\*Axion cold dark matter

# **Probing dark matter and baryogenesis by SKA-like and LISA-like experiments**

S 기초과학연구원

**Institute for Basic Science** 

Axion  $\rightarrow$  Photon

Fa Peng Huang (黄发朋)

### **IBS-CTPU**

(move to Washington University in St. Louis from next Tuesday.)

Summer Institute 2019 @ Gangneung, Korea 22th August, 2019

m a ~ m γ

# Outline

# >Research motivation

- >Indirect search for pseudo scalar (axion) cold dark matter by SKA-like experiments
- ➢Indirect search for scalar dark matter and baryogenesis by LISA-like & CEPC-like experiments.
- **Summary and outlook**

# Motivation

Whenever we see this cosmic pie, we are always confused: what is the nature of the dark matter (DM) & the baryon asymmetry of the universe? A lot of experiments have be done to unravel these long-standing problems. However, there is no signals of new physics at LHC and dark matter direct search. This situation may just point us towards new approaches, especially (my personal interest) Radio telescope experiments (SKA, FAST, GBT...) & Laser Interferometer experiments (LISA, Tianqin/Taiji...)

I will focus on new approaches to explore for two popular (pseudo) scalar DM: axion-like particles and scalar dark matter in scalar extended model.





#### The Square Kilometre Array (SKA)



Early science observations are expected to start in 2020 with a partial array.

credit: SKA website

#### The Square Kilometre Array (SKA)





Organisations from 13 countries are members of the SKA Organisation – Australia, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Italy, New Zealand, Spain, South Africa, Sweden, The Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

#### Early science observations are expected to start in 2020 with a partial array.

credit: SKA website

# **Powerful SKA experiments**

High sensitivity: SKA surveys will probe to sub-micro-Jy levels The extremely high sensitivity of the thousands of individual radio receivers, combining to create the world's largest radio telescope will give us insight into many aspects of fundamental physics

- >How do galaxies evolve? What is dark energy?
- Strong-field tests of gravity using pulsars and black holes
- >The origin and evolution of cosmic magnetism
- >Probing the Cosmic Dawn
- >The cradle of life

Flexible design to enable exploration of the unknown, such as axion DN,
credit: SKA website

SKA can also helps to explore the evolution history of the universe around 100 MeV, dark matter.

Pulsar timing signal from ultralight scalar DM (probe fuzzy DM by SKA) JCAP 1402 (2014) 019, A. Khmelnitsky, V. Rubakov

#### The Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST)



1061 days in operation since 25th Sep. 2016

#### The Green Bank Telescope (GBT)



GBT is running observations roughly 6,500 hours each year

credit:GBT website

#### Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA)



Launch in 2034 or even earlier

# **Powerful LISA experiments**

The true shape of Higgs potential (Exp: complementary test with CEPC)(FPH,et.al,Phys.Rev. D93

(2016) no.10, 103515, Phys.Rev. D94 (2016) no.4, 041702)

- Baryon asymmetry of the universe (baryogenesis)
   Gravitational wave (Exp: LISA 2034)
- **DIVERSIGNATION OF STREED OF STREET DESCRIPTION OF STREET OF STREE**
- > Asymmetry DM

(The cosmic phase transition with Q-balls production mechanism can explain the baryogenesis and DM simultaneously, where constraints on DM masses and reverse dilution are significantly relaxed. FPH, Chong Sheng Li, Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.9, 095028)

LISA in synergy with CEPC helps to explore the evolution history of the universe at several hundred GeV temperature, DM and baryogenesis. **Complementary of particle and wave experiments** 

**Particle approach** we can build more powerful colliders, such as planned CEPC/SppC, FCC etc.



#### Wave approach

GW detectors can test Higgs potential as complementary approach. (LISA launch 2034)

# **I.Typical pseudo scalar DM: Explore the axion cold DM**

Axion or axion-like particle motivated from strong CP problem or string theory is still one of the most attractive and promising DM candidate.

