

Koichi Hamaguchi (University of Tokyo)

Summer Institute 2019 @SANDPINE, Gangneung, August 22, 2019

Based on

KH, N. Nagata, K. Yanagi, [<u>arXiv:1905.02991</u>] Phys.Lett. B795 (2019) 484

K. Yanagi, N. Nagata, KH, [<u>arXiv:1904.04667</u>]



Koichi Hamaguchi (University of Tokyo)

Summer Institute 2019 @SANDPINE, Gangneung, August 22, 2019

Based on

KH, N. Nagata, K. Yanagi, [<u>arXiv:1905.02991</u>] Phys.Lett. B795 (2019) 484

K. Yanagi, N. Nagata, KH, [arXiv:1904.04667]

1. WIMP DMs hit a neutron star (NS), and annihilate inside the NS.



1. WIMP DMs hit a neutron star (NS), and annihilate inside the NS.

2. Old and warm ($\sim 2000K$) NS = DM signal?!

C. Kouvaris 0708.2362,

G. Bertone+ 0709.1485, C. Kouvaris+ 1004.0586, A. de Lavallaz+ 1004.0629

+ many recent works: e.g., J. Bramante+ 1703.04043
 M. Baryakhtar+ 1704.01577
 N. Raj+ 1707.09442
 C.-S. Chen+ 1804.03409
 N. F. Bell+ 1807.02840
 D. A. Camargo+ 1901.05474
 N. F. Bell+ 1904.09803
 KH, N.Nagata, K.Yanagi 1905.02991
 R. Garani+ 1906.10145





1. WIMP DMs hit a neutron star (NS), and annihilate inside the NS.

2. Old and warm ($\sim 2000K$) NS = DM signal?!

3. But... old and warmer ($T \gg 2000K$) NSs are already observed!



1. WIMP DMs hit a neutron star (NS), and annihilate inside the NS.

- **2.** Old and warm ($\sim 2000K$) NS = DM signal?!
- **3. But...** old and warmer ($T \gg 2000K$) NSs are already observed!

In addition, a mechanism inherent in NS ("rotochemical heating") can explain them.





Reisengger, '94, Haensel, '92, Gourgoulhon, Haensel, '93, Fernandez, Reisenegger, '05,...... Yanagi, Nagata, KH, 1904.04667

- 1. WIMP DMs hit a neutron star (NS), and annihilate inside the NS.
- **2.** Old and warm ($\sim 2000K$) NS = DM signal?!
- **3. But...** old and warmer ($T \gg 2000K$) NSs are already observed!

In addition, a mechanism inherent in NS ("rotochemical heating") can explain them.

4. Question:

Can we really see the signal of the DM heating? If so, what is the condition for that?

O. Introduction

1.
$$C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma}$$

2. $C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{DM}}^{\text{heat}}$
3. $C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{rotochemical}}^{\text{heat}}$
4. $C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{rotochemical}}^{\text{heat}} + L_{\text{DM}}^{\text{heat}}$

- L_{γ} ' rotochemical • $-\nu$ dt
- 5. Summary

0. Introduction done **1.** $C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma}$ **NS Cooling 2.** $C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{DM}}^{\text{heat}}$

3.
$$C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{rotochemical}}^{\text{heat}}$$

4.
$$C \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{rotochemical}}^{\text{heat}} + L_{\text{DM}}^{\text{heat}}$$

5. Summary



5. Summary

For reviews, e.g., D.G.Yakovlev+, astro-ph/0402143, D.Page+, astro-ph/0508056, 1302.6626

1. NS Cooling

 $C\frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma}$



For reviews, e.g., D.G.Yakovlev+, astro-ph/0402143, D.Page+, astro-ph/0508056, 1302.6626



dominant process at late time











For reviews, e.g., D.G.Yakovlev+, astro-ph/0402143, D.Page+, astro-ph/0508056, 1302.6626



Neutrino emission

Superfuidity (pairing) plays important roles.

At $T < T_c$, Cooper pairing (p-p and n-n) occurs.

- Heat capacity C is suppressed.
- M.Urca luminosity $L_{\nu,MU}$ is suppressed.
- PBF occurs at $T < T_c$.
- It is also important for the "rotochecmical heating" (see below).



