XVI WORKSHOP ON

High Energy Physics Phenomenology WHEPP XVI

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati

Amplitude relations in b-baryon decays based on SU(3)-flavor analysis

Shibasis Roy Incollaboration with Prof. Rahul Sinha and Prof. N.G. Deshpande



S Rov

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences

December 4, 2019

Amp. relations in *b*-baryon decays

December 4, 2019

THE R.L. WHAT

• Direct CP violation (A_{CP}) asymmetry measurement in $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ K^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ \pi^-$ first in CDF and subsequently in LHCb.

PRL (2011), PLB (2018)

December 4, 2019

(신문) 문

• Direct CP violation (A_{CP}) asymmetry measurement in $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ K^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ \pi^-$ first in CDF and subsequently in LHCb.

PRL (2011), PLB (2018)

• Large *b*-baryon production rate at LHCb.

PRD (2019)

• Direct CP violation (A_{CP}) asymmetry measurement in $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ K^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ \pi^-$ first in CDF and subsequently in LHCb.

PRL (2011), PLB (2018)

• Large *b*-baryon production rate at LHCb.

PRD (2019)

• $B \rightarrow PP, B \rightarrow PV$ and $B \rightarrow VV$ decays have been well explored using various approaches. Zeppenfeld '81, Savage '89, Gronau '95, Dighe '96 Deshpande '00, Hai-Yang Cheng

'11, Grossman '14, Charles '17, He '18...

• Direct CP violation (A_{CP}) asymmetry measurement in $\Lambda_h^0 \to p^+ K^-$ and $\Lambda_h^0 \to p^+ \pi^-$ first in CDF and subsequently in LHCb.

PRL (2011), PLB (2018)

• Large *b*-baryon production rate at LHCb.

2/23

- $B \to PP, B \to PV$ and $B \to VV$ decays have been well explored using various approaches. Zeppenfeld '81, Savage '89, Gronau '95, Dighe '96 Deshpande '00, Hai-Yang Cheng '11, Grossman '14, Charles '17, He '18 ...
- Goal: Formulate a general framework to analyze two body hadronic weak decays of *b*-baryons.

December 4, 2019

• Direct CP violation (A_{CP}) asymmetry measurement in $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ K^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ \pi^-$ first in CDF and subsequently in LHCb.

PRL (2011), PLB (2018)

• Large *b*-baryon production rate at LHCb.

PRD (2019)

• $B \rightarrow PP, B \rightarrow PV$ and $B \rightarrow VV$ decays have been well explored using various approaches. Zeppenfeld '81, Savage '89, Gronau '95, Dighe '96 Deshpande '00, Hai-Yang Cheng '11, Grossman '14, Charles '17, He '18...

• Identify CP violation relations in b-baryon decay modes.

2/23

SU(3) flavor symmetry

• The SU(3) triplet representation (3) of quarks (q_i) and its conjugate ($\overline{\mathbf{3}}$) denoting the anti-quarks ($\overline{q_i}$) consist of the flavor states;

$$q_i = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix} \qquad \overline{q_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d} \\ -\overline{u} \\ \overline{s} \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

December 4, 2019

SU(3) flavor symmetry

• The SU(3) triplet representation (3) of quarks (q_i) and its conjugate ($\overline{\mathbf{3}}$) denoting the anti-quarks $(\overline{q_i})$ consist of the flavor states;

$$q_i = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix} \qquad \overline{q_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d} \\ -\overline{u} \\ \overline{s} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

• The ground state *b*-baryon has two light quarks (*ud*, *us*, *ds*) in addition to the *b* quark. Under SU(3) flavor, these *b*-baryons transform an SU(3) anti-triplet ($\overline{\mathbf{3}}$).

• According to the sign convention chosen in Eq. (1), the pseudoscalar meson wavefunctions are given as,

$$K^{+} = u\overline{s}, \qquad K^{-} = -s\overline{u}, \qquad K^{0} = d\overline{s}, \qquad \overline{K}^{0} = s\overline{d}$$
$$\pi^{+} = u\overline{d}, \qquad \pi^{-} = -d\overline{u}, \qquad \pi^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d\overline{d} - u\overline{u})$$
$$\eta_{8} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} - 2s\overline{s}) \quad \eta_{1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} + s\overline{s})$$

- 2

• According to the sign convention chosen in Eq. (1), the pseudoscalar meson wavefunctions are given as,

$$K^{+} = u\overline{s}, \qquad K^{-} = -s\overline{u}, \qquad K^{0} = d\overline{s}, \qquad \overline{K}^{0} = s\overline{d}$$
$$\pi^{+} = u\overline{d}, \qquad \pi^{-} = -d\overline{u}, \qquad \pi^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d\overline{d} - u\overline{u})$$
$$\eta_{8} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} - 2s\overline{s}) \qquad \eta_{1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} + s\overline{s})$$

• Apart from η_1 , these mesons form an SU(3) octet (8).

