# Multi-messenger science

### Carsten Rott Sungkyunkwan University, Korea kku ed Aug 19, 2019 Summer Institute 2019

- There is no such thing as too much information in astroparticle physics
- The more we can explore and examine the full range of particles at large in the cosmos – and the more we can link our discoveries and findings together – the better we can understand the Universe, its most extreme characteristics and its most fascinating and awe-inspiring phenomena.

APPEC European Astroparticle Physics Strategy 2017-2026



## The big questions of our time



### **A S T R O P A R T I C L E P H Y S I C S**





*Gravitational waves*

*Cosmic Microwave Background*

> *Gamma-rays HE neutrinos*







*Neutrino properties*



*SM Model tests*

**P**

**P** 

**A** 

**R** 

 $\Gamma$ 

**I** 

**C** 

**L** 

**E**

**H**

**Y**

**S**

**I**

**C**

**S**

### APPEC & European Astroparticle Physics Strategy

#### A very bright future

Over the past decades, astroparticle physics has established itself as an important scientific discipline. - just as particle physics, astronomy and cosmology have done. Like those sister disciplines, it has generated knowledge and insights with potential to generate spin-off benefits: for example, innovative technologies for low-level light sensors and highprecision seismic sensors, and revolutionary imaging concepts using cosmic-ray muons.

This emergence of astroparticle physics as a core scientific discipline is reflected by a string of Nobel Prizes awarded in this field since the turn of the century:

- 2002: for work on '... detection of cosmic neutrinos...':
- 2006: For work on '...anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background...';
- 2011; for work on the '... accelerating expansion of the Universe ...': and
- 2015: For the '... discovery of neutrino oscillations..."



Some of the most pressing questions we hope to make progress during the next decade (~2017-2026)

#### Tiny particles, huge questions

Astroparticle physics is a dynamic, interdisciplinary research field. Consequently, its precise scope can be hard to define; indeed, definitions vary slightly from country to country. Nevertheless, a general consensus surrounds the fundamental questions. that astroparticle physics aims to address. More than that, generating an answer to any of these questions will almost certainly constitute nothing less than a major breakthrough in our understanding of the Universe. For example:

#### - What is Dark Matter?

- What is Dark Energy?
- What caused our Universe to become dominated by matter and not anti-matter?
- · Can we probe deeper into the earliest phases of <u> Universale avictorica</u>)
- What are the properties of neutrinos?
- Can we identify the sources of high-energy neutrinos?

Summer Institute 2019 **Carstial Convention Constitution** of gravitational waves." The Nobel Prize in Physics 2017 was divided, one half awarded to Rainer Weiss, the other half jointly to Barry C. Barish and Kip S. Thorne "for decisive contributions to the

#### • What is the origin of cosmic rays?

· Do protons decay?

What do gravitational waves tell us about General Relativity and cosmology?

What will multi-messenger astronomy teach us





#### Windows on the Universe: The Era of Multi-messenger Astrophysics

#### **10 Big Ideas for Future NSF Investments**



We have arrived at a special moment in our quest to understand the universe. For years, we have been making observations across the known electromagnetic spectrum -- from radio waves to gamma rays -- and many great discoveries have been made as a result. Now, for the first time, we are able to observe the world around us in fundamentally different ways than we previously thought possible. Using a powerful and synthetic collection of approaches, we have expanded the known spectrum of understanding and observing reality. Just as electromagnetic radiation gives one view of the universe, particles such as neutrinos and cosmic rays provide a different view. Gravitational waves give yet another.