For reviews, e.g., D.G.Yakovlev+, astro-ph/0402143, D.Page+, astro-ph/0508056, 1302.6626

1. NS Cooling



The minimal cooling scenario can explain many NS temperature observations.

D.Page+, astro-ph/0403657, M.E.Gusakov+, astro-ph/0404002, D.Page+, 0906.1621



D. Page et al. / Nuclear Physics A 777 (2006) 497-530



5. Summary

2. NS Heating by DM



C. Kouvaris 0708.2362,

G. Bertone+ 0709.1485,

2. NS Heating by DM



C. Kouvaris 0708.2362,

G. Bertone+ 0709.1485, C. Kouvaris+ 1004.0586,

+ many recent works:

N. Raj+ 1707.09442

C.-S. Chen+ 1804.03409

N. F. Bell+ 1807.02840

N. F. Bell+ 1904.09803

R. Garani+ 1906.10145





 ${\bf v}_{\chi} \sim 1$ at NS surface

- -> It is also sensitive to inelastic scattering (e.g., pure-Wino: $\chi^0 + N \rightarrow \chi^- + N'$) or other velocity-suppressed scatterings.
- It can also probe light DM (<< 1 GeV).</p>
 -> cf. Talk by Tongyan Lin.

 \mathbf{M} In principle, it can go beyond the neutrino floor.



2. NS Heating by DM



C. Kouvaris 0708.2362,

G. Bertone+ 0709.1485,



O. Introduction



5. Summary

• Modified Urca (dominant process at $T > T_c$)

$$\begin{cases} n+N \to p+e^-+N+\bar{\nu}_e \\ p+N+e^- \to n+N+\nu_e \end{cases} \quad (N=p \ or \ n)$$

• In the minimal cooling, β -equilibrium is assumed.

$$\Gamma_{n \to p+e} = \Gamma_{p+e \to n} , \qquad \mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e .$$



• Modified Urca (dominant process at $T > T_c$)

$$\begin{cases} n+N \to p+e^-+N+\bar{\nu}_e \\ p+N+e^- \to n+N+\nu_e \end{cases} \quad (N=p \ or \ n)$$

• In the minimal cooling, β -equilibrium is assumed.

$$\Gamma_{n \to p+e} = \Gamma_{p+e \to n}$$
, $\mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e$.



However, β-equilibrium is NOT maintained in rotating pulsars!
 A.Reisenegger [astro-ph/9410035]

Key: Spin-down of pulsar rotation

Pulsar spin-down

Spin-down: pulsar is rotating, and its rotation is gradually slowing down $P \sim 10^{-3} - 1 \text{ s}$ $\dot{P} \sim 10^{-20} - 10^{-13}$

• Spin-down is caused by the magnetic dipole radiation

$$\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = -k\Omega^3 \longrightarrow \Omega(t) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{P_0^2 + 2P\dot{P}t}}$$
$$k \propto B^2 \propto P\dot{P}$$
$$B \sim 3.2 \times 10^{19} (P\dot{P}/s)^{1/2} \,\mathrm{G}$$



slide thanks to K.Yanagi.

• Modified Urca (dominant process at $T > T_c$)

$$\begin{cases} n+N \to p+e^-+N+\bar{\nu}_e \\ p+N+e^- \to n+N+\nu_e \end{cases} \quad (N=p \text{ or } n) \end{cases}$$

• In the minimal cooling, β -equilibrium is assumed.

$$\Gamma_{n \to p+e} = \Gamma_{p+e \to n}$$
, $\mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e$.



However, β-equilibrium is NOT maintained in rotating pulsars!

A.Reisenegger [astro-ph/9410035]

Key: Spin-down of pulsar rotation

-> change of would-be equilibrium number-density
 (determined by the balance among gravity, pressure, centrifugal forces)
 -> change of chemical potential

-> μ_n > μ_p + μ_e . (M.Urca is too slow to catch up.)

• The deviation from β -equilibrium heats the NS.

$$L_{\text{rotochemical}}^{\text{heat}} = \int dV \left(\mu_n - \mu_p - \mu_e \right) \left(\Gamma_{n \to p+e} - \Gamma_{p+e \to n} \right) > 0.$$

"Rotochemical heating" (nothing special, just normal physics!)