• According to the sign convention chosen in Eq. (1), the pseudoscalar meson wavefunctions are given as,

$$K^{+} = u\overline{s}, \qquad K^{-} = -s\overline{u}, \qquad K^{0} = d\overline{s}, \qquad \overline{K}^{0} = s\overline{d}$$
$$\pi^{+} = u\overline{d}, \qquad \pi^{-} = -d\overline{u}, \qquad \pi^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d\overline{d} - u\overline{u})$$
$$\eta_{8} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} - 2s\overline{s}) \qquad \eta_{1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} + s\overline{s})$$

- Apart from η_1 , these mesons form an SU(3) octet (8).
- η_1 is an SU(3) flavor singlet.



• The ground state spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryons $(q_i q_j q_k)$ transform as an octet (8) under SU(3) flavor.

December 4, 2019

▲ 표 → 표 표

- The ground state spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryons $(q_i q_j q_k)$ transform as an octet (8) under SU(3) flavor.
- The three light quark system can also form a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ baryon transforming as a decuplet (10) under SU(3) flavor.

- The ground state spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryons $(q_i q_j q_k)$ transform as an octet (8) under SU(3) flavor.
- The three light quark system can also form a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ baryon transforming as a decuplet (10) under SU(3) flavor.
- The most general effective Hamiltonian for a two-body hadronic decay of a ground state *b*-baryon transforms as a,

$$\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{8} \otimes \mathbf{8} \tag{2}$$

under SU(3) flavor.

Grinstein PRD '96

- The ground state spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryons $(q_i q_j q_k)$ transform as an octet (8) under SU(3) flavor.
- The three light quark system can also form a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ baryon transforming as a decuplet (10) under SU(3) flavor.
- The most general effective Hamiltonian for a two-body hadronic decay of a ground state *b*-baryon transforms as a,

$$\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{8} \otimes \mathbf{8} \tag{2}$$

under SU(3) flavor.

Grinstein PRD '96

• $\mathcal{H}_{ ext{gen}} = \mathbf{3} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{6}} \oplus \mathbf{15} \oplus \mathbf{15}^{'} \oplus \mathbf{24} \oplus \mathbf{42}$

SU(3)-decomposition of decay amplitudes

• The amplitude of the process $i \to f_b f_m$,

$$\mathcal{A}(i \to f_b f_m) = (-1)^{I_3 + \frac{Y}{2} + \frac{T}{3}} \sum_{\substack{\{f, R\} \\ I^b I^m I^f \\ I^b + Y^m = Y^f, Y^f - Y^i = Y^H \\ I^b_3 + I^m_3 = I^f_3, I^f_3 - I^i_3 = I^H_3}} \times \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f_b} & \mathbf{f_m} & \mathbf{f} \\ (Y^b, I^b, I^b_3) & (Y^m, I^m, I^m_3) & (Y^f, I^f, I^f_3) \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{\bar{i}} & \mathbf{R} \\ (Y^f, I^f, I^f_3) & (-Y^i, I^i, -I^i_3) & (Y^H, I^H, I^H_3) \end{pmatrix},$$
(3)

- 4 同 1 4 日 1 4 日 1

- 2

• For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.

A B >
 A B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- 21

A 3 >

- For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.
 - Number of $\Delta S = -1$ processes = 22

• 3 >

3

- For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.
 - Number of $\Delta S = -1$ processes = 22
 - Number of $\Delta S = 0$ processes = 22

- For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.
 - Number of $\Delta S = -1$ processes = 22
 - Number of $\Delta S = 0$ processes = 22
- Exact same number of independent SU(3)-reduced matrix elements!

- For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.
 - Number of $\Delta S = -1$ processes = 22
 - Number of $\Delta S = 0$ processes = 22
- Exact same number of independent SU(3)-reduced matrix elements!
- Above counting describes how one enumerates the complete set of amplitudes for arbitrarily broken SU(3).

