

OPEN HEAVY FLAVOR: EXPERIMENTAL OVERVIEW

CAMELIA MIRONOV

MIT

CAMELIA.MIRONOV@CERN.CH

CHARGE FROM ORGANIZERS

- An overview and current status of open heavy flavor probes in p+p, p+A, and A+A collisions from an experimental perspective.
- Lessons learned in the field since the last Hard Probes conference (n.b. October 2018) particularly worthy of reporting.

In addition, any comments you want to share about the role of open heavy flavor in future e+A programs are welcome as well.

WHAT'S WORTH MEASURING TO I FARN SOMETHING













Preference to measurements (in pp & AA) ...

- 1. in which beauty and charm are measured separately.

Disclaimer

2. (if more than 1) results w/ the widest kinematic reach and/or highest precision.



But this implies we understand HF (the probe)





Nuclear/cold effects are under control

Have a baseline/reference system where production is understood

With LHC (open beauty & charm separated): ... it's also *complicated*

"HF quarks fine/good/excellent/ideal probe to study QGP in uRHIC"



Understand the interactions/hadronization in medium



UDAY'S IALK:

Where we stand in our understanding of HF production in p+p?

by assessing the agreement between data & models/theory (in particular PYTHIA)



Same exercise for A+A

with an attempt of reading (in) data





UDAY'S TALK:

Where we stand in our understanding of HF production in p+p?

by assessing the agreement between data & models/theory (in particular PYTHIA)





CROSS SECTION: GOOD PQCD DESCRIP



FONLL

- ... data consistently @ the upper edge (and even higher at high- p_T)
- Good news: precision data → door opened for improvements



SHOWER+HAD: GENERAL FEATURES OF ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS CAPTURED BY TH₈



> PYTHIA & POWHEG: details still to iron

• intermediate $\Delta \phi$ region the most challenging for both charm and bottom



EK-HAU: UIFFERENCES BEIWEEN

$$\rho(\Delta r) = \frac{1}{\delta r} \frac{\sum_{jets} \sum_{particle \in (\Delta r_a, \Delta r_b)} p_T^{trk}}{\sum_{jets} \sum_{trk} p_T^{trk}}$$

normalized p_T-distribution of charged hadrons as a function of angular distance from the jet axis



Structure' of (hard) beauty jets (p_T >120GeV/c)

- \triangleright shift of p_T further away from the jet axis in b-jets compared to light-jets Feature captured by PYTHIA @ low- Δr but not @ high- Δr





IIIFFEKENLES B

CHARM





n_{SD}: number of Soft-Drbpped splittings

Substructure of (soft) charm jets (p_T 15-30GeV/c)

- a feature captured by PYTHIA

• fewer splittings than light jets \rightarrow harder shower for charm vs. inclusive jets (gluon dominated)



MECHANISMS AS IMPLEMENTED IN P-P IN M

PYTHIA: Lund string model

- default: string frag.
- +colorReconnection (CR), in which partons from MPIs interact allowing junctions

HERWIG: Cluster model

clusters (excited hadronic states) decay into hadrons

Recombination: (Hwa, Yang nucl-th/0404066)





Note: Recombination describing pp (and pA) data DOES NOT IMPLY presence of QGP!!!







- Note: hadronization not 'universal'!
 - low- p_T : not even low-multiplicity pp data is close to (e+e-)
 - high-p_T (>10-20GeV/c) : all data getting close to (e+e-)

pp + feed-down from missing charm-baryon excited states (beyond PDG).



pQCD works, but there is room and data for improvement

More differential observables not all under control

Hadronization: not a settled topic







AFTER THE P+P STATUS

Mood check: Moderately happy





Where we stand in our understanding of HF production in p+p?

by assessing the agreement between data & models/theory (in particular PYTHIA)

Same exercise for A+A

with an attempt of reading (in) data







We whether know too much or too little ...

