1 \rangle \langle q g_1 | H | q \rangle}{(E_{qgg} - E_{qg_2}) (E_{qgg} - E_{qg_1}) (E_{qg_1} - E_q)} (S_F S_{A_1} - S_F) \right. \\
 & + \frac{\langle q g_2 | H | q g_3 g_4 \rangle \langle q g_3 g_4 | H | q g_1 \rangle \langle q g_1 | H | q \rangle}{(E_{qgg} - E_{qg_2}) (E_{qgg} - E_q) (E_{qg_1} - E_q)} (S_F S_{A_3} S_{A_4} - S_F) \\
 & \left. + \frac{\langle q g_2 | H | q g_3 g_4 \rangle \langle q g_3 g_4 | H | q g_1 \rangle \langle q g_1 | H | q \rangle}{(E_{qg_2} - E_q) (E_{qgg} - E_q) (E_{qg_1} - E_q)} (S_F S_{A_2} - S_F) \right] |qg_2\rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

The dijet cross section is given by:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{dijet}}{d^3k d^3p} \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c L} \text{NLO} \langle q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q} = 0_\perp) | \hat{\mathcal{N}}(k) \hat{\mathcal{N}}(p) | q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \mathbf{q} = 0_\perp) \rangle_{\text{NLO}}$$

Which can be written as a sum of five different contributions:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{dijet}}{d^3k d^3p} \equiv \frac{d\sigma_R^{q \rightarrow qqX}}{d^3k d^3p} + \frac{d\sigma_R^{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}X}}{d^3k d^3p} + \frac{d\sigma_R^{q \rightarrow ggX}}{d^3k d^3p} + \frac{d\sigma_R^{q \rightarrow qgX}}{d^3k d^3p} + \frac{d\sigma_V^{q \rightarrow qgX}}{d^3k d^3p}$$

Analogous calculation: “**Inclusive Hadron Productions in pA Collisions**”,
 hep-ph/1203.6139

Partial Results for the NLO dijet

$$\frac{d\sigma_I^{qA \rightarrow qg+X}}{dk^+ d^2\mathbf{k} dp^+ d^2\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{(2\pi)^6 q^+} \delta(q^+ - k^+ - p^+) \\ \times \int_{\mathbf{x}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}} \frac{\mathbf{X} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{X}}}{\mathbf{X}^2 \bar{\mathbf{X}}^2} K(\vartheta, \mathbf{X}^2, \bar{\mathbf{X}}^2) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} - \bar{\mathbf{z}})} \mathbb{S}_{\text{LO}}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$$

$$K(\vartheta, \mathbf{X}^2, \bar{\mathbf{X}}^2) = \beta(\vartheta) \ln(\mathbf{X}^2 \mu_{MS}^2) + F(\vartheta) + (\mathbf{X} \longleftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{X}})$$

$$\beta(\vartheta) = \frac{1 + (1 - \vartheta)^2}{\vartheta} \left(\frac{11}{3} N_c - \frac{2}{3} N_f \right) + \delta(\vartheta)$$

$$F(\vartheta) = \frac{1 + (1 - \vartheta)^2}{\vartheta} \left(\left(\frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) N_c - \frac{10}{9} N_f \right) + f(\vartheta)$$

Summary

- 1) Generalization of the method by C. Marquet (2007) to all orders, for the calculation of the forward particle production in proton-nucleus collisions at high energy, was shown to be possible by adopting the outgoing state approach.
- 2) We computed the three-parton Fock space components of the light-cone wave function of the incoming quark and its corresponding outgoing state.
- 3) From the above result we deduced the expression for the forward trijet cross section.
- 4) The NLO dijet production cross section calculation is experimentally more important, but its calculation is more tricky since it involves many more (divergent) contributions.