- For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.
 - Number of $\Delta S = -1$ processes = 22
 - Number of $\Delta S = 0$ processes = 22
- Exact same number of independent SU(3)-reduced matrix elements!
- Above counting describes how one enumerates the complete set of amplitudes for arbitrarily broken SU(3).
- By construction, the set of SU(3)-reduced matrix elements form a complete orthonormal basis.

- For the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{m}}$, 44 possible decay modes.
 - Number of $\Delta S = -1$ processes = 22
 - Number of $\Delta S = 0$ processes = 22
- Exact same number of independent SU(3)-reduced matrix elements!
- Above counting describes how one enumerates the complete set of amplitudes for arbitrarily broken SU(3).
- By construction, the set of SU(3)-reduced matrix elements form a complete orthonormal basis.
- Apriori, all decay modes are independent, hence no relations among each other.

• Some SU(3)-reduced matrix elements are no longer independent.

- 2

- Some SU(3)-reduced matrix elements are no longer independent.
- The dim-6 effective Hamiltonian only has 3, $\overline{6}$, 15 pieces. Higher SU(3) representations are missing in unbroken Hamiltonian.

December 4, 2019

- Some SU(3)-reduced matrix elements are no longer independent.
- The dim-6 effective Hamiltonian only has **3**, **6**, **15** pieces. Higher SU(3) representations are missing in unbroken Hamiltonian.
 - Set $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15}' \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{24} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{42} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for any \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{f} .

- Some SU(3)-reduced matrix elements are no longer independent.
- The dim-6 effective Hamiltonian only has **3**, **6**, **15** pieces. Higher SU(3) representations are missing in unbroken Hamiltonian.
 - Set $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15}' \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{24} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{42} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for any \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{f} .
- Forbid $\Delta I = 2$ and $\Delta I = \frac{5}{2}$ transitions.

- Some SU(3)-reduced matrix elements are no longer independent.
- The dim-6 effective Hamiltonian only has **3**, **6**, **15** pieces. Higher SU(3) representations are missing in unbroken Hamiltonian.
 - Set $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15}' \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{24} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{42} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for any \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{f} .
- Forbid $\Delta I = 2$ and $\Delta I = \frac{5}{2}$ transitions.

• Use

$$\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle = \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\{Y,I,I_3\}}}_{\text{dynamical Coeff. of } \mathcal{H}} \langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{R} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle. \tag{4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{dim}=6} = \sum_{\substack{\{Y,I,J_3\}\\\mathbf{R}}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\{Y,I,J_3\}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}},$$
(5)

December 4, 2019

8 / 23

S Roy

Amp. relations in *b*-baryon decays

Unbroken dim-6 effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}^{\dim=6} = \underbrace{(\overline{q_i}b)(\overline{q_j}q_k)}_{\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{\overline{3}}\equiv\mathbf{3}^{(\mathbf{\overline{3}})}\oplus\mathbf{3}^{(\mathbf{6})}\oplus\mathbf{\overline{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15}}$$

The effective Hamiltonian for charmless b decays,

• Tree operators:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{T}}}{4G_{F}} = & \left\{ \lambda_{u}^{s} \left[\frac{(C_{1}+C_{2})}{2} \left(-\mathbf{15}_{1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{15}_{0} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{2}_{0}^{\mathbf{(6)}} \right) + \frac{(C_{1}-C_{2})}{2} \left(\mathbf{6}_{1} + \mathbf{3}_{0}^{\mathbf{(5)}} \right) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda_{u}^{d} \left[\frac{(C_{1}+C_{2})}{2} \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{15}_{3/2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\mathbf{15}_{1/2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{\mathbf{(6)}} \right) + \frac{(C_{1}-C_{2})}{2} \left(-\mathbf{6}_{1/2} + \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{\mathbf{(3)}} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

A 3 >

2

(6)

Unbroken dim-6 effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}^{\dim=6} = \underbrace{(\overline{q_i}b)(\overline{q_j}q_k)}_{\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{\overline{3}}\equiv\mathbf{3}^{(\mathbf{\overline{3}})}\oplus\mathbf{3}^{(\mathbf{6})}\oplus\mathbf{\overline{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15}}$$

