## for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ at 10 TeV pp collisions at ATLAS

## B.Radics (on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration) University of Bonn











AS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider





#### 

- <u>Goal</u>: Estimate uncertainty on a top pair production cross section at 10 TeV proton-proton collisions for 200 pb<sup>-1</sup> at ATLAS
- Major backgrounds: W+jets and QCD
- <u>Problem</u>: predictions on W+N jets (N >= 4) has large uncertainty
- Fortunately: W+jets/Z+jets ratio is predicted with much smaller uncertainty and Z+jets events can be selected from data with high purity
- <u>Bonus</u>: Taking ratios of jet multiplicites partially cancels uncertainties



## Z+jets background:



$$\sigma_{W \to e\nu+0p}^{LO} = 10188$$

$$\sigma_{W \to e\nu+1p}^{LO} = 2112$$

$$\sigma_{W \to e\nu+2p}^{LO} = 676$$

$$\sigma_{W \to e\nu+3p}^{LO} = 203$$

$$\sigma_{W \to e\nu+4p}^{LO} = 56$$

$$\sigma_{W \to e\nu+5p}^{LO} = 16$$

selection:

igger: single lepton with  $p_T$  > 15 GeV actly 1 lepton with  $p_T$  > 20 GeV ssing  $E_T$  > 20 GeV

- least 4 jets with  $p_T$  > 20 GeV
- which at least 3 jets have  $p_T$  > 40 GeV

Numbers of Selected Events					
mple	Electron	n Analysis	Muon Analysis		
	default	+Mw-cut	default	+Mw-cut	
	2600	1286	3144	1584	
+jets	1305	448	1766	628	
igle top	210	81	227	98	
→ ll +jets	148	43	144	49	
dronic tī	16	10	11	5	
bb	21	7	32	10	
W	11	6	14	7	
Z	3	1	5	2	
2	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.2	
gnal	2600	1286	3144	1584	
ckground	1715	598	2199	799	
-	1.0			0.0	



Cut and count method:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{\mathscr{L} \times \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \frac{N_{\text{obs}} - N_{\text{bkg}}}{\mathscr{L} \times \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

W+jets background: data di QCD background: data driv

## tor W and Z + n jets

#### production in W, Z events at p colliders

, W. T. Giele, H. Kuijf, R. Kleiss, W. J. Stirling



#### 

#### production in W, Z events at p colliders

, W. T. Giele, H. Kuijf, R. Kleiss, W. J. Stirling

rs B,Volume 224, Issues 1-2, 22 June 1989, Pages 237-242





$$(\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{SR}}/\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{CR}})_{\mathrm{data}} = (\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{SR}}/\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{CR}})_{\mathrm{data}} \cdot C_{\mathrm{MC}}, \qquad C_{\mathrm{MC}} = \frac{(\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{SR}}/\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{CR}})_{\mathrm{MC}}}{(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{SR}}/\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{CR}})_{\mathrm{MC}}}$$

## control kegion

- trigger: single electron (muon)  $p_T$  > 15 GeV
- exactly 1 offline reconstructed electron (muon)
- veto on any reconstructed muon (electron)
- missing  $E_T$  > 20 GeV
- CR: 1 jet  $p_T$  > 20 GeV, SR: >= 4 jets, 3 jets  $p_T$  > 40 GeV

Process	$W \rightarrow ev$	$W \rightarrow \mu \nu$
W(ev)	$148700 \pm 600$	0.0
$W(\mu\nu)$	43±8	$190300 \pm 600$
$W(\tau v)$	$5570 \pm 110$	$6820 \pm 110$
Z(ee)	$1197\pm39$	0.0
$Z(\mu\mu)$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$8066 \pm 200$
Z( au au)	$879\pm25$	$1130\pm30$
tī	$203 \pm 6$	$241 \pm 4$
single top	$272 \pm 10$	$308\pm10$
Wbb	97±3	$119 \pm 3$
Diboson	$427 \pm 11$	$557\pm2$
QCD	$42000 \pm 4000$	$31000 \pm 15500$

## control kegion and Signal Kegion

trigger: single electron (muon)  $p_T$  > 15 GeV

exactly 2 offline reconstructed electrons (muons) with opposite charge, and invariant mass between 80 and 100 GeV

CR: 1 jet  $p_T$  > 20 GeV, SR: >= 4 jets, 3 jets  $p_T$  > 40 GeV

	Z→	ee	$Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$		
Process	+ 1 jet	signal-like	+ 1 jet	signal-like	
Z(ee)	$10210 \pm 90$	82±3	0.0	0.0	
Ζ(μμ)	0.0	0.0	$15750 \pm 270$	$150\pm8$	
$Z(\tau\tau)$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.9$	0.0	
W	$6.0 \pm 1.5$	0.0	0.0	0.0	
tī	8.4±1.1	$2.8\pm0.6$	$10.5 \pm 0.9$	$5.0 \pm 0.7$	
single top	$2.9\pm1.4$	0.0	$2.3 \pm 0.8$	0.0	
Wbb	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Diboson	$24.9 \pm 1.9$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$40.0 \pm 2.4$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	
QCD	$110\pm80$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	< 50.0	< 0.5	

## event selection: 21->eej+jets, Signal Kegion



# Ratio of W to Z jet multiplicites



#### **V**

$$(W^{SR}/W^{CR})_{data} = (Z^{SR}/Z^{CR})_{data} \cdot C_{MC}, \qquad C_{MC} = \frac{(W^{SR}/W^{CR})_{MC}}{(Z^{SR}/Z^{CR})_{MC}}$$