## Lectures









- Day 1
	- **Overview**
	- Multi-messenger Science Introduction
	- Cosmic ray mystery / cosmic rays
	- Recent Breakthroughs in Multi-messenger Science
	- Outlook
- Day 2
	- Observatories for Multi-messenger astroparticle physics
	- High-energy Neutrinos and Multi-messenger Science
- Day 3
	- Real time / follow-up / Alert programs
	- Future perspectives



# Multimessenger Astronomy



### Multi-Messenger Astrophysics





### Multi-Messenger Astrophysics

**GW170817 and GRB170817**





### Multi-Messenger Astrophysics

#### **Icecube-170922A and TXS 0506+056**





## The non-thermal Universe





### Exploring the non-thermal Universe



• Most of the visible light and other electromagnetic radiation we observe from the Universe is emitted by objects in nearthermal equilibrium



### Exploring the non-thermal Universe

- High-energy cosmic rays cannot be explained by thermal processes
	- With the exception of BigBang no object in the Universe can attain the temperatures required to emit particles with energy as occasionally observed in cosmic rays
- Origin of ultra-relativistic particles
	- Astrophysical sources
		- Example aftermath of a cataclysmic event such as a supernova explosion
	- Exotic sources:
		- Decay of super-heavy unknown particles
	- More exotic
		- Particles produced as a result of mechanisms that are as yet entirely unknown and unexpected …



# The Cosmic Ray Mystery

**Summer Institute 2019** 16



## Victor Hess



Victor Hess surrounded by Austrian peasants after landing from one of his ascensions a few weeks before his record breaking ascent in the Böhmen.







#### Carl and Carl and Care 18 *NC NC NC*<sup>2</sup> *NC*<sup>2</sup> *N* Surface of the Earth



*Summer Institute 2019* Carsten Rott



- Where are they coming from?
- What cosmic sources accelerate these particles to energies in the EeV range ?



## Nomenclature







### All-particle Energy Spectrum by Air-Shower Arrays









#### **Figure 1**

Overview of the cosmic ray spectrum. Approximate energies of the breaks in the spectrum commonly referred to as the knee and the ankle are indicated by arrows. Data are from LEAP (4), Proton (5), AKENO (6), KASCADE (7), Auger surface detector (SD) (8), Auger hybrid (9), AGASA (10), HiRes-I monocular (11), and HiRes-II monocular (11). Scaling of LEAP proton-only data to the all-particle spectrum follows  $(12)$ .

- The reason for the steepening of the spectrum at ~2PeV (knee) is not understood
	- (1) Cosmic rays escape from the Milky Way
	- (2) Galactic Accelerators can only accelerate up to about  $\sim$  PeV
	- (3) Interaction cross section changes

# Anisotropy

K. Kawata (TA Coll.)

PS3-173 - PoS 310

#### **Telescope Array - Hotspot**

■ number of events grows slightly slower than in the past, but still grows faster than background rate





### cosmic rays + neutrinos

### **Cosmic Ray Sources**

- Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)
- Gamma Ray Bursts (GRB)
- Supernovae (SN)
- Galaxy Clusters
- Unknown





1936

# **Astrophysical Messengers**

### Potential sources of high-energy neutrinos





# Multimessenger Horizon



• Probed only by gravity waves, neutrinos and cosmic rays

*Summer Institute 2019* Carsten Rott

# Energetic Universe



### Potential extragalatic Sources



**Summer Institute 2019** 29 29 Summer Institute 2019



### Electromagnetic emission of various sources

![](_page_29_Picture_16.jpeg)

## Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Supermassive backholes with relativistic outflows in jets at the center of large galaxies
- Observational characteristics change depending on the alignment of the jets and observer

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Unified Scheme of AGNs

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Image above:** This illustration shows the different features of an active galactic nucleus (AGN), and how our viewing angle determines what type of AGN we observe. The extreme luminosity of an AGN is powered by a supermassive black hole at the center. Some AGN have jets, while others do not*.* **Image credit:** Aurore Simonnet, Sonoma State University.