The effective Hamiltonian for charmless b decays,

• Tree operators:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{T}}}{4G_{F}} = & \left\{ \lambda_{u}^{s} \left[\frac{(C_{1}+C_{2})}{2} \left(-\mathbf{15}_{1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{15}_{0} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{3}_{0}^{\mathbf{(6)}} \right) + \frac{(C_{1}-C_{2})}{2} \left(\mathbf{6}_{1} + \mathbf{3}_{0}^{\mathbf{(3)}} \right) \right] \\ & + \lambda_{u}^{d} \left[\frac{(C_{1}+C_{2})}{2} \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{15}_{3/2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\mathbf{15}_{1/2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{\mathbf{(6)}} \right) + \frac{(C_{1}-C_{2})}{2} \left(-\mathbf{6}_{1/2} + \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{\mathbf{(3)}} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

• Gluonic penguin operators:

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{H}_{\rm g}}{4G_F} = \left\{ -\lambda_t^* \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_3 + C_4) \mathbf{3}_0^{(6)} + (C_3 - C_4) \mathbf{3}_0^{(3)} \right] - \lambda_t^d \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_3 + C_4) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(6)} + (C_3 - C_4) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(3)} \right] \\ & -\lambda_t^* \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_5 + C_6) \mathbf{3}_0^{(6)} + (C_5 - C_6) \mathbf{3}_0^{(3)} \right] - \lambda_t^d \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_5 + C_6) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(6)} + (C_5 - C_6) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(3)} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

December 4, 2019

(6)

Unbroken dim-6 effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}^{\dim=6} = \underbrace{(\overline{q_i}b)(\overline{q_j}q_k)}_{\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{\overline{3}}\equiv\mathbf{3}^{(\mathbf{\overline{3}})}\oplus\mathbf{3}^{(\mathbf{6})}\oplus\mathbf{\overline{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15}}$$

The effective Hamiltonian for charmless b decays,

• Tree operators:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{H}_{T}}{4G_{F}} &= \left\{\lambda_{u}^{s}\left[\frac{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}{2}\left(-\mathbf{15}_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{15}_{0}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{2}_{0}^{(\mathbf{6})}\right)+\frac{\left(C_{1}-C_{2}\right)}{2}\left(\mathbf{6}_{1}+\mathbf{3}_{0}^{(\mathbf{3})}\right)\right]\right.\\ &+\left.\lambda_{u}^{d}\left[\frac{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}{2}\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbf{15}_{3/2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\mathbf{15}_{1/2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(\mathbf{6})}\right)+\frac{\left(C_{1}-C_{2}\right)}{2}\left(-\mathbf{6}_{1/2}+\mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(\mathbf{3})}\right)\right]\right\},\end{split}$$

• Gluonic penguin operators:

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{H}_{\rm g}}{4G_F} = \left\{ -\lambda_t^* \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_3 + C_4) \mathbf{3}_0^{(6)} + (C_3 - C_4) \mathbf{3}_0^{(3)} \right] - \lambda_t^d \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_3 + C_4) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(6)} + (C_3 - C_4) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(3)} \right] \\ & -\lambda_t^* \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_5 + C_6) \mathbf{3}_0^{(6)} + (C_5 - C_6) \mathbf{3}_0^{(3)} \right] - \lambda_t^d \left[-\sqrt{2}(C_5 + C_6) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(6)} + (C_5 - C_6) \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(3)} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

• Electroweak penguin operators:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{H}_{\text{EWP}}}{4G_F} &= \left\{ -\lambda_t^s \left[\frac{(C_9 + C_{10})}{2} \left(-\frac{3}{2} \mathbf{15}_1 - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{15}_0 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{3}_0^{(6)} \right) + \frac{(C_9 - C_{10})}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \mathbf{6}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{3}_0^{(5)} \right) \right] \\ &- \lambda_t^d \left[\frac{(C_9 + C_{10})}{2} \left(-\sqrt{3} \, \mathbf{15}_{3/2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{15}_{1/2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(6)} \right) + \frac{(C_9 - C_{10})}{2} \left(-\frac{3}{2} \mathbf{6}_{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{3}_{1/2}^{(5)} \right) \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

Amp. relations in b-baryon decays

(6)

• Project out the coefficients corresponding to the **15** part of the Hamiltonian. Relations between reduced matrix elements regardless of the initial and final states

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_0 \| \mathbf{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_1 \| \mathbf{i} \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_{\frac{1}{2}} \| \mathbf{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_{\frac{3}{2}} \| \mathbf{i} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t^d}{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_0 \| \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{EWP}}}{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_{\frac{1}{2}} \| \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{EWP}}} = \sqrt{3}, \quad \frac{\lambda_u^d}{\lambda_u^s} \frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_0 \| \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{T}}}{\langle \mathbf{f} \| \mathbf{15}_{\frac{1}{2}} \| \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{T}}} = \sqrt{3} \qquad (7)$$