We firstly study using the SKA-like experiments to explore the resonant conversion of axion cold DM to radio signal from magnetized astrophysical sources, such as neutron star, magnetar and pulsar.

FPH, K. Kadota, T. Sekiguchi, H. Tashiro, Phys.Rev. D97 (2018) no.12, 123001, arXiv:1803.08230



FPH, K. Kadota, T. Sekiguchi, H. Tashiro, Phys.Rev. D97 (2018) no.12, 123001

Radio telescope search for the resonant conversion of cold DM axions from the magnetized astrophysical sources

# Three key points:

- ➤Cold DM is composed of non-relativistic axion or axion-like particles, and can be accreted around the neutron star
- Neutron star (or pulsar and magnetar) has the strongest position-dependent magnetic field in the universe
- >Neutron star is covered by magnetosphere and photon becomes massive in the magnetosphere

#### Quick sketch of the neutron star size



neutron star white dwarf Earth

10<sup>15</sup> g cm<sup>-3</sup>

Strong magnetic field in the magnetosphere of Neutron star, Pulsar, Magnetar: the strongest magnetic field in the Universe

- 1. Mass: from 1 to 2 solar mass
- 2. Radius:  $r_0 \sim 10 20 \text{km}$ The typical diameter of neutron star is just half-Marathon.
- 3. Strongest magnetic field at the surface of the neutron star  $B_0 \approx 10^{12} - 10^{15} \text{G}$  $B_0 \sim 3.3 \times 10^{19} \sqrt{P\dot{P}}$  G

P is the period of neutron star
4. Neutron star is surrounded by large region of magnetosphere, where photon becomes massive.

Alfven  $r \sim 100 r_0$ 



# **Axion-photon conversion in magnetosphere**

The Lagrangian for axion-photon conversion the magnetosphere  $L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}a\partial^{\mu}a - m_{a}^{2}a^{2}) + L_{int} + L_{QED}$ Massive Photon: In the magnetosphere of the neutron star, photon obtains the  $L_{QED} = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{90m_{e}^{4}}\frac{7}{4}(F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^{2} + ...$ effective mass in the magnetized plasma.

$$m_{\gamma}^2 = Q_{\text{pl}} - Q_{\text{QED}}$$
  
 $Q_{\text{plasma}} = \omega_{\text{plasma}}^2 = 4\pi \alpha \frac{n_e}{m_e}$ 



 $\frac{Q_{\rm pl}}{Q_{\rm QED}} \sim 5 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{\mu \rm eV}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{10^{12} \rm G}{B} \frac{1 \rm sec}{P}$ 

For relativistic axion from neutron star, QED mass dominates and there is no resonant conversion.

$$L_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{4} g \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} a = -g \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} a,$$

#### Axion-photon conversion in external magnetic field

G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1237 (1988)



# **Axion-photon conversion in magnetosphere**

## The axion-photon conversion probability

$$p_{a\to\gamma} = \sin^2 2\tilde{\theta}(z) \sin^2[z(k_1 - k_2)/2]$$

$$\sin 2\tilde{\theta} = \frac{2gB\omega}{\sqrt{4g^2B^2\omega^2 + (m_\gamma^2 - m_a^2)^2}}$$

$$m_{\gamma}^2(r) = 4\pi\alpha \frac{n_e(r)}{m_e}$$

(r) Here, for non-relativistic axion cold dark matter, the QED mass is negligible compared to plasma mass.

$$n_e(r) = n_e^{\text{GJ}}(r) = 7 \times 10^{-2} \frac{1s}{P} \frac{B(r)}{1 \text{ G}} \frac{1}{\text{cm}^3}$$
  $B(r) = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-3}$ 

Here, we choose the simplest electron density distribution and magnetic field configuration to clearly see the physics process.

Thus, the photon mass is position r dependent, and within some region the photon mass is close to the axion DM mass.

