

The black hole S-matrix and classical potential

Ming-Zhi Chung*, Yu-Tin Huang*, Jung-Wook Kim**, Sangmin Lee**

*Dept. of Physics, National Taiwan University, **Dept. of Physics, Seoul National University

Background & Aim

- For practical purposes, a black hole (BH) can be considered as a stable point particle.
- BHs in asymptotically flat (3+1)d are specified by mass, charge, and spin. These set of quantum numbers also specify point particles in QFT.
- Classical objects have continuous internal degrees of freedom (spin), while point particles in QFT have discrete internal degrees of freedom.

- Smaller degrees of freedom implies manipulation of point particles in QFT is easier than that of classical objects.
- Can BHs (and other classical objects) be mapped to point particles of QFT?
- What are QFT observables (amplitudes) of mapped classical objects?
- Can QFT methods be used to produce classical quantities?

Set-up

- Approximate BHs as stable point particles; no emission or absorption.
- Use massive spinor-helicity variables [1,2] as kinematical variables.
- Match with one-particle effective theory to fix three-point amplitudes.
- Construct rational part of graviton Compton amplitude by gluing two three-point amplitudes.
- Use HCL [3] to compute leading PN order classical potential (Fourier transform of non-relativistic COM amplitude).

Amplitudes

Three-point amplitudes

The one-particle EFT Lagrangian is [4]

$$S = \int d\sigma \left\{ -m\sqrt{u^2} - \frac{1}{2}S_{\mu\nu}\Omega^{\mu\nu} + L_{SI}[u^\mu, S_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu}(y^\mu)] \right\}$$

where (C_{S^n} are Wilson coefficients)

$$L_{SI} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n C_{ES^{2n}}}{(2n)!} D_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2n}} \frac{E_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{\sqrt{u^2}} S^{\mu_1} S^{\mu_2} \dots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}} \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n C_{BS^{2n+1}}}{(2n+1)!} D_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2n+1}} \frac{B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{\sqrt{u^2}} S^{\mu_1} S^{\mu_2} \dots S^{\mu_{2n}} S^{\mu_{2n+1}}$$

Insert polarisation tensors to obtain three-point amplitude ($C_{S^0} = C_{S^1} = 1$)

$$M_s^{2\eta} = \epsilon^*(\mathbf{2}) \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa m x^{2\eta} C_{S^n}}{2} \frac{(-\eta \frac{q \cdot S}{m})^n}{n!} \right] \epsilon(\mathbf{1}) \quad n_{a,b}^s \equiv \frac{1}{m^{2s}} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \\ = \sum_{a+b \leq s} \frac{\kappa m x^{2\eta}}{2} C_{S^{a+b}} n_{a,b}^s \langle \mathbf{21} \rangle^{s-a} \left(-\eta \frac{x \langle \mathbf{2q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q1} \rangle}{2m} \right)^a [\mathbf{21}]^{s-b} \left(\eta \frac{[\mathbf{2q}][\mathbf{q1}]}{2mx} \right)^b$$

Matching this to minimal coupling yields $C_{S^n} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/s)$. Kerr BHs have unity Wilson coefficients $C_{S^n} = 1$ [4], i.e. minimal coupling corresponds to Kerr BHs in $s \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

$$M_{s,min}^{+2} = \frac{\kappa m x^2}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{21})^{2s}}{m^{2s}}, \quad M_{s,min}^{-2} = \frac{\kappa m x^{-2}}{2} \frac{[\mathbf{21}]^{2s}}{m^{2s}} \implies C_{S^n} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/s)$$

Four-point amplitudes

The four-point amplitude is obtained by gluing two minimal coupling three-point amplitudes [1,2]. Consider the Compton amplitude $M(\mathbf{1}^s, \mathbf{2}^{+2}, \mathbf{3}^{-2}, \mathbf{4}^s)$

$$-\frac{\langle 3|p_1|2 \rangle^{4-2s}}{(s-m^2)(u-m^2)tM_{pl}^2} (\langle 43 \rangle [12] + \langle 13 \rangle [42])^{2s}$$