• Project out the coefficients corresponding to the **15** part of the Hamiltonian. Relations between reduced matrix elements regardless of the initial and final states

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_0} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_1} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_{\frac{1}{2}}} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_{\frac{3}{2}}} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t^d}{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_0} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{EWP}}}{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_{\frac{1}{2}}} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{EWP}}} = \sqrt{3}, \quad \frac{\lambda_u^d}{\lambda_s^u} \frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_0} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{T}}}{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{15_{\frac{1}{2}}} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle_{\text{T}}} = \sqrt{3}$$

$$(7)$$

• If more than one operator structure contributes to the Hamiltonian;

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}'} \parallel \mathbf{i} \rangle} = \frac{\sum_{l} \mathcal{C}_{l} C_{l}}{\sum_{m} \mathcal{C}_{m} C'_{m}},\tag{8}$$

where, the $C_i^{(\prime)}$ are the coefficients of the different components of the Hamiltonian and C_j 's are the CG coefficients and the sums extend over all the corresponding contributions to the Hamiltonian.

S Roy

Amp. relations in *b*-baryon decays

December 4, 2019

• Factor out the CKM elements $\lambda_{u,t}^{s,d}$ and write the decay amplitude in terms of tree and penguin reduced amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}} = \lambda_{u}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{T}^{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda_{t}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{P}^{\mathcal{S}},
\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}} = \lambda_{u}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{T}^{\mathcal{P}} + \lambda_{t}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{P}^{\mathcal{P}},$$
(9)

where q = s, d denote the $\Delta S = -1$, 0 process, S and P denote the S wave and P wave amplitudes of the decay.

December 4, 2019

• Factor out the CKM elements $\lambda_{u,t}^{s,d}$ and write the decay amplitude in terms of tree and penguin reduced amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}} = \lambda_{u}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda_{t}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathcal{S}},
\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}} = \lambda_{u}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathcal{P}} + \lambda_{t}^{q} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathcal{P}},$$
(9)

where q = s, d denote the $\Delta S = -1$, 0 process, S and P denote the S wave and P wave amplitudes of the decay.

• The $\Delta S = -1$ and $\Delta S = 0$ decay amplitudes and the reduced SU(3) elements are expressed as column matrices \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{R} respectively and related by the matrix equation,

$$\mathcal{A}_T = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}_P = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{R} \qquad (10)$$

Finding amplitude relations in $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathcal{B}_b} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{\mathcal{M}}$

• Identify the identical rows of the \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{P} matrices which readily gives the simplest amplitude relations for the tree part and the penguin part,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\Sigma^-K^+) &= \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Xi^-\pi^+),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to p^+\pi^-) &= \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Sigma^+K^-),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^-\to nK^-) &= \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^-\to\Xi^0\pi^-),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^-\to\Xi^-K^0) &= \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^-\to\Sigma^-\overline{K}^0),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Sigma^-\pi^+) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\Sigma^-\pi^+),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Sigma^-\pi^+) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\Xi^-K^+),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Sigma^+\pi^-) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\Xi^+K^-),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\mu^+K^-) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\Sigma^+\pi^-),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\mu^+K^-) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\Sigma^+\pi^-),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Xi^0K^0) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\to\pi\overline{K}^0),\\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0\to\Xi^0K^0) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0\ton\overline{K}^0), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- K^+) = \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^- \pi^+), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ \pi^-) = \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ K^-), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^- \to nK^-) = \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^0 \pi^-), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- K^0) = \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^- \overline{K}^0), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^- K^+) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Xi^- K^+), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to n\overline{K}^0) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Xi^0 K^0), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to p^+ K^-) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to p^+ K^-) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to 2^0 K^0) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-), \\ & \mathcal{P}(\Xi_b^0 \to 2^0 K^0) = \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_b^0 \to n\overline{K}^0). \end{split}$$

arXiv 1911.01121

• 3 >

More relations

Triangle relations connecting

• $\Delta S = -1$ decays modes;

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) + 2\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^0 \pi^0) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- \pi^0) - \sqrt{3}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- \eta_8) + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^- \overline{K^0}) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 K^-) - \sqrt{3}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda^0 K^-) + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^0 \pi^-) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^- \pi^+) - \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Xi^- K^+) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ K^-) - \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ K^-) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-) &= 0, \end{split}$$

December 4, 2019

< 17 b

▲ 프 ▶ 프

More relations

Triangle relations connecting

• $\Delta S = -1$ decays modes;