## **The Adiabatic Resonant Conversion**

# The resonance radius is defined at the level crossing point $m_{\gamma}^2(r_{\rm res}) = m_a^2$ At the resonance, $|m_{\gamma}^2 - m_a^2| \ll gB\omega$ and $m_{1,2}^2 \approx m_a^2 \pm gB\omega$ .

Within the resonance region, the axion-photon conversion rate is greatly enhanced due to large mixing angle.

$$\sin 2\tilde{\theta} = \frac{(2gB\omega/m_{\gamma}^2)}{\sqrt{(4g^2B^2\omega^2/m_{\gamma}^4) + (1 - (m_a/m_{\gamma})^2)^2}}}$$
N.B. Only for the non-relativistic  
axion, the resonant  
conversion can be achieved.  
For relativistic axion,  
QED effects make it impossible.

The adiabatic resonant conversion requires the resonance region is approximately valid inside the resonance width. Coherent condition is also needed.

$$\delta r > l_{\text{osc}} = \frac{2\pi}{|k_1 - k_2|_{\text{res}}} \qquad |d\ln f/dr|_{\text{res}}^{-1} > 650[m] \left(\frac{m_a}{\mu \text{eV}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{v_{\text{res}}}{10^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1/10^{10} \text{ GeV}}{g}\right)^2 \\ \times \left(\frac{10^{12} \text{ G}}{B(r_{\text{res}})}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\mu \text{eV}}{\omega}\right)^2$$

Adiabatic resonant conversion is essential to observe the photon signal.

Radío Sígnal

Line-like radio signal for non-relativistic axion conversion:  $\nu_{\text{peak}} \approx \frac{m_a}{2\pi} \approx 240 \frac{m_a}{\mu eV} \text{MHz}$  1 GHz ~ 4  $\mu eV$ The FAST covers 70 MHz - 2 CHz, the SVA covers 50 MHz

The FAST covers 70 MHz–3 GHz, the SKA covers 50 MHz– 14 GHz, and the GBT covers 0.3–100 GHz, so that the radio telescopes can probe axion mass range of 0.2–400 µeV

MMV	$\mathcal{M}$		easing energy	$m_a: 0.2$	$\rightarrow 400$	$\mu \mathrm{eV}$
0.0001 nm 0.01	nm 1	— Increas	ing wavelength —— 1000 nm 0.01 cm	$   \nu: 0.07 $ 1 cm 1 m	$\rightarrow 100$	GH
Gamma rays	X-rays	Ultra- violet	Infrared	Radio waves		
				Radar TV FM	AM	



# **Signal:** For adiabatic resonant conversion, and the photon flux density can be estimated to be of order

 $S_{\gamma} = \frac{dE/dt}{4\pi d^{2}\Delta\nu} \sim 4.2\mu Jy \frac{\left(\frac{r_{\rm res}}{100 \text{ km}}\right)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm sun}}\right)\left(\frac{\rho_{a}}{0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^{3}}\right)\left(\frac{10^{-3}}{v_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{g}{1/10^{10} \text{ GeV}}\right)\left(\frac{B(r_{\rm res})}{10^{12} \text{ G}}\right)\left(\frac{\omega}{\mu \text{ eV}}\right)\left(\frac{\mu \text{ eV}}{m_{a}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{d}{1 \text{ kpc}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{m_{a}/2\pi}{\mu \text{ eV}/2\pi}\right)\left(\frac{v_{\rm dis}}{10^{-3}}\right)},$ 

where *d* represents the distance from the neutron star to us. The photon flux peaks around the frequency  $\nu_{\text{peak}} \sim m_a/2\pi$ , and  $\Delta \nu \sim \nu_{\text{peak}} v_{\text{dis}}$  represents the spectral line broadening around this peak frequency due to the DM velocity dispersion  $v_{\text{dis}}$ .