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Blazar - An AGN with the jet pointing towards us

- Energy spectrum shows two characteristic bumps
	- Between IR / X-ray
		- Believed to be from synchrotron radiation from relativistic electrons
	- <sup>γ</sup>-ray
		- Could be attributed to inverse Compton scattering

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

• subdivided into different types based on the location of the synchrotron peak (lowenergy) - SED (Spectral energy distribution)

SED component. Low-synchrotron-peaked (LSP) blazars, consisting of flat-spectrum radio quasars and lowfrequency peaked BL Lac objects (LBLs), have their synchrotron peak in the infrared regime, at  $\nu_s \leq 10^{14}$  Hz. Intermediate-synchrotron-peaked (ISP) blazars, consisting of LBLs and intermediate BL Lac objects (IBLs) have their synchrotron peak at optical  $-$  UV frequencies at  $10^{14}$  Hz  $\lt \nu_s \leq 10^{15}$  Hz, while High-synchrotronpeaked (HSP) blazars, almost all known to be highfrequency-peaked BL Lac objects (HBL), have their synchrotron peak at X-ray energies with  $\nu_s$  > 10<sup>15</sup> Hz

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 1. Spectral energy distributions of four sub-classes of blazars: a) the FSRQ 3C279 (from Collmar et al. (2010)), b) the LBL BL Lacertae, (data from Abdo et al. (2010b)), c) the intermediate BL Lac 3C66A (data from Acciari et al. (2010c)), and d) the HBL RGB J0710+591 (data from Acciari et al. (2010b)). In Panel a) (3C279), lines are one-zone leptonic model fits to SEDs at various epochs shown in the figure. In all other panels, red lines are fits with a leptonic one-zone model; green lines are fits with a one-zone lepto-hadronic model.

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

- The electron-synchrotron origin of the low-frequency emission is well established.
- However: Two fundamentally different approaches concerning the high-energy emission:
	- **Leptonic:** Emission from ultra-relativistic electrons
	- **• Lepto-hadronic:** Emission from cascades initiated by pγ

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

M. Boettcher arXiv:1006.5048v1

• Leptonic models are difficult to distinguish from lepto-hadronic models by γ-ray observations alone

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **1) lepto-hadronic models**

assume that the high-energy emission is dominated by decays of pions produced in py interactions or synchrotron radiations from protons, pions, and muons.

**2) leptonic models** assume that the dominant cause of the high-energy emission is through ultra-relativistic electrons, while protons are not accelerated to sufficiently high energies to reach the pion production threshold or to few of them reach such energies.

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Gamma Ray Bursts

#### **Observation**

- Bursts last from milliseconds to tens of seconds and show great variety. Clearly bimodal
	- We distinguish between **long (>2s)** and **short (<2s)** bursts
- **Isotropic distribution** 
	- Cosmic origin
- highest redshift burst z=9.4 (GRB 090429B)
	- Energy output too high to be spherically radiated, hence must be highly beamed
- Frequency ~ I/day
	- Actual rate suspected to be much higher due to beaming

![](_page_40_Figure_10.jpeg)

2704 BATSE Gamma-Ray Bursts

![](_page_40_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_13.jpeg)

# GRB Models

- There is a consensus that the GRB phenomenon is caused by the death of a massive star and involves:
	- Unusually large amount of angular momentum

•  $j \sim 10^{16} - 10^{17}$ cm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

- Unusually large magnetic fields
	- $\bullet$  ~10<sup>15</sup>Gauss

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Long and Short GRBs

- Long bursts (>2s)
	- Can often be associated with a galaxy with rapid star formation
	- Some long GRBs are linked to supernovae
	- **Brightest Supernovae are associated** with relatively faint GRBs
- Short bursts (<2s)
	- Several short GRB (X-ray) afterglows have been associated with centers of large galaxy clusters or large elliptical galaxies, both regions of little or no star formation
	- No Supernova link established

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Observatories

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Observatories

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

IceCube Neutrino Observatory is a Gigaton detector at the South Pole designed to detect cosmic neutrinos!