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) + 2\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^0 \pi^0) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- \pi^0) - \sqrt{3}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- \eta_8) + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^- \overline{K^0}) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 K^-) - \sqrt{3}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda^0 K^-) + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^0 \pi^-) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^- \pi^+) - \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Xi^- K^+) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ K^-) - \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ K^-) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-) &= 0, \end{split}$$

• $\Delta S = 0$ decay modes;

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 \pi^-) &- \sqrt{3} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda^0 \pi^-) - \sqrt{2} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to nK^-) = 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^- \pi^0) &- \sqrt{2} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- K^0) - \sqrt{3} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^- \eta_8) = 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) &- \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^- K^+) - \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- K^+) = 0, \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to p^+ K^-) &- \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p^+ \pi^-) = 0. \end{split}$$

Amp. relations in b-baryon decays

Amp. relations for the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathcal{B}_b} \to \mathbf{8}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$

$$\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^0 \eta_1) = \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to n\eta_1),$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi^- \eta_1) = \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^- \eta_1),$$
 (11)

• Triangle relations for $\Delta S = -1$ processes,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \ \Lambda \eta_1) - \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^0 \eta_1) - \tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi^0 \eta_1) = 0 \\ \bullet \text{ Triangle relations for } \Delta S = 0 \text{ processes}, \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to n\eta_1) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda^0\eta_1) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^0\eta_1) = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Amp. relations for the case of $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathcal{B}_b} \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{\mathcal{M}}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*} \overline{K^0}) &= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*} K^0) \\ \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*} \eta_8) &= \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda_s^{0*} K^-) \end{split}$$

• Triangle $\Delta S = -1$ relations:

$$\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*} \pi_0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*} \eta_8) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*} \overline{K^0}) = 0,$$

• Triangle $\Delta S = 0$ relations:

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*}\eta_8) + \mathcal{T}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*}\pi_0) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_s^{0*}K^0) = 0$$

The same set of relations hold for the penguin part of the all the above amplitude relations.

SU(3)-breaking effects & general SU(3) relations

To the first order in strange quark mass,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{dim}=6}_\epsilon \subset (\mathbf{3}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15})\otimes(\mathbf{1}+\epsilon\,\mathbf{8}) &= (\mathbf{3}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15})\ &+\epsilon(\mathbf{3}_i\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}_i\oplus\mathbf{15}_1\oplus\mathbf{15}_2\oplus\mathbf{15}_3^1\ &\oplus\,\mathbf{15}_3^2\oplus\mathbf{15}'\oplus\mathbf{24}\oplus\mathbf{42}), \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript i = 1, 2, 3 indicates the origin of that representation from **3**, $\overline{6}$, **15** respectively.

• Result: More SU(3) reduced amplitudes

SU(3)-breaking effects & general SU(3) relations

To the first order in strange quark mass,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{dim}=6}_\epsilon \subset (\mathbf{3}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15})\otimes(\mathbf{1}+\epsilon\,\mathbf{8}) &= (\mathbf{3}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15})\ &+\epsilon(\mathbf{3}_i\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}_i\oplus\mathbf{15}_1\oplus\mathbf{15}_2\oplus\mathbf{15}_3^1\ &\oplus\,\mathbf{15}_3^2\oplus\mathbf{15}'\oplus\mathbf{24}\oplus\mathbf{42}), \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript i = 1, 2, 3 indicates the origin of that representation from **3**, $\overline{6}$, **15** respectively.

- Result: More SU(3) reduced amplitudes
 - Less relations.

B 1 4 B 1 B

SU(3)-breaking effects & general SU(3) relations

To the first order in strange quark mass,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{dim}=6}_\epsilon \subset (\mathbf{3}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15})\otimes(\mathbf{1}+\epsilon\,\mathbf{8}) &= (\mathbf{3}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15})\ &+ \epsilon(\mathbf{3}_i\oplus\overline{\mathbf{6}}_i\oplus\mathbf{15}_1\oplus\mathbf{15}_2\oplus\mathbf{15}_3^1\ &\oplus \mathbf{15}_3^2\oplus\mathbf{15}'\oplus\mathbf{24}\oplus\mathbf{42}), \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript i = 1, 2, 3 indicates the origin of that representation from **3**, $\overline{6}$, **15** respectively.