The expression has spurious poles for $s > 2$, which must be cancelled [1,2]. Resolution of spurious poles yield the following expression [1].

$$\frac{\langle 3|p_1|2 \rangle^4}{(s-m^2)(u-m^2)tM_{pl}^2} \mathcal{F}^{2s} \\ + \frac{2s \langle 3|p_1|2 \rangle^3 \langle 34 \rangle [21]}{t(s-m^2) 2m^2 M_{pl}^2} \mathcal{F}^{2s-1} - \frac{2s \langle 3|p_4|2 \rangle^3 \langle 31 \rangle [24]}{t(u-m^2) 2m^2 M_{pl}^2} \mathcal{F}^{2s-1} \\ + \left\{ \frac{\langle 3|p_1|2 \rangle^2 \langle 34 \rangle^2 [21]^2}{4m^4 (s-m^2)^2 M_{pl}^2} \left[\sum_{r=2}^{2s} \binom{2s}{r} \mathcal{F}^{2s-r} \left(\frac{-\langle 43 \rangle [32] \langle 21 \rangle}{4m^3} - \frac{[43] \langle 32 \rangle [21]}{4m^3} \right)^{r-2} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\langle 3|p_1|2 \rangle^2 \langle 31 \rangle^2 [24]^2}{4m^4 (u-m^2)^2 M_{pl}^2} \left[\sum_{r=2}^{2s} \binom{2s}{r} (-1)^r \mathcal{F}^{2s-r} \left(\frac{-\langle 13 \rangle [32] \langle 24 \rangle}{4m^3} - \frac{[13] \langle 32 \rangle [24]}{4m^3} \right)^{r-2} \right] \right\} \\ - \frac{Poly + Poly_{[23]} + Poly_{\langle 23 \rangle}}{tM_{pl}^2}$$

For definitions of the variables, consult [1]. Similar construction exists for non-minimal couplings (non-BHs) [1].

Classical potential

1 PM (G^1) leading PN (v/c) all orders in spin

Computing 1 PM leading PN all orders in spin classical potential is simplified in the *holomorphic classical limit* (HCL) [1,3,5] as *t*-channel residue computation. Glue two three-point amplitudes to find the residue.

$$\text{Res}_t = A_{3a}^+ A_{3b}^- + A_{3a}^- A_{3b}^+ \\ = (-1)^{s_a+s_b} \sum_{i=0}^{2s_a} \sum_{j=0}^{2s_b} \alpha^2 m_a^2 m_b^2 \frac{C_{S_a}^{2i} C_{S_b}^{2j}}{i!j!} \left((x_1 \bar{x}_3)^2 \left[\epsilon^*(\mathbf{2}) \left(\frac{q \cdot S_a}{m_a} \right)^i \epsilon(\mathbf{1}) \right] \left[\epsilon^*(\mathbf{4}) \left(\frac{q \cdot S_b}{m_b} \right)^j \epsilon(\mathbf{3}) \right] \right. \\ \left. + (\bar{x}_1 x_3)^2 \left[\epsilon^*(\mathbf{2}) \left(-\frac{q \cdot S_a}{m_a} \right)^i \epsilon(\mathbf{1}) \right] \left[\epsilon^*(\mathbf{4}) \left(-\frac{q \cdot S_b}{m_b} \right)^j \epsilon(\mathbf{3}) \right] \right)$$

Particles 1 and 2 do not live in the same Hilbert space, so additional terms are generated from matching the Hilbert spaces.

$$\epsilon^*(P_2)\epsilon(P_1) = \epsilon^*(P_a) \left[\mathbb{1} - \left(\frac{\vec{p}_a \times \vec{S}_a}{m_a} \right) \cdot \frac{-i\vec{q}}{2} + \dots \right] \epsilon(P_a) \quad \epsilon^*(P_4)\epsilon(P_3) = \epsilon^*(P_b) \left[\mathbb{1} + \left(\frac{\vec{p}_b \times \vec{S}_b}{m_b} \right) \cdot \frac{-i\vec{q}}{2} + \dots \right] \epsilon(P_b)$$