# **Sensitivity:** The smallest detectable flux density of the radio telescope (SKA, FAST, GBT) is of order

 $S_{\min} \approx 0.29 \mu J y \left(\frac{1 \text{ GHz}}{\Delta B}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{24 \text{ hrs}}{t_{\text{obs}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{10^3 \text{ m}^2/\text{K}}{A_{\text{eff}}/T_{\text{sys}}}\right)$ 

Radío Sígnal

**Signal: For a trial parameter set,**  $B_0 = 10^{15}$  G,  $m_a = 50 \ \mu eV$ P = 10 s,  $g = 5 \times 10^{-11}$  GeV<sup>-1</sup>,  $r_0 = 10$  km,  $M = 1.5M_{sun}$ , d = 1 kpc

satisfies the constraints of the adiabatic resonance conditions and the existed axion search constraints produces the signal  $S_r \sim 0.51 \mu Jy$ .

**Sensitivity:**  $S_{\min} \sim 0.48 \mu Jy$  for the SKA1  $S_{\min} \sim 0.016 Jy$  for the SKA2 with 100 hour observation

SKA-like experiment can probe the axion DM and the axion mass which corresponds to peak frequency. More detailed study taking into account astrophysical uncertainties and more precise numerical analysis is still working in progress.



FPH, K. Kadota, T. Sekiguchi, H. Tashiro, Phys.Rev. D97 (2018) no.12, 123001

# **Comments on the radio probe of axion dark DM**

 Astrophysical uncertainties: the magnetic profile, DM density and distribution, the velocity dispersion, the plasma mass, background including optimized bandwidth
 There are more and more detailed and comprehensive

studies after our first rough estimation on the radio signal:

arXiv:1804.03145 by Anson Hook, Yonatan Kahn, Benjamin R. Safdi, Zhiquan Sun where they consider more details. They also consider extremely high DM density around the neutron star, thus the signal is more stronger. arXiv:1811.01020 by Benjamin R. Safdi, Zhiquan Sun, Alexander Y. Chen arXiv:1905.04686,Thomas, D.P.Edwards,Marco Chianese, Bradley J. Kavanagh,

Samaya M. Nissanke, Christoph Weniger, where they consider multi-messenger of axion DM detection. Namely, using LISA to detect the DM density around the neutron star, which can determine the radio strength detected by SKA.

**3.** Recently, GBT already have some data on the observation of neutron star, and Safdi's group is doing the analysis of the data to get some constraints.

4. More precise study are needed ...

# **Comments on the radio probe of axion DM**

arXiv:1804.03145 by Anson Hook, Yonatan Kahn, Benjamin R. Safdi, Zhiquan Sun where they consider more details.

Besides the normal DM density, they also consider the extremely high DM density around the neutron star, thus the signal is more stronger.

arXiv:1811.01020 by Benjamin R. Safdi, Zhiquan Sun, Alexander Y. Chen



#### **Multi-Messenger Signal of QCD Axion DM**

arXiv:1905.04686, Thomas, D.P.Edwards, Marco Chianese, Bradley J. Kavanagh, Samaya M. Nissanke, Christoph Weniger How can we use next

generation gravitational wave and radio telescopes to find DM? This work is a combination of two classes of well-studied works:

- radio signal search of the 1. axion DM by SKA-like experiments
- 3. gravitational wave detection of DM density by LISA-like experiments.

These two different works are combined as multi-messenger high DM density surrounded the intermediate massive

black hole and neutron star binary.

signals through the extremely  $\rm FIG.~1.~Illustration$  of the IMBH-DM-NS system. The presence of an axion DM halo around the intermediate mass black hole (IMBH) produces a phase shift in the strain of the GW signal and radio emission due to its conversion into photons in the neutron star (NS) magnetosphere. a and  $\gamma$ represent an axion and radio photon respectively.





FIG. 3. Projected sensitivity to the axion-photon coupling from radio observations. Sensitivity curves of the SKA telescope (100 hours of observation) to the axion-photon coupling as a function of the axion mass for two different inspiral orbits,  $r = 6 \times 10^{-9}$  pc (dashed) and  $r = 3 \times 10^{-9}$  pc (solid), and two different IMBH-DM-NS system locations, d = 0.01 Gpc (dark red) and d = 1.00 Gpc (light red). Here, we assume  $\alpha = 7/3$  for the slope of the DM spike. The predicted range of parameters for the QCD axion are represented by the blue band, while the vertical gray bands show the current and future ADMX limits [22, 23].