- Result: More SU(3) reduced amplitudes
 - Less relations.
- Sole isospin relation that survives,

$$\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ \pi^-) + \mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- \pi^+) + 2\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^0 \pi^0) = 0$$

• Recall that, Isospin symmetry of the unbroken Hamiltonian forbids a $\Delta I = 2$ and $\Delta I = 5/2$ transition.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{0} \to \Sigma^{0} \bar{K}^{0})}{3} &+ \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{0} \to \Sigma^{+} K^{-})}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{0} \to \Xi^{0} \pi^{0})}{3} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{0} \to \Xi^{-} \pi^{+})}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Sigma^{0} K^{-})}{3} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Sigma^{-} \bar{K}^{0})}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Xi^{0} \pi^{-})}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Xi^{-} \pi^{0})}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \to \Sigma^{0} \pi^{0})}{3} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \to \Sigma^{-} \pi^{+})}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \to \Sigma^{+} \pi^{-})}{3\sqrt{2}} = 0, \end{split}$$
(12)
$$\\ \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{0} \to \Sigma^{0} \bar{K}^{0})}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Sigma^{-} \bar{K}^{0})}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{0} \to \Xi^{0} \pi^{-})}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Xi^{-} \pi^{+})}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ &+ \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Sigma^{0} \bar{K}^{-})}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Sigma^{-} \bar{K}^{0})}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Xi^{-} \pi^{-})}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\mathcal{T}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \Xi^{-} \pi^{0})}{2\sqrt{3}} = 0. \end{split}$$
(13)

December 4, 2019

CP relations

• Decay rate

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}) = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}|}{8\pi m_{\mathcal{B}_b}^2} \Big[|S|^2 + |P|^2 \Big]$$

Brown '83, Donoghue '85, Dunietz '92

 ■
 ■
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •

CP relations

• Decay rate

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}) = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}|}{8\pi m_{\mathcal{B}_b}^2} \Big[|S|^2 + |P|^2 \Big]$$

Brown '83, Donoghue '85, Dunietz '92

• Factor out the kinematic factors,

$$S = \sqrt{2m_{\mathcal{B}_b}(E_{\mathcal{B}} + m_{\mathcal{B}})}\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}$$
$$P = \sqrt{2m_{\mathcal{B}_b}(E_{\mathcal{B}} - m_{\mathcal{B}})}\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}}$$

December 4, 2019

→ ∃→

18/23

3

CP relations

• Decay rate

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}) = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}|}{8\pi m_{\mathcal{B}_b}^2} \Big[|S|^2 + |P|^2 \Big]$$

Brown '83, Donoghue '85, Dunietz '92

• Factor out the kinematic factors,

$$S = \sqrt{2m_{\mathcal{B}_b}(E_{\mathcal{B}} + m_{\mathcal{B}})} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}$$
$$P = \sqrt{2m_{\mathcal{B}_b}(E_{\mathcal{B}} - m_{\mathcal{B}})} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}}$$

• Define A_{CP} as

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}) - \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_b \to \overline{\mathcal{B}} \overline{\mathcal{M}})}{\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}) + \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_b \to \overline{\mathcal{B}} \overline{\mathcal{M}})} = \frac{\Delta_{CP}(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M})}{2\tilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{B}_b \to \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M})},$$

December 4, 2019

A 3 >

3

Δ_{CP} and δ_{CP}

• δ_{CP} written in terms of decay amplitudes,

$$\delta^{a}_{CP}(\mathcal{B}_{b} \rightarrow \mathcal{BM}) = -4\mathbf{J} \times \mathrm{Im} \Big[\mathcal{A}^{a*}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathcal{B}_{b} \rightarrow \mathcal{BM}) \mathcal{A}^{a}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{B}_{b} \rightarrow \mathcal{BM}) \Big].$$

- Im $(V_{ub}V_{ud}^*V_{tb}^*V_{td}) = -$ Im $(V_{ub}V_{us}^*V_{tb}^*V_{ts}) =$ **J**
- Ten δ^a_{CP} relations are obtained,

$$\begin{split} &\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_0\to\Sigma^-K^+)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_0\to\Xi^-\pi^+),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_0\to p^+\pi^-)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_0\to\Sigma^+K^-),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^-_b\to\pi K^-)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^-_b\to\Xi^-\pi^0),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_b\to\Xi^-K^0)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Sigma^-\pi^0),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_0\to\Xi^-K^+)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Sigma^-\pi^+),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_0\to\Sigma^+\pi^-)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Xi^-K^+),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_b\to\pi R^0)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Xi^0K^0),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_b\to\pi R^0)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Xi^0K^0),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_b\to\pi^+K^-)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Sigma^+\pi^-),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_b\to\pi^-K^0)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\Sigma^+\pi^-),\\ &\delta^a_{CP}(\Xi^b_b\to\Xi^0K^0)=-\delta^a_{CP}(\Lambda^b_b\to\pi R^0), \end{split}$$

for both a = S and a = P.