LIGO/Virgo observatories designed to detect cosmic gravitational waves by measuring the ripples in spacetime

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Multi-messenger Science

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Multi-messenger events

Imre Bartos ASTERICS Symposium | 03.28.19

# $\therefore$  Multimessenger Frontier $-$ <br>the last missing puzzle piece

γ

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

### IC170922

GW

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

**SN 1987A** 

GW170817

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Summer Institute 2019** 2019 2019 2019 2018 2019 2018 2019 2018 2019 2018 2019 2018 2019 2018 2019 2019 2019 201

#### • Neutrino observed in coincidence with Gravitational wave ! … but significance from this event alone is not very high

TITLE: GCN CIRCULAR NUMBER: 25192 SUBJECT: LIGO/Virgo S190728q: One neutrino candidate from IceCube search DATE: 19/07/28 10:06:18 GMT FROM: Raamis Hussain at IceCube <raamis.hussain@icecube.wisc.edu>

IceCube Collaboration (http://icecube.wisc.edu/) reports:

A search for track-like muon neutrino events detected by IceCube consistent with the sky localization of gravitational-wave candidate S190728q in a time range of 1000 seconds [1] centered on the alert event time (2019-07-28 06:36:50.529 UTC to 2019-07-28 06:53:30.529 UTC) has been performed. During this time period IceCube was collecting good quality data. The search is a maximum likelihood analysis which searches for a generic point-like neutrino source coincident with the given GW skymap [2].

One track-like event is found in spatial and temporal coincidence with the gravitational-wave candidate S190728q calculated from the map circulated in the 4-Initial notice. This represents an overall p-value of 0.03 (1.84 sigma).

An earlier search (GCN 25185) based on preliminary information of S190728q yielded no significant p-values for the worse GW localization [3].

Properties of the coincident events are shown below.

![](_page_47_Picture_135.jpeg)

where:

dt = Time offset (sec) of track event with respect to GW trigger. Angular uncertainty = Angular uncertainty of track event: the radius of a circle representing 90% CL containment by area.  $p$ -value = the  $p$ -value for this specific track event RA & Dec = Right ascension and declination in degrees quoted in 12000 epoch

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory is a cubic-kilometer neutrino detector operating at the geographic South Pole, Antarctica. The IceCube realtime alert point of contact can be reached at roc@icecube.wisc.edu

[1] Baret et al., Astroparticle Physics 35, 1 (2011) [2] Braun et al., Astroparticle Physics 29, 299 (2008)

## Recent events

![](_page_47_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### [3] GCN 25185: https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn3/25185.gcn3 https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn3/25192.gcn3

![](_page_47_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

### GW170817 - GRB 170817A

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

## GW170817

#### 1. GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral

(2327) LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations (B.P. Abbott (LIGO Lab., Caltech) et al.). Oct 16, 2017. 18 pp.

Published in Phys.Rev.Lett. 119 (2017) no.16, 161101 LIGO-P170817 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101 e-Print: arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-gc] | PDF

References | BibTeX | LaTeX(US) | LaTeX(EU) | Harvmac | EndNote

ADS Abstract Service: Link to PRESSRELEASE: Link to LiveScience.com article: Link to New York Times article: Link to Space.com article: Link to Symmetry Magazine article; Link to Fulltext

Detailed record - Cited by 2327 records [0003]

