



Understanding recent collider excesses in light of light Higgs bosons

EVAN JOHNSON - THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

IN COLLABORATION WITH PADDY FOX - FERMILAB

WITH SUPPORT FROM US DEPT. OF ENERGY SCGSR FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM



Office of Science

Outline

- Introduction to two-Higgs-doublet models
- Existing collider excesses and hints at excesses
 - Can new light Higgs bosons explain these?
- Computing observables in a 2HDM
- Experimental and theoretical constraints on 2HDM parameter space
- Regions surviving existing constraints
- Connection with the electroweak phase transition

Two-Higgs-Doublet Models

- Extend the SM by one electroweak doublet of complex scalars, now have Φ_1 and Φ_2
 - Introduce a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in which $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2 \rightarrow -\Phi_2$
 - Ensures no tree level flavor changing neutral currents
 - Most general scalar potential can be written as:

$$V(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}) = m_{11}^{2} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} + m_{22}^{2} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} - m_{12}^{2} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{3} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) + \lambda_{4} \left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{5} \left[\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right)^{2} + \left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right)^{2} \right]$$

- All λ 's and mass scales are real. m_{12}^2 softly breaks the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry
- Parametrization of the doublets:

$$\Phi_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -H^{+}s_{\beta} + G^{+}c_{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(vc_{\beta} - hs_{\alpha} + Hc_{\alpha} - iA^{0}s_{\beta} + iG^{0}c_{\beta}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Phi_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{+}c_{\beta} + G^{+}s_{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(vs_{\beta} + hc_{\alpha} + Hs_{\alpha} + iA^{0}c_{\beta} + iG^{0}s_{\beta}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Physical fields: two *CP*-even neutral scalars *h* and *H*, a *CP*-odd neutral pseudoscalar A^0 , and two charged scalars H^{\pm} .
- Three Goldstones: G^0 and G^{\pm} get eaten by the Z and W^{\pm}

Two-Higgs-Doublet Models: Scalar Sector

- Seven free parameters
 - Five mass scales: m_h , m_H , m_{A^0} , m_{H^\pm} , m_{12}
 - Two angles:
 - α mixes the *CP*-even neutral scalars *h* and *H* and diagonalizes their mass matrix
 - β mixes the VEVs of the doublets with $\tan \beta \equiv v_2/v_1$
- Extremizing the potential requires $\frac{\partial V}{\partial h}\Big|_{\varphi_i=0} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial H}\Big|_{\varphi_i=0} = 0$
- Define the mass-squared matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\rm sq.} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} m_h^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_H^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_{A^0}^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{H^{\pm}}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial h^2} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial h \partial H} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial H \partial h} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial H^2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial A^{0^2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial H^{\pm} \partial H^{\pm}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Seven equations to allow for switching between the physical parameters and the parameters in the potential: $\{m_h, m_H, m_{A^0}, m_{H^{\pm}}, \alpha, \beta\} \leftrightarrow \{m_{11}, m_{22}, \lambda_{1-5}\}$
- Expand the scalar potential: induce triplet and quartic scalar couplings

Two-Higgs-Doublet Models: Gauge Sector

• Gauge interactions come from the kinetic term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Higgs-kinetic}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \Phi_{i})^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \Phi_{i})$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W_{\mu}^{+} T^{+} + W_{\mu}^{-} T^{-}) - i \frac{e}{s_{W} c_{W}} Z_{\mu} (T^{3} - s_{W}^{2} Q) - i e A_{\mu} Q$$

• Higgs gauge interactions rescaled by trig factors:

$$\kappa_V^h = s_{\beta-\alpha} \quad , \quad \kappa_V^H = c_{\beta-\alpha}$$

- *κ*: strength of coupling relative to SM
- A^0 doesn't couple to gauge boson pairs at tree level since CP is not broken
- LHC favors α and β near the "alignment limit" where $\beta \alpha = \pi/2$
 - In alignment limit, *h* has SM couplings to gauge bosons and *H* is gauge-phobic
- Typically take *h* to be the observed Higgs at 125 GeV with *H* being heavier or lighter

Two-Higgs-Doublet Models: Yukawa Sector

- Tree level FCNCs can be avoided via Natural Flavor Conservation
 - Right-handed fermion fields also transform under the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, couple to only one doublet
 - Leads to four 'types' of natural flavor conserving 2HDMs:

2HDM	e_R	d_R	u_R
Type-I	Φ_2	Φ_2	Φ_2
Type-II	Φ_2	Φ_1	Φ_1
Lepton-specific	Φ_2	Φ_2	Φ_1
Flipped	Φ_2	Φ_1	Φ_2

• We focus on a **Type-I** 2HDM where all right-handed fermions couple to a single doublet, Φ_2 :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = -y_{ij}^{\ell,2} \bar{e}_{R,i} \Phi_2^{\dagger} L_{L,j} - y_{ij}^{d,2} \bar{d}_{R,i} \Phi_2^{\dagger} Q_{L,j} - y_{ij}^{u,2} \bar{u}_{R,i} \tilde{\Phi}_2^{\dagger} Q_{L,j} + \text{h.c.}$$