December

December 4, 2019

3

• The relation between A_{CP} and δ_{CP} is,

$$\Delta_{CP} = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}|}{4\pi} \frac{(E_{\mathcal{B}} + m_{\mathcal{B}})}{m_{\mathcal{B}_b}} \Big[\delta_{CP}^S + \Big(\frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}|}{E_{\mathcal{B}} + m_{\mathcal{B}}}\Big)^2 \delta_{CP}^P \Big]$$

- Δ_{CP} depends on the masses of the initial and final baryons as well as the final state meson
 - Ignore $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $m_{\mathcal{B}}$ differences between the various modes,
- Alternatively, measure the longitudinal polarization (α) of the daughter baryon from an angular distribution study of the final states.

$$\alpha = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}*} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}}) |\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}| / E_{\mathcal{B}} + m_{\mathcal{B}}}{|\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}|^2 + |\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{P}}|^2 (|\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}| / E_{\mathcal{B}} + m_{\mathcal{B}})^2}$$
(14)

• To be verified in experiments

$$\frac{A_{CP}(\mathcal{B}_{bi} \to \mathcal{B}_{j}\mathcal{M}_{k})}{A_{CP}(\mathcal{B}_{bl} \to \mathcal{B}_{m}\mathcal{M}_{n})} \simeq -\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{B}_{bi}}}{\tau_{\mathcal{B}_{bl}}} \frac{\mathcal{BR}(\mathcal{B}_{bl} \to \mathcal{B}_{m}\mathcal{M}_{n})}{\mathcal{BR}(\mathcal{B}_{bi} \to \mathcal{B}_{j}\mathcal{M}_{k})},$$
(15)

• Proposed a general framework to analyze hadronic beauty baryon decays using SU(3) flavor symmetry.

December 4, 2019

< 3 > <

3

- Proposed a general framework to analyze hadronic beauty baryon decays using SU(3) flavor symmetry.
- Obtained several amplitude relations assuming the dim-6 weak Hamiltonian.

- Proposed a general framework to analyze hadronic beauty baryon decays using SU(3) flavor symmetry.
- Obtained several amplitude relations assuming the dim-6 weak Hamiltonian.
- These relations may help constraining parameters of the CKM triangle once sufficient number of measurements are available.

- Proposed a general framework to analyze hadronic beauty baryon decays using SU(3) flavor symmetry.
- Obtained several amplitude relations assuming the dim-6 weak Hamiltonian.
- These relations may help constraining parameters of the CKM triangle once sufficient number of measurements are available.
- Indicated CP asymmetry observables testable in future.

- Proposed a general framework to analyze hadronic beauty baryon decays using SU(3) flavor symmetry.
- Obtained several amplitude relations assuming the dim-6 weak Hamiltonian.
- These relations may help constraining parameters of the CKM triangle once sufficient number of measurements are available.
- Indicated CP asymmetry observables testable in future.

Thank You

Amp. relations in *b*-baryon decays

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

December 4, 2019

æ

Effective Hamiltonian for charmless b decays

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[\lambda_u^{(s)} \Big(C_1(Q_1^{(u)} - Q_1^{(c)}) + C_2(Q_2^{(u)} - Q_2^{(c)}) \Big) - \lambda_t^{(s)} \sum_{i=1,2}^{C_i} C_i Q_i^{(c)} - \lambda_t^{(s)} \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i Q_i \\ &+ \lambda_u^{(d)} \Big(C_1(Q_1^{(u)} - Q_1^{(c)}) + C_2(Q_2^{(u)} - Q_2^{(c)}) \Big) - \lambda_t^{(d)} \sum_{i=1,2}^{C_i} C_i Q_i^{(c)} - \lambda_t^{(d)} \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i Q_i \Big], \end{aligned}$$

where $V_{ub}V_{us}^* = \lambda_u^s$, $V_{ub}V_{ud}^* = \lambda_u^d$, $V_{tb}V_{ts}^* = \lambda_t^s$, $V_{tb}V_{td}^* = \lambda_t^d$ are the CKM elements and C_i s are the Wilson coefficients. matrix elements:

December 4, 2019