Comparing RDataFrame and PROOF Lite

D. Piparo, J. Cervantes (CERN, EP-SFT)







- Fons produced a comparison between RDF and TSelector/PROOF
 - Based on "h1 analysis" tutorial
 - Very comprehensive and reproducible (thanks Fons!)
 - Full description attached to the agenda
- This talk shows what we learned from it
- Partial conclusions can be drawn, but work is still ongoing



run_selector.C

real time: 15 sec

Fons' Numbers in a Nutshell

```
run_selector_proof.C real time: 15 sec (4 cores - speedup factor 1.0)
      14 sec (8 cores)
      14 sec (12 cores)
      14 sec (24 cores)
      14 sec (48 cores)
                      run dataframe.C real time 56 sec
                      run dataframe_imt.C real time 165 sec (4 cores - speedup factor 0.3)
                                         170 sec (8 cores)
```

167 sec (12 cores)

167 sec (24 cores)

165 sec (48 cores)



Reproduce the Study

- ► Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2650 v2 @ 2.60GHz
- ROOT compiled in ReleaseWithDebInfo

| TSelector | 4s | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| RDF Sequential jitted filters/defines | 10s | | | | |
| RDF Sequential explicit lambdas | 30s | | | | |
| RDF Sequential explicit lambdas Aclic | | | | | |



Reproduce the Study

- ► Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2650 v2 @ 2.60GHz
- ROOT compiled in ReleaseWithDebInfo

| TSelector | 4s | 4s |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----|
| RDF Sequential jitted filters/defines | 10s | 6s |
| RDF Sequential explicit lambdas | 30s | 5s |
| RDF Sequential explicit lambdas Aclic | 4s | 4s |

Made the header files in the \$ROOTSYS/include directory read-only: turn-off cling nullptr-check



Effect of Nullptr Check

- Extremely useful
- Not for free
- Benchmarked with IgProf:

 - With check report

W/o check report Counter: PERF_TICKS

| Rank | c% tota | Counts to / from Total | | Paths Including child / parent | Symbol name | |
|------|---------|---------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | 25.33 | 4.41 | 4.70 | 62 73 | cling runtime internal throwIfInvalidPointer | |
| [35] | 25.33 | 0.29 | 4.12 | 62 62 | <pre>cling::utils::platform::IsMemoryValid(void const*)</pre> | |
| | 23.60 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 56 56 | write_nocancel | |
| | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 2 2 | <u>write</u> | |

Back to summary



Apples to Apples

| Workers | PROOF/TSel | RDF interp | RDF compiled | RDF compiled no jit |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 67s | 69s | 61s | 49s |
| 4 | 22s | 34s | 26s | 19s |
| 8 | 16s | 22s | 17s | 16s |
| 12 | 19s | 18s | 15s | 12s |
| 24 | 20s | 13s | 13s | 10s |



My Personal Take

- RDF compares very well with the PROOFlite MP approach
- Scaling need attention: why neither PROOFlite nor RDF scale well?
- Cling's nullptr check has a price which we might reduce