Early Time Dynamics and the Bulk

Bin Wu

Hard Probes 2020

Motivations: jets & bulk

1. **Currently modelled as two different entities**
2. **Where the bulk ends and the jet starts?**

A unified framework for both jets and bulk?



- Bulk and jet constituents are partons before hadronization.
- They should be described by a unified way in QCD.

QCD factorization



For a subclass of observables:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T d\eta} = \sum_{abc} f_a \otimes f_b \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{ab\to c} \otimes \underbrace{J_c^{med}}_{\text{Bulk enters.}}$$

For a recent discussion: Qiu, Ringer, Sato and Zurita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, no. 25, 252301 (2019) .

The Bjorken Picture for bulk matter



Bjorken, Lect. Notes Phys. **56**, 93 (1976).

1. The valence quarks pass through each other.
2. Produced "wee" partons fill a central plateau in rapidity.
3. The saturation model (CGC): $k \sim Q_s > \Lambda_{QCD}$

A comprehensive review: Kovchegov and Levin, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **33**, 1 (2012). .

Let us see what follows from this picture.

Bulk in AA collisions

The bottom-up thermalization

At $\tau \sim 1/Q_s$, produced wee (called "hard") partons go on mass-shell.

$$k \sim Q_s, \qquad \text{number density } n_h \sim \frac{1}{\alpha_s}$$

Then, the thermalization proceeds in kinetic theory:

- Stage I: early-time attractor driven by longitudinal expansion
- Stage II: Setting up the stage for thermalization
- Stage III: quenching of "hard" gluons

heating up thermal bath with $T \ll Q_s$

Common mechanism with jet quenching!

Baier, Mueller, Schiff and Son, Phys. Lett. B **502**, 51 (2001) [hep-ph/0009237].

Isotropization in the bottom-up thermalization



Stage 0: freeing wee gluon from wave functions



1. **Energy density:** $\epsilon \propto \frac{1}{\tau}$ at large τ

   Kovchegov, Nucl. Phys. A **762**, 298 (2005) [hep-ph/0503038]; Lappi, Phys. Lett. B **643**, 11 (2006) [hep-ph/0606207].

2. **Two point function in the limit** $\tau \to \infty$

$$G_{22}^{a\mu,b\nu}(X,p) \to 2\pi\delta(p^2)\delta^{ab}\sum_{\lambda=\pm}\epsilon_\lambda^\mu(p)\epsilon_\lambda^{*\nu}(p)f^{cl}(X,p)$$

   where $f^{cl}(X,p)$ a longitudinally boost-invariant distribution.

   $\delta(p^2)$: **classical field does go on mass-shell as** $\tau \to \infty$**!**

   BW and Kovchegov, JHEP **1803**, 158 (2018) [arXiv:1709.02866 [hep-ph]].

Does kinetic theory follows classical fields?

The question:



The answer:

No in ϕ^4 theory at this order.

Kovchegov and BW, JHEP **1803**, 157 (2018) [arXiv:1709.02868 [hep-ph]].

QFT has features that complicates the picture in kinetic theory!

Still an open question in QCD!

Modern understanding of Bottom-up thermalization

1. **Stage I: attractor in classical statistical approximation (CSA):**

   Berges, Boguslavski, Schlichting and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 114007 (2014) [arXiv:1311.3005 [hep-ph]].

2. **Bottom-up in kinetic theory:**

   Kurkela and Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 182301 (2015) [arXiv:1506.06647 [hep-ph]].

3. **An entire evolution by matching:**

   Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, Paquet, Schlichting and Teaney, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 122302 (2019) [arXiv:1805.01604 [hep-ph]].

4. **Go beyond?**

   - Fast isotripization has been shown in CSA.

     Epelbaum and Gelis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 232301 (2013) [arXiv:1307.2214 [hep-ph]].

   - Technial difficulty: One has to deal with nonrenormalizablity

     Epelbaum, Gelis and BW, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 6, 065029 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0115 [hep-ph]].
     Berges, Boguslavski, Schlichting and Venugopalan, JHEP **1405**, 054 (2014) [arXiv:1312.5216 [hep-ph]].

Another open question!

Connection to jet quenching



Connection to jet quenching

Some detailed calculations:

1. Radiative energy spectrum can be calculated analysitcally.

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega} \sim \alpha_s N_c \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}(\tau)\tau^2}{\omega}} \qquad \text{for } t_f \ll \tau$$

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller and Schiff, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 1706 (1998); Arnold, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 065025 (2009).