	Electron analysis	Muon analysis
Statistical for 200 pb <sup>-1</sup>	11.3%	8.3%
Purity of control samples	17.0%	12.7%
Monte Carlo correction factor	12.1%	12.1%
JES (±10%)	3.6%	2.3%
JES (±5%)	3.0%	0.7%
Lepton energy scale	0.4%	0.7%
total error	23.9%	19.6%

Statistical uncertainty: Z candidates in signal region

Purity of control samples: assumption of 50% uncertainty on QCD

this dominates, and was extracted from Monte Carlo with limited statistics (multijet production cross section sets a limit)

trigger: single electron (muon)  $p_T$  > 15 GeV

exactly 1 offline reconstructed electron (muon)

veto on any reconstructed muon (electron)

missing  $E_T$  > 20 GeV

SR: >= 4 jets, 3 jets  $p_T$  > 40 GeV

Muon analysis						
Sample	10TeV (200 pb <sup>-1</sup> )			14TeV (100 pb <sup>-1</sup> )		
	default	+M <sub>W</sub> cut	$+m_t$ cut	default	+M <sub>W</sub> cut	$+m_t$ cut
ttbar	$3144 \pm 17$	$1584 \pm 12$	$712 \pm 8$	3274	1606	755
W+jets	$1766 \pm 44$	$628\pm27$	$148\pm13$	1052	319	98
single top	$227\pm9$	$98 \pm 6$	$33\pm4$	227	99	25
$Z \rightarrow ll$ +jets	$144 \pm 4$	$49 \pm 2$	$13\pm1$	84	23	3
hadronic tī	$11 \pm 2$	$5\pm 1$	$2\pm 1$	35	17	7
W bb	$32 \pm 2$	$10 \pm 1$	$3\pm 1$	64	19	4
W cc				26	9	3
ww	$14 \pm 2$	$7 \pm 1$	$2\pm 1$	7	3	0.7
WZ	$5\pm 1$	$2 \pm 1$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	7	3	0.8
77	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$01 \pm 0.0$	0.7	0.3	0.1

# measurement

	Cut and Count method				Fit method	
Source	e-analysis		$\mu$ -analysis		e-analysis	µ-analysis
	default	+M <sub>W</sub> cut	default	+M <sub>W</sub> cut	+M <sub>W</sub> cut	+M <sub>W</sub> cut
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Stat.	± 2.5	$\pm 3.4$	±2.3	±3.1	± 14.1	± 15.2
Lepton ID eff.	±1.0	±1.0	$\pm 1.0$	±1.0	$\pm$ 1.0	± 1.0
Lepton trig. eff.	$\pm 1.0$	± <b>1.0</b>	±1.0	±1.0	$\pm$ 1.0	$\pm$ 1.0
50% W+jets	±25.1	±17.4	$\pm 28.1$	±19.8	$\pm 3.3$	± 5.6
20% W+jets	±10.0	±7.0	$\pm 11.2$	±7.9	$\pm$ 1.5	$\pm$ 2.6
JES (10%,-10%)	+24.8-23.4	+15.9-19.1	+20.5-22.3	+11.9-17.9	-14.4	-15.4
JES (5%,-5%)	+12.3-11.9	+8.6-9.3	+10.4-10.9	+6.1-8.4	-3.7	-3.9
PDFs	±1.6	$\pm$ 1.9	±1.2	± 1.4	$\pm$ 1.9	± 1.4
ISR/FSR	+9.1-9.1	+7.6-8.2	+8.2-8.2	+5.2-8.3	-12.9	-12.9
Signal MC	±3.3	±4.4	±0.3	±2.8	$\pm$ 4.5	$\pm$ 1.4
Back. Uncertainty	±0.6	±0.4	$\pm 0.5$	±0.4	-	-
Fitting Model	-	-	-	-	$\pm$ 3.3	$\pm$ 4.7
10% Lumi.	±11.6	±11.2	±11.4	$\pm 11.1$	$\pm 10$	$\pm 10$
20% Lumi.	±23.2	±22.3	$\pm 22.8$	±22.2	$\pm 20$	± 20
Tot. without Lumi.	+18.8-18.5	+14.4-15.2	+17.5-17.7	+11.9-14.7	+6.4 -14.9	+6.0 - 14.7

ElectronCutandcount 
$$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} = (3.4(\text{stat})^{+14.4}_{-15.2}(\text{syst}) \pm 22.3(\text{lumi}))\%$$

# Summary

- Estimation of uncertainty of  $\sigma_{tt}$  @ 10 TeV
- Data-driven W+jets background estimation in signal region
- Ratios of jet mutliplicities cancel many uncertainties
- <u>Major stat. uncertainty</u>: number of Z events in signal region...
- Major systematics I.: QCD multiparton (light/heavy) production
- <u>Major systematics II.</u>: Monte Carlo predictions for W/Z ratio
  - compare with other Monte Carlo predictions (Pythia, Sherpa)
  - vary Monte Carlo parameters (being done for Alpgen)
- <u>ATLAS Public note:</u>
   <u>http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1200436/files/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-087.pdf</u>

# Backup slides







## Object definition

### ctrons:

calorimeter clusters and inner detector tracks

identification: combined likelihood discriminants (shower shape, deposited energy in EMCal and HCal separate compartments, etc.)

```
p_T > 20 GeV, \eta < 2.47, exclude \eta=[1.37-1.52]
```

s seeded Cone algorithm, R=0.4, H1 calibration, p\_ > 20 GeV,  $\eta$  <

### <u>ons</u>:

combined muon spectrometer and inner detector tracks, possible correction for Calorimeter energy loss

 $p_T$  > 20 GeV,  $\eta$  < 2.5

ssing E<sub>1</sub>: Standard missing transverse energy reconstruction (sum of Insverse energies of all EM/Hadron Calorimeter activities + muons) Th additional corrections from offline reconstructed objects