# **Generalisation to dark photon DM case**

arXiv:1908.xxxxx by Haipeng An, FPH, Jia Liu, and Wei Xue

# Recently, people realise that light dark photon can be a promising DM candidate.

We study how to detect this dark photon DM by radio telescope, like SKA following the same idea as the axion DM case.

### We can obtain the strongest constraints.

P. W. Graham, J. Mardon and S. Rajendran, Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 10, 103520 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.103520 [arXiv:1504.02102 [hep-ph]].

R. T. Co, A. Pierce, Z. Zhang and Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D 99, no. 7, 075002 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.075002 [arXiv:1810.07196 [hep-ph]].

P. Agrawal, N. Kitajima, M. Reece, T. Sekiguchi and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1810.07188 [hep-ph].
A. J. Long and L. T. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 99, no. 6, 063529 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.063529 [arXiv:1901.03312 [hep-ph]].

## **Generalisation to dark photon DM case**

arXiv:1908.xxxxx by Haipeng An, FPH, Jia Liu, and Wei Xue

 $\kappa F'_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}/2.$ 



Preliminary constraints from SKA phase 1

II. Typical scalar DM: Explore the scalar DM and baryogenesis We study a simple model for the successful DM and EW baryogenesis with dynamical CP-violating source. Based on arXiv:1905.10283, Phys. Rev. D100, 035014 (2019)FPH, Eibun Senaha and work in progress with Eibun Senaha 1908.xxxxx

$$V_{0}(\Phi,\eta) = \mu_{1}^{2}\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi + \mu_{2}^{2}\eta^{\dagger}\eta + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}(\eta^{\dagger}\eta)^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)(\eta^{\dagger}\eta) + \lambda_{4}(\Phi^{\dagger}\eta)(\eta^{\dagger}\Phi) + \left[\frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}(\Phi^{\dagger}\eta)^{2} + \text{h.c}\right],$$
  
The new lepton Yukawa interaction is

 $-\mathcal{L}_{Y} \ni y_{ij} \bar{\ell}_{iL} \eta E_{jR} + m_{E_i} \bar{E}_{iL} E_{iR} + \text{h.c.}$ vector-like lepton  $(E_i)$ The SM augmented by an inert Higgs doublet, right-handed
neutrinos and vector-like leptons has been studied from the
viewpoints of DM and neutrino physics, or DM and  $(g-2)\mu$  anomaly, or DM and inflation D. Borah, S. Sadhukhan and S. Sahoo, Phys. Lett. BThe set of the set

no. 5, 055012 (2019).

# EW baryogenesis in a nutshell



A long standing problem in particle cosmology is the origin of baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU).

After the discovery of the Higgs boson by LHC and gravitational waves (GW) by aLIGO, EW baryogenesis becomes a timely and testable scenario for explaining the BAU.

See Chang Sub Shin's lectures for more details.

 $\eta_B = n_B/n_\gamma = 5.8 - 6.6 \times 10^{-10}$  (CMB, BBN)

**EW** baryogenesis: **SM technically** has all the three elements for baryogenesis, (Baryon violation, **C** and **CP** violation, **D**eparture from thermal equilibrium or CPT violation) but not enough.

>



### SFOPT in extended Higgs sector motivated by baryogenesis, dark matter or other new physics



Extension of the Higgs sector can easily produce strong first-order phase transition even for 125 GeV Higgs boson motivated from EW baryogenesis, dark matter or other new physics

# Phase transition GW as a by-product of EW baryogenesis



E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 30, 272 (1984) C. J. Hogan, Phys. Lett. B 133, 172 (1983); M. Kamionkowski, A. Kosowsky and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2837 (1994)) **EW** phase transition **GW** becomes more interesting and realistic after the discovery of **Higgs by LHC and GW** by LIGO.