• Again, the superfluidity (pairing gap) plays an important role.



• Again, the superfluidity (pairing gap) plays an important role.



Rotochemical heating begins when $\eta_{\ell} > \Delta$. [Petrovich & Reisenegger, 0912.2564]

- Recently, we have updated the calculation, including for the first time
 - Monomial both neutron superfulidity and proton superconductivity
 - **M** with radius dependence
 - **M** with temperature dependence
 - *M* with angular dependence (for neutron triplet pairing)

simultaneously. (K. Yanagi, N. Nagata, KH, [arXiv:1904.04667])

- Recently, we have updated the calculation, including for the first time
 - South neutron superfulidity and proton superconductivity
 - with radius dependence
 - with temperature dependence
 - \mathbf{M} with angular dependence (for neutron triplet pairing)

simultaneously. (K. Yanagi, N. Nagata, KH, [arXiv:1904.04667])

$$\begin{split} C \frac{dT^{\infty}}{dt} &= -L_{\nu}^{\infty} - L_{\gamma}^{\infty} + L_{H}^{\infty} \\ \hline U_{\nu,M} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV Q_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\nu,M} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV Q_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\nu,M} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV Q_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV Q_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta \Gamma_{M,N\ell} e^{2\Phi(r)} \\ \hline U_{\mu} &= \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} \sum_{N=n,p} \int dV q_{\ell} \cdot \Delta P_{\mu} \cdot \Delta P_{$$



The rotochemical heating can explain the old and warm NSs.

O. Introduction



5. Summary

4. DM heating vs. Rotochemical heating

$$C\frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\rho} \frac{heat}{rotochemical} + L_{DM}^{heat} \qquad \text{KH, N. Nagata, K. Yanagi, [1905.02991]}$$



P = 1s, $\dot{P} = 10^{-15}$



4. DM heating vs. Rotochemical heating

$$C\frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\gamma}^{\text{heat}} + L_{\text{DM}}^{\text{heat}}$$

KH, N. Nagata, K. Yanagi, [<u>1905.02991</u>]



P = 1s, $\dot{P} = 10^{-15}$

 $\underline{P_0}$: initial rotation period is the key parameter.

 \bullet For a short P_0 , DM heating effect is invisible.

•For a long P_0 , DM heating effect is visible!



4. DM heating vs. Rotochemical heating



ullet For large enough P_0 , DM signal is visible.

Recent studies suggest P_0 can indeed be very large. (> 100ms). [cf. references in 1905.02991.] Currently no NS with such a low T is observed, but just because it is difficult to observe them.

• Conversely, discovery of a NS with $T < 2000 {\rm K}$ will exclude many DM models, such as Wino DM.





 We studied NS temperature evolution in the presence of both rotochemical heating and DM heating.

$$C\frac{dT}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{rotochemical}}^{\text{heat}} + L_{\text{DM}}^{\text{heat}}$$

and found that DM heating effect is indeed visible for a large initial rotation period $P_0\,.$

Future works

- application to concrete DM models.
- vs. other heating mechanisms. (cf. D.Gonzalez, 1005.5699)
- observational feasibility.

Advertisement: Cosmology / Astroparicle Physics Workshop at Tokyo in March 2020.

• (tentative title) "New Directions in Cosmology"

- March 25-27 (or 24-27), 2020. (Pencil it on your calendar!)
- At Tokyo U., Hongo campus.
- Organizers:
 K.Hamaguchi (Co-chair), T.Moroi (Co-chair),
 S.Iso, S.Matsumoto, T.Melia, J.Menendez, K.Nagamine,
 N.Nagata, K.Nakayama, M.Yamaguchi, T.Yanagida.



observational feasibility

- See e.g., the discussion in M.Baryakhtar+, 1704.01577.
- O(1) old and cold NSs can be at d = 10 pc.
- Radiation from a DM-heated NS there results in a spectral flux density of O(1) nanoJansky (nJy) at wavelength $\nu^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \ \mu m$.
- Maybe within the sensitivity of the upcoming telescopes such as the JWST, TMT, and E-ELT.



M.Baryakhtar+, 1704.01577