#### 2. Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger

(926) LIGO Scientific and Virgo and Fermi GBM and INTEGRAL and IceCube and IPN and Insight-Hxmt and ANTARES and Swift and Dark Energy Camera GW-EM and DES and DLT40 and GRAWITA and Fermi-LAT and ATCA and ASKAP and OzGrav and DWF (Deeper Wider Faster Program) and AST3 and CAASTRO and VINROUGE and MASTER and J-GEM and GROWTH and JAGWAR and CaltechNRAO and TTU-NRAO and NuSTAR and Pan-STARRS and KU and Nordic Optical Telescope and ePESSTO and GROND and Texas Tech University and TOROS and BOOTES and MWA and CALET and IKI-GW Follow-up and H.E.S.S. and LOFAR and LWA and HAWC and Pierre Auger and ALMA and Pi of Sky and DFN and ATLAS Telescopes and High Time Resolution Universe Survey and RIMAS and RATIR and SKA South Africa/MeerKAT Collaborations and AstroSat Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager Team and AGILE Team and 1M2H Team and Las Cumbres Observatory Group and MAXI Team and TZAC Consortium and SALT Group and Euro VLBI Team and Chandra Team at McGill University (B.P. Abbott (LIGO Lab., Caltech) et al.), Oct 16, 2017, 59 pp.

#### Published in Astrophys.J. 848 (2017) no.2, L12

LIGO-P1700294, VIR-0802A-17, FERMILAB-PUB-17-478-A-AE-CD

DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9

#### e-Print: arXiv:1710.05833 [astro-ph.HE] | PDF

References | BibTeX | LaTeX(US) | LaTeX(EU) | Harvmac | EndNote

ADS Abstract Service; CERN Document Server; OSTI.gov Server; Fermilab Library Server [fulltext available); Link to Fulltext; Link to Physics World Breakthrough of the Year

Detailed record - Cited by 926 records 500+

#### 3. Gravitational Waves and Gamma-rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A

(854) LIGO Scientific and Virgo and Fermi-GBM and INTEGRAL Collaborations (B.P. Abbott (LIGO Lab., Caltech) et al.). Oct 16, 2017. 27 pp.

Published in Astrophys.J. 848 (2017) no.2, L13

LIGO-P1700308

#### DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c

e-Print: arXiv:1710.05834 [astro-ph.HE] | PDF

References | BIbTeX | LaTeX(US) | LaTeX(EU) | Harvmac | EndNote **ADS Abstract Service** 

Detailed record - Cited by 854 records 500+

![](_page_49_Picture_26.jpeg)

- August 17, 2017, the LIGO-Virgo detector network observed a gravitational-wave signal from the inspiral of two low-mass compact objects consistent with a binary neutron star (BNS) merger
- Gamma-ray Burst (GRB 170817A) was observed in coincidence with this event
- Multi-messenger observations and EM follow ups observations support the hypothesis that GW170817 was produced by the merger of two neutron stars in NGC4993 followed by a short gamma-rayburst (GRB 170817A) and a kilonova/macronova powered by the radioactive decay of rprocess nuclei synthesized in the ejecta

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

## GW signal from GW170817

- Slower waveform evolution than all BBH systems we observed
	- Implies much lower chirp mass
- Clear signals in LIGO detectors, not obvious in Virgo
- Signal spends ~100 sec in the LIGO sensitive band (>20 Hz)
- BNS horizon distances (Mpc) 218 (L1), 107 (H1), 58 (V1)
- In Virgo's blind spot, however triangulation is still possible
- Total Signal-to-noise ratio SNR: 32.4
	- Loudest GW signal detected!

![](_page_51_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Triangulation and Glitch removal

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Sky localization

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

# GammaRayBurst detection

- Fermi GBM: 90% of burst fluence observed over  $T_{90} = 2.0 \pm 0.5$  s
- Signal shape
	- **Fast main pulse** 
		- $\bullet$  ~0.5 sec long
		- Comptonized spectrum (power law + exponential)
		- peak energy  $185 \pm 62$  keV
	- Followed by a weak tail
		- ~1 sec long
		- 10 keV
		- blackbody spectrum
- Overall observation indicates
	- 3:1 odds for 'short' vs. 'long' GRB

![](_page_54_Figure_13.jpeg)

# Sky localization

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Probability of chance coincidence