- \triangleright models have trouble describing both R_{AA} and v₂ (as it was from 'day one';))

for what is worth: experimentally, can measured down to 0 pT (and up to 100GeV/c)



E ARE SURE WE HAVE REC

PLB 734 (2014)



Huge success for $J/\psi!$ more charm at LHC than at RHIC



(TM1) (TM1) (TM2)

.. AND MAKES SENSE TO CONSIDER RECOMBINATION AT WORK FOR HF TOO



... 'cose strangeness enhancement in AA (established experimentally)
Jury still out ... and not that so simple as 'just recombination'





GREE: WHAI JUES VZ!=U FUR CHARM MEAN, IN ANY SYSIEM9



Apparent ordering: v₂(PbPb)>v₂(pPb)>v₂(pp)

- so system size plays a role, but how?
- options: final (QGP droplet) or initial (e.g. CGC) or ...



DON'T UNDERSTAND... BUT AGREE IT HAPPENS AS EXPECTED ... MOSTLY



Hello 'normal'!

- v₂(PbPb)!=0 : a ~surprise
- but, v₂(pPb) ~ v₂(pp) ~0





But what are the implications?

clearly we hit some threshold, between charm and beauty phenomena TBD: which limit is hit, and how/if it helps constraining the scenarios in small systems

AFTER SOME A+A RESULTS

Mood check: getting ugly ...



HAVE SOME FUN! Open charm vs open beauty



E MEANING UF THE FEATURES



Something is happening around ~20GeV/c

- mass/flavor differences washed out
- is data telling us we are hitting another .. 'threshold'?

WHAT IS DATA EGGING US TOWARD?





IS DAIA EGGING US TOWA



Found a reference for size of path-length dependent energy loss?

anything (?) beside this would produce a 'bump 'at low-p_T ...

- yes, need more precise data, yes, the decay chain can flatten-out a peak.
- BUT, whatever 'peaky'-making additional effect, it looks like it's.. tiny



HAVE SOME MORE FUN! OPEN VS HIDEN HEAVY FLAVOR



WHAT ELSE IS DATA TELLING US?



OPEN CHARM HIDDEN CHARM



ELSE IS DAIA IELL



Another 'threshold' of sorts, @~10GeV between open and hidden charm ?

Chance?







HIDDEN BEAUTY



ELSE IS DAIA IELL **OPEN BEAUTY** 1.2 CMS PbPb 5 TeV 0-100% (b→)J/ψ **CMS PbPb** 5 TeV 0-100% Υ(1S) **0.8** , АА АА 0.6 0.4 RAA 0.2 ___ 🖡 15 40 45 50 20 25 30 35 5 10 р_т (GeV/c) Similar R_{AA} for p_T > 10GeV/c!



~10GeV seems some sort of threshold also for open and hidden beauty





SUMMARY: OPEN HEAVY FLAVOR IN A+A COLLISIONS

Data seems to unravel some 'thresholds', between

charm & beauty when going from A+A to p+p

(beauty, light+charm), and (charm, light)

▶ open and hidden HF, in some form, at low-p_T









We are on a good path

- a plethora of new results, in pp, pA, and AA
- many varied measurements: HF in jets, HF jets, correlations of all kinds

HF 'Probe' of uHIC?

not yet, but getting there

Mood check: cautiously optimistic!





AAAAND . . . I'M DONE!

Trees in the Automation



SUMMARY: OPEN HEAVY FLAVOR IN PROTON+ION COLLISIONS



CHARM+BEAUTY







Figure 4: The jet shape distribution $\rho(\Delta r)$ of inclusive jets (left) and b jets (middle), both with $p_{\rm T} > 120 \,{\rm GeV}$ and $p_{\rm T}^{\rm trk} > 1 \,{\rm GeV}$ are presented as functions of Δr for data(red markers), the PYTHIA 6.426 (blue line) and the PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) simulations. The right plot shows the b-to-inclusive jet shape ratio as functions of Δr for data, PYTHIA 6 (blue line) and PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) simulations. The shadowed boxes represent the systematic uncertainty.



Figure 2: Charged particle yield distributions $Y(\Delta r)$ of inclusive jets (left) and b jets (middle) with $1 < p_T^{trk} < 12$ GeV are presented as functions of Δr . Both types of jets with $p_T > 120$ GeV and charged particles with $1 < p_T^{trk} < 12 \text{ GeV}$ are used to construct the distributions as functions of Δr for data (red), PYTHIA 6.426 (blue line) and PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) simulations, respectively. The right plot shows the particle yield difference of b jets and inclusive jets as functions of Δr for pp data and PYTHIA 6.426 (blue line) and PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) simulations. The shadowed boxes represent the systematic uncertainties.







