Light Inflaton and Reheating

Soo-Min Choi (Chung-Ang University)

Yoo-Jin Kang (CAU), Hyun Min Lee (CAU) and Kimiko Yamashita (National Tsing Hua Univ.), JHEP 1905 (2019) 060

25th International Summer Institute on Phenomenology of Elementary Particle Physics and Cosmology 20 August 2019

Questions

Two popular topics in cosmology based on particle physics



Planck collaboration



NASA/CXC/CfA

- Origins ? Models ?
- Can their origins be integrated ?

Inflation and Slow-Roll

Cosmic inflation can solve the horizon, flatness problem and so on
 Inflation can be described by the slow-rolling inflaton



$$\epsilon = \frac{M_p^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2, \quad \eta = M_p^2 \frac{V''}{V}, \quad N = \int_{\chi_f}^{\chi_i} \frac{\operatorname{sign}(V')}{\sqrt{2\epsilon}} d\chi, \quad n_s = 1 - 6\epsilon_* + 2\eta_*, \quad r = 16\epsilon_*$$

Higgs Inflation

Higgs can be an inflaton as a key of the inflation origin

Large non-minimal coupling is required for the CMB data

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}_J}{\sqrt{-g_J}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(M_P^2 + 2\xi H^{\dagger} H \right) R - |D_{\mu}H|^2 - \lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2$$



F. Bezrukov, M. Shaposhnikov (2007)

Unitarity Problem

Due to the large non-minimal coupling, there is a unitary problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathscr{L}_J}{\sqrt{-g_J}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(M_P^2 + 2\xi H^{\dagger} H \right) R - |D_{\mu}H|^2 - \lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\mathscr{L}_E}{\sqrt{-g_E}} &\supset -\frac{3\xi^2}{M_P^2} \partial_{\mu} (H^{\dagger} H) \partial^{\mu} (H^{\dagger} H) + \cdots \quad \Lambda_{\text{cufoff}} \sim \frac{M_p}{\xi} \sim \frac{M_p}{10^4} \end{aligned}$$

• Unitarity problem is solved by the simple extension σ

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_J}{\sqrt{-g_J}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(M_P^2 + \xi \sigma^2 + 2\zeta H^{\dagger} H \right) R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \sigma)^2 - |D_{\mu} H|^2 - V(\sigma, H)$$

• Higher dimensional terms are suppressed by $\Rightarrow \Lambda_{\text{Cutoff}} \sim M_P$

C. P. Burgess, H. M. Lee, M. Trott (2009), G. F. Guidice, H. M. Lee (2010)

The Model of Light Inflaton

Unitarized light inflaton with linear non-minimal coupling
 Approximate Z₂ symmetry, but broken by ξ_1

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}_{J}}{\sqrt{-g_{J}}} = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega(\sigma, H)R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^{2} + |D_{\mu}H|^{2} - V(\sigma, H)$$

$$\Omega(\sigma, H) \equiv 1 + \xi_{1}\sigma + \xi_{2}\sigma^{2} + 2\xi_{H}|H|^{2}, \quad M_{p} = 1$$

$$V(\sigma, H) \equiv \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\sigma}\sigma^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\sigma H}\sigma^{2}|H|^{2} + m_{H}^{2}|H|^{2} + \lambda_{H}|H|^{4}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\Omega}g_{\mu\nu}^{E} \quad \& \quad H^{T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, \phi)$$

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}_E}{\sqrt{-g^E}} = -\frac{1}{2}R(g^E) + \frac{1}{2\Omega}(\partial_\mu\sigma)^2 + \frac{3}{4}(\partial_\mu\log\Omega)^2 + \frac{1}{2\Omega}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{\Omega^2}V(\sigma,\phi)$$

H. M. Lee (2018), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Inflationary Dynamics

Introduce a new set of fields during inflation

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}_E}{\sqrt{-g^E}} = -\frac{1}{2}R(g^E) + \frac{1}{2\Omega}(\partial_\mu\sigma)^2 + \frac{3}{4}(\partial_\mu\log\Omega)^2 + \frac{1}{2\Omega}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{\Omega^2}V(\sigma,\phi)$$
$$\Omega(\sigma,H) \approx \xi_1\sigma + \xi_2\sigma^2 + \xi_H\phi^2 \gg 1$$

$$e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\chi} \equiv \xi_1 \sigma + \xi_2 \sigma^2 + \xi_H \phi^2 \quad \& \quad \tau \equiv \frac{\phi}{\sigma}$$
$$\xi_2 \gg \xi_H \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \quad (\text{ assumption })$$

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}_E}{\sqrt{-g^E}} = -\frac{1}{2}R(g^E) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2\xi_2}(\partial_{\mu}\tau)^2 - V_E(\chi,\tau)$$

 $V_E(\chi,\tau) \approx V_I(\tau) \left(1 - 2\hat{R}e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\chi} - 2(1-\hat{R}^2)e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\chi} \right), \quad V_I(\tau) \equiv \frac{\lambda_H \tau^4 + \lambda_{\sigma H} \tau^2 + \lambda_{\sigma}}{4(\xi_2 + \xi_H \tau^2)^2}, \quad \hat{R} \equiv \frac{\xi_1}{(\xi_2 + \xi_H \tau^2)^2}$

H. M. Lee (2018), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Slow-roll Parameter Space

- For the large \hat{R} , tensor-to-scalar-ratio is also increased
- This model is testable at future CMB experiments