2. Radiative correction to p_T-broadening and $\hat{q}$:

   - Leading-logs and resummation

$$\hat{q}_{resum}(\tau) = \hat{q}(\tau)\,\frac{\mathrm{I}_1\left(2\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}}\,Y\right)}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}}\,Y} \qquad \text{with } Y = \ln(\tau/\lambda(\tau))$$

   looks like a static medium with $\hat{q} = \hat{q}(\tau)$ at each time!

   Iancu, Taels and BW, Phys. Lett. B **786**, 288 (2018) [arXiv:1806.07177 [hep-ph]].

   - Finite terms may also be important: Zakharov, arXiv:2003.10182 [hep-ph].

Bulk in small systems

Hydro vs non-hydro modes

- Two statements that are generally true:
  1. QFTs contain hydrodynamics.
  2. QFTs go beyond hydrodynamics in different ways.
- Examples:





the analytic structure of $G_R^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(\omega,\vec{k}) = -i\int d^4x e^{ik\cdot x}\theta(x^0)\langle[T^{\alpha\beta}(x), T^{\gamma\delta}(0)]\rangle$

Probes to non-hydro (particle-like) modes

1. **Studying jet quenching**

   high p_T jets probe short wavelength

2. **Studying small systems:** $G_R(\tau, k) \sim c_{hyd} e^{-Dk^2\tau}$ + non-hydro terms





Flow in small and/or dilute limit



Kurkela, Wiedemann and BW, Phys. Lett. B **783**, 274 (2018), [arXiv:1803.02072].

Flow is a signature for final-state interactions

See also: Borghini & Gombeaud, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1612; He, Edmonds, Lin, Liu, Molnar & Wang, Phys. Lett. B **753** (2016) 506.

A conformal kinetic transport theory (CKT)





1. Similar to kinetic theory in relaxation time approximation:

   Baym, Phys. Lett. **138B**, 18 (1984).

2. Physics depends on only one dimensionless parameter:

$$\boxed{\text{Opacity: } \hat{\gamma} = \gamma R^{\frac{3}{4}} (\varepsilon_0 \tau_0)^{\frac{1}{4}} = R/l_{mfp}}$$

   Kurkela, Wiedemann and BW, Phys. Lett. B **783**, 274 (2018), [arXiv:1803.02072].

3. The transport coefficients: $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{T}{\gamma \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}}$.

Features of thermalization in CKT



Kurkela, van der Schee, Wiedemann and BW, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 102301 (2020) [arXiv:1907.08101 [hep-ph]]..

(cf. talk by Wilke van der Schee)

1. **Commonalities with bottom-up thermalization** (left figure).
   - Early-time attractor
   - Late-time (hydro) attractor
2. **The late-time dynamics is modified by opacity** (right figure).

A potential probe to hydrodynamization!

Qualification of being a fluid

Criteria:

$$\boxed{\text{hydro-like} \Leftrightarrow Q < 0.1}$$

in terms of "fluid quality" for $T^{\mu\nu}$ calculated in some model

$$Q(t,r) = \sqrt{\frac{\left(T - T_{\rm hyd}\right)^{\mu\nu}\left(T - T_{\rm hyd}\right)_{\mu\nu}}{\left(T_{\rm id}\right)^{\mu\nu}\left(T_{\rm id}\right)_{\mu\nu}}},$$

where $T_{\rm hyd}$ is the energy-momentum tensor in hydrodynamics.

How much "fluid" is CKT?



Kurkela, Wiedemann and BW, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no. 11, 965 (2019) [arXiv:1905.05139 [hep-ph]].

Confronting experimental Data



Kurkela, Wiedemann and BW, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no. 11, 965 (2019) [arXiv:1905.05139 [hep-ph]].

Conclusions

1. For large systems:
   - ✓ The bottom-up thermalization is supported by more detailed calculations.
   - ✓ The soft gluon radiation from jets with $t_f \lesssim \tau$ look like the static case.
   - ✗ There are some technical questions for going beyond
2. For small systems:
   - ✓ Non-hydro modes, which dominates at early time, also contribute to flow.
   - ✓ Interplay between hydro and non-hydro modes can be studied (in CKT).
   - ✗ potential modification of the bottom-up thermalization?
   - ✗ jet quenching?
3. A unified framework for jets & bulk?