• Find re-scalings of fermion couplings relative to the SM:

$$\kappa_f^H = \frac{s_\alpha}{s_\beta} = c_{\beta-\alpha} - s_{\beta-\alpha}/t_\beta, \quad , \quad \kappa_f^h = \frac{c_\alpha}{s_\beta} = s_{\beta-\alpha} + c_{\beta-\alpha}/t_\beta, \quad , \quad \kappa_u^{A^0} = -\kappa_{d,\ell}^{A^0} = 1/t_\beta,$$

- In alignment limit, h also has SM couplings to fermions while H has couplings reduced by $1/t_{\beta}$
- In Type-I, all fermion masses and Yukawas have the same form:

$$m_f = \frac{y_f v}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \beta \iff y_f = \frac{\sqrt{2}m_f}{v} \frac{1}{\sin \beta}$$

Collider Excesses in Higgs Searches

- Hint at excess seen at LEP
- tth searches at the Tevatron sees an excess in inclusive cut-and-count vs exclusive BDT analyses with same final state



BSM contribution



- CMS and ATLAS see excesses around 95 GeV (ATLAS puts limits on the <u>fiducial</u> cross section, must convert first)
- Can *H* be responsible for these excesses?





Higgs Signals

• Production mechanisms for neutral scalars:



- Higgs working group has calculated BSM Higgs production rates for m_h in the range 10 GeV to few TeV
- Production cross sections in the 2HDM are found by rescaling by the respective couplings to gauge bosons or fermions
 - For gluon fusion, must also rescale by appropriate form factors for the loop structure
- Charged Higgs bosons may be produced in association with a top and bottom quark, or via rare top decays
 - Rates are found in the 2HDM through a FeynRules implementation in MadGraph
- Branching fractions:
 - Branching fractions are calculated using the HDECAY code developed by Michael Spira
 - Calculates branching fractions and widths of h, H, A^0, H^{\pm} to SM and scalar final states (including off-shell decays)

Higgs signals: Example

- Calculate $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ through a charged H^{\pm} decay
- Calculate production cross section and branching fractions for $m_{H^{\pm}} \in [75, 250]$ GeV

Parameter	m_H	m_h	m^0_A
Value	$95~{ m GeV}$	$125~{\rm GeV}$	$150 { m ~GeV}$
Parameter	m_{12}	t_eta	$c_{\beta-\alpha}$
Value	$30~{\rm GeV}$	4	$\sqrt{0.1}$



Constraints on 2HDM Parameter Space

- Many sources of constraints on the parameter space of two-Higgs-doublet Models
 - New scalars contribute to flavor observables like $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ and B mixing
 - Precision electroweak fits to the *S* and *T* parameters also constrain the parameter space

 $S = 0.04 \pm 0.11$ $T = 0.09 \pm 0.14$

S and T fit by *Gfitter* (1803.01853)

- Direct searches for light Higgs bosons give exclusions for quantities
 - Charged Higgs searches in the $\tau^{\pm}\nu$ channel



Limits on H^{\pm} production via rare top decays (1807.07915)

• Other constraints come from unitarity and tree-level perturbativity of the quartic couplings and stability of the electroweak vacuum





Limits on A^0 production via gluon fusion (1709.07242)

Regions That Survive and Can Explain Excess

- Numerically scan over 2HDM parameter space, keeping $m_H = 95$ GeV and $m_h = 125$ GeV
- Test each point against constraints, find points which pass and can also explain the CMS di-photon excess



OCTOBER 6TH, 2018

Implications for the Electroweak Phase Transition

- For electroweak baryogenesis to occur in the early universe, a strong first-order electroweak phase transition (EWPT) is needed
 - The Standard Model does not allow for a first order EWPT with $m_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$
- BSM scalar sector can lead to a modified phase transition perhaps to a first order transition
- Recent analysis of the two-Higgs-doublet model parameter space has found point compatible with current constraints and a strong first order EWPT
 - Crucially, this analysis assumed 125 GeV = $m_h < m_H$



• Are there intersections between regions explaining collider excess and those providing a first order electroweak phase transition? Stay tuned! (1705.09186)

EVAN JOHNSON, 6TH PIKIO 2018

References and Resources

- Two-Higgs Doublet Model review material:
 - Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models, 1106.0034
 - The Anatomy of Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking. II: The Higgs bosons in the Minimal Supersymmetric Model, hepph/0503173
- Collider excesses:
 - LEP: doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00614-2
 - Tevatron: 1208.2662
 - ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2018-025
 - CMS: CMS-PAS-HIG-17-013
- Collider constraints:
 - See slide for individual citations
- LHC Higgs cross section working group twiki for BSM Higgs production rates
 - <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCHXSWG</u>
- 2HDM FeynRules implementation
 - <u>http://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/2HDM</u>
- HDECAY Fortran code
 - <u>http://tiger.web.psi.ch/proglist.html</u>
- Electroweak phase transition in type-I 2HDM
 - The Higgs Vacuum Uplifted: Revisiting the Electroweak Phase Transition with a Second Higgs Doublet, 1705.09186