- Rate of sGRBs detected by GBM ~0.1/day
- Probability that unrelated sGRB detected with peak within  $\pm$ 1.74 ~ 5x10<sup>-6</sup>
- Probability that GW/Fermi–GBM sky maps are as consistent for unrelated  $sGRB \sim 0.01$
- . Chance probability for both time and direction :
- $5x10^{-8}$  ~ "5.3 σ **"**
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  Clear association of BNS merger with (one) sGRB

![](_page_56_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Identification of optical counterpart and host

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Follow up campaigns by dozens of observatories
- New source was found within hours
- NGC 4993 identified as host galaxy
	- Distance ~40Mpc
	- Redshift  $z = 0.0097$

![](_page_57_Picture_9.jpeg)

galax

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Multi-messenger Neutrino Astronomy and IceCube-170922A

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

# IceCube Alert System

### IceCube Realtime Alerts

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Astropart. Phys. 92 (2017) 30 A&A 607 (2017) A115

DATE:

**FROM:** 

report on

normal or

### IceCube-170922A & TXS 0506+056

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Fermi-LAT and MAGIC identify a spatially coincident flaring blazar (TXS 0506+056)
- Very active multi-messenger follow-up from radio to γ-rays

#### 초고에너지 중성미자의 발원지 사상 최초로 확인

지난해 남극에 있는 중성미자 검출장치인 아이스큐브에서 초고에너지 중성미자를 검출했다. 과학자들은 이 중성미자가 37억 광년 떨어진 천체 'TXS 0506+056'에서 시작됐다는 사실을 처음으로 밝혀냈다. 남극에서 검출한 중성미자의 궤적을 추적한 결과 세계 각지의 천체망원경과 우주에 있는 망원경들이 강력한 전파를 감지한 같은 곳에서 중성미자가 비롯됐음을 확인했다.

![](_page_60_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### (Science 361, eaat1378 (2018)

# IceCube-170922A

#### **Multimessenger observations of a** flaring blazar coincident with high-energy neutrino IceCube-170922A

The IceCube Collaboration, Fermi-LAT, MAGIC, AGILE, ASAS-SN, HAWC, H.E.S.S., INTEGRAL, Kanata, Kiso, Kapteyn, Liverpool Telescope, Subaru, Swift/NuSTAR, VERITAS, and VLA/17B-403 teams\*+

- IceCube coincident observation: ~3σ (determined based on the historical IceCube sample and known Fermi-LAT blazars)
- Time-integrated neutrino spectrum is approximately E-2.1
- TXS 0506+056 redshift determined to be z=0.3365 (S. Paiano et al. ApJL 854.L32(2018))
- Time-average luminosity about an order of magnitude higher than Mkn 421, Mkn 501, or 1ES 1959+605

![](_page_61_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Science 361 (6398), 147-151.

## IceCube-170922A

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 9.5 years of archival data was evaluated in direction of TXS 0506+056
- An excess of 13±5 events above background was observed during Sep 2014 - March 2016
- Inconsistent with background only hypothesis at **3.5σ** level (independently of the **3σ** associated with IceCube-170922A alert)

![](_page_62_Figure_6.jpeg)

Time-independent weight of individual events during the IC86b period.

**However**: Maximum contribution of the 2LAC: blazars to the observed astrophysical neutrino flux: to be 27% or less between around 10 TeV and 2: PeV [IceCube Astrophys.J. 835 (2017) no.1, 45]

![](_page_62_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Active Galactic Nuclei: Cosmic Accelerators?

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Conclusions/Summary

- We are now able to observe the Universe in fundamentally new ways (using Gravitational Waves, High-energy Neutrinos, Gamma-rays, …)
- Astroparticle and Multi-messenger science has seen dramatic progress over the last years
- Coincidence observation of GW170817 with a GRB
- First compelling evidence of high-energy neutrinos with electromagnetic counterparts (TXS 0506+056)
- Opportunities to discover new phenomena beyond the SM (probe physics at the highest energy scales)
- Entering golden age of multi-messenger astroparticle physics

![](_page_64_Picture_9.jpeg)