Adding up the contributions [5],

$$V_{cl} = - \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n C_{S_a}^{2n-m} C_{S_b}^{2m}}{(2n-m)!m!} \left(\frac{\vec{S}_a \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_a} \right)^{2n-m} \left(\frac{\vec{S}_b \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_b} \right)^m \frac{Gm_a m_b}{r} \\ - \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{m+n} C_{S_a}^{2m+1} C_{S_b}^{2n}}{(2m+1)!(2n)!} \left[\left(\frac{\vec{p}_a - \vec{p}_b}{m_a} \times \frac{\vec{S}_a}{m_a} \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right] \left(\frac{\vec{S}_a \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_a} \right)^{2m} \left(\frac{\vec{S}_b \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_b} \right)^{2n} \frac{Gm_a m_b}{r} \\ - \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{m+n} C_{S_a}^{2m} C_{S_b}^{2n+1}}{(2m)!(2n+1)!} \left[\left(\frac{\vec{p}_a - \vec{p}_b}{m_b} \times \frac{\vec{S}_b}{m_b} \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right] \left(\frac{\vec{S}_a \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_a} \right)^{2m} \left(\frac{\vec{S}_b \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_b} \right)^{2n} \frac{Gm_a m_b}{r} \\ + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n C_{S_a}^{2n-m} C_{S_b}^{2m}}{2(2n-m)!m!} \left[\left(\frac{\vec{p}_a \times \vec{S}_a}{m_a} - \frac{\vec{p}_b \times \vec{S}_b}{m_b} \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right] \left(\frac{\vec{S}_a \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_a} \right)^{2n-m} \left(\frac{\vec{S}_b \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{m_b} \right)^m \frac{Gm_a m_b}{r}$$

Construction for 2 PM (G^2)

Only the triangle topology contributes to the classical potential [1,3]. Computation of 1-loop can be simplified by working in the anti-chiral basis [3], where the following pattern can be observed in the HCL [1].

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2s_a} \sum_{j=0}^{2s_b} N_{i,j}^{s_a, s_b} \tilde{A}_{i,j}(S p_a)^i (S p_b)^j \\ N_{i,j}^{s_a, s_b} = \frac{(2s_a)!}{(2s_a-i)!} \frac{(2s_b)!}{(2s_b-j)!} \quad S p_a = \frac{|\hat{\lambda}][\hat{\lambda}|}{m_a}, \quad S p_b = \frac{|\lambda][\lambda|}{m_b}$$

The $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$ coefficients are independent of s_a and s_b , so they can be used to construct classical spin $s \rightarrow \infty$ answers. Above answer can be mapped to polarisation tensor basis, the basis classical potential is written in [1,5].

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2s_a} \sum_{j=0}^{2s_b} B_{i,j} \left[\epsilon^*(\mathbf{2}) \left(\frac{q \cdot S_a}{m_a} \right)^i \epsilon(\mathbf{1}) \right] \left[\epsilon^*(\mathbf{4}) \left(-\frac{q \cdot S_b}{m_b} \right)^j \epsilon(\mathbf{3}) \right] \quad \left| \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{i,j}}{2^{i+j}} x^i y^j = e^{-x/2-y/2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{i,j} x^i y^j \right.$$

This can be generalised to non-minimal couplings (non-BHs) [5].

References

- [1] M-Z. Chung, Y-T. Huang, J-W. Kim, S. Lee, *The simplest massive S-matrix: from minimal coupling to Black Holes*, JHEP 1904 (2019) 156 [arXiv:1812.08752]
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed, T-C. Huang, Y-T. Huang, *Scattering Amplitudes For All Masses and Spins*, [arXiv:1709.04891]
- [3] A. Guevara, *Holomorphic Classical Limit for Spin Effects in Gravitational and Electromagnetic Scattering*, JHEP 1904 (2019) 033 [arXiv:1706.02314]
- [4] M. Levi, *Effective Field Theories of Post-Newtonian Gravity: A comprehensive review*, [arXiv:1807.01699]
- [5] M-Z. Chung, Y-T. Huang, J-W. Kim, *From one-particle EFT to Gravitational S-matrix*, to appear