H. M. Lee (2018), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Stabilization from the Potential

The minimazation of the potential for the tau field

$$\begin{split} V_{E}(\chi,\tau) &\approx V_{I}(\tau) \left(1 - 2\hat{R}e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\chi} - 2(1 - \hat{R}^{2})e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\chi} \right), \quad V_{I}(\tau) \equiv \frac{\lambda_{H}\tau^{4} + \lambda_{\sigma H}\tau^{2} + \lambda_{\sigma}}{4(\xi_{2} + \xi_{H}\tau^{2})^{2}} \\ &\text{for } \xi_{2} \gg \xi_{H} = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad (\tau = \phi/\sigma) \\ (1) \ \tau = \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_{\sigma H}}{2\lambda_{H}}}, \quad V_{I} = \frac{1}{4\xi_{2}^{2}} \left(\lambda_{\sigma} - \frac{\lambda_{\sigma H}^{2}}{4\lambda_{H}}\right) \quad : \quad \lambda_{H} > 0, \ \lambda_{\sigma H} < 0 \\ (2) \ \tau = 0, \quad V_{I} = \frac{\lambda_{\sigma}}{4\xi_{2}^{2}} \quad : \quad \lambda_{H} > 0, \ \lambda_{\sigma H} > 0 \\ (3) \ \tau = \infty, \quad V_{I} = \frac{\lambda_{H}}{4\xi_{H}^{2}} \quad : \quad \lambda_{H} < 0, \ \lambda_{\sigma H} < 0 \\ (4) \ \tau = 0 \ \text{or } \infty, \quad V_{I} = \frac{\lambda_{\sigma}}{4\xi_{2}^{2}} \ \text{or } \frac{\lambda_{H}}{4\xi_{H}^{2}} \quad : \quad \lambda_{H} < 0, \ \lambda_{\sigma H} > 0 \end{split}$$

O. Lebedev, H. M. Lee (2011), H. M. Lee (2018), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Unitarity Scale

For $\sigma, \phi \ll 1$, the kinetic term is field-independent
Recovering the unitarity up to the Planck scale

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Kin}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \xi_{1}^{2} \right) (\partial_{\mu} \sigma)^{2} \Rightarrow \chi \approx \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \xi_{1}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \sigma \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Kin}} \approx \frac{1}{\Lambda_{2}^{2}} \chi^{2} (\partial_{\mu} \chi)^{2} + \cdots$$

$$\overset{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{Mal}}}{\overset{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}_{\mathsf{In}_{\mathsf{In}}}}}}}}}{}}}{}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} \right$$

During Reheating

In the presence of the quartic inflaton potential

$$V_E \approx \frac{\lambda_{\sigma}}{9\xi_1^4} \chi^4, \quad \chi_c(t) = \chi_0(t) \operatorname{cn}\left(\omega(t)t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$
$$\mathscr{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\chi} \chi^4 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\chi H} \chi^2 h^2, \quad \lambda_{\chi} \equiv \frac{4\lambda_{\sigma}}{9\xi_1^4}, \quad \lambda_{\chi H} \equiv \frac{2\lambda_{\sigma H}}{3\xi_1^2}$$

$$m_{\chi}^{2}(t) = 3\lambda_{\chi}\chi_{c}^{2}(t), \quad m_{h}^{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\chi H}\chi_{c}^{2}(t)$$

T. Tenkanen et al (2016), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Decays of Inflaton Condensate

Inflaton condensate can decay to the perturbation and Higgs

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\chi_c} &= \Gamma_{\chi_c \to \chi\chi} + \Gamma_{\chi_c \to hh} \\ \Gamma_{\chi_c \to \chi\chi} &= 0.023 \lambda_{\chi}^{3/2} \chi_0 \\ \Gamma_{\chi_c \to hh} &= 0.002 \lambda_{\chi H}^2 \lambda_{\chi}^{-1/2} \chi_0 \end{split}$$

Reheating temperature is determined by the decay process

$$\frac{\pi^2 g_*(T_{\text{RH}})}{30} T_{\text{RH}}^4 = \frac{\Gamma_{\chi_c \to hh}}{\Gamma_{\chi_c}} \times \frac{\lambda_{\chi}}{4} \chi_0^4(t_{\text{dec}})$$
$$T_{\text{RH}} = (4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV}) \left(\frac{100}{g_*(T_{\text{RH}})}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\chi H}}{10^{-8}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt{\xi_2}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\chi_c \to hh}}{\Gamma_{\chi_c}}\right)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right)^{-3/4}$$

T. Tenkanen et al (2016), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Preheating from Higgs coupling

Klein-Gordon equation of the Higgs Fourier mode

$$\ddot{h}_{k} + 3H\dot{h}_{k} + \left(\frac{k}{a^{2}} + m_{h}^{2}(t)\right)h_{k} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow H_{k}^{\prime\prime} + \left(\kappa^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{\chi H}}{2\lambda_{\chi}}\operatorname{cn}^{2}\left(x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)H_{k} = 0$$
where $H_{k}(t) \equiv a(t)h_{k}(t), x \equiv \omega(t)t, \kappa^{2} \equiv k^{2}/(\lambda_{\chi}\chi_{0}^{2}a^{2})$

 The solution of the KG equation and the number of Higgs created during preheating

$$n_k \sim |H_k|^2 \sim e^{2\mu_k x}$$
 μ_k : Floquet index

A. D. Linde et al (1997), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Dominance of the Preheating

- Instability can be caused by the preheating process
- Preheating process can be dominant



A. D. Linde et al (1997), S. M. Choi, Y. J. Kang, H. M. Lee, K. Yamashita (2019)

Conclusion

- We have studied the dynamics of inflation models of a singlet scalar field with both quadratic and linear non-minimal couplings.
- We have discussed the impacts of the linear non-minimal coupling on various dynamics from inflation to reheating process.
- In this model, unitarity is ensured up to the Planck scale by the sizable linear non-minimal coupling.
- The inflaton field (σ) can be a decaying dark matter by considering the non-thermal production mechanism. (\rightarrow Yoo-Jin's talk today)