SFOPT can drive the plasma of the early universe out of thermal equilibrium, and bubbles nucleate during it, which will produce gravitational waves (GW).

# Mechanisms of GW from phase transition

**Bubble collision:** well-known source from 1983

**Turbulence in the plasma fluid:** a fraction of the bubble wall energy converted into turbulence.

Sound wave in the plasma fluid: after the collision, a fraction of bubble wall energy converted into motion of the fluid (and is only later dissipated). New mechanism of GW : sound wave

Mark Hindmarsh, et al., PRL 112, 041301 (2014);



0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, respectively. Points on the red lines

IV

The has ps tric di neutro tion fr where

> [5][5]

> > [5][5]

[6]

[6

[6]

electro with th

FIG. [8

[6]<sup>3</sup> At  $\stackrel{[6]}{\text{ev}}$ qua temp EWs

Sufficient CP-violati

electric dipole mome FIG. 2: Shaded region: for  $f/b = 500 \text{ GeV}, m_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$ and  $m_s = 80$ , 130 GeV (upper and lower plots), the  $\Delta \Theta_t$ Current EDM data put severe constraints on many bary ogenesis as an end of the constraints of the constraint models. For example, ACME Collaboration, so the shell result of the shell to explicit examples with fixed  $\lambda_m =$ 

 $|\mathbf{de}| < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} \text{ cm} \cdot \text{e} \text{ at 90\% } C_{\text{ted}}^{\text{mtch}} (1 \text{ at the vertical bar of the vertical line marks})$ 

, has ruled out a large portion of the EP-wiołating parameter space for many baryogenesis models.  $|d_{\rho}| < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} \text{ cm} \cdot \text{e}$  (ACME 2014)

Large enough **CP-violating source** for successful **EW** baryogenesis

model [48, 53] or the SM with a low cut-off [29-32]. In Strong tension in most cases aready at standard of

renormalizable operators in the Higgs potential, through a complex phase between the two Higgs WEVS OVERY strong phase transitions (induced by tree-level barriers) are not possible in that ContextOsinge, Son Dany to the case with a singlet, the second Higgs doublet cannot acquire a VEV prior to the EWPhy by definition. (To

EWBG scenario with previous studies of EWBG in non-

supersymmetric models, such as the two-Higgs doublet

 $|d_e| \sim close ~ clos$ 

#### How to alleviate this tension of the second an additional singlet: the two Higgs doublets violate CP;

the singlet strengthens the EWPhT.) Although the nonsupersymmetric 2HDM does not address the hierarchy problem, it is worth noting that it can also arise as the **Question:** How to alleviate the tension between sufficient CP violation for successful electroweak baryogenesis and strong constraints from current EDM measurements ?

Answer: Assume the CP-violating coupling evolves with the universe. In the early universe, CP violation is large enough for successful baryogenesis. When the universe evolves to today, the CP violation becomes negligible !

Large enough CP-violating source in the early universe for successful EW baryogenesis

allevíate by assuming the CP-violating source

is time dependent

Dynamical/cosmological evolve

Negligible CP-violating source at current time to avoid strong EDM constraints

- I. Baldes, T. Konstandin and G. Servant, arXiv:1604.04526,
- I. Baldes, T. Konstandin and G. Servant, JHEP 1612, 073 (2016)
- S. Bruggisser, T. Konstandin and G. Servant, JCAP 1711, no. 11, 034 (2017)

Differences between relative velocity and bubble wall velocity for baryogenesis and GW in deflagration case.

We choose reasonably small relative velocity  $\tilde{v}_b \sim 0.2$ , which is favored by the EW baryogenesis to guarantee a sufficient diffusion process in front of the bubble wall, and large enough bubble wall velocity  $v_b \sim 0.5$ to produce stronger phase transition GW (Roughly speaking, for deflagration case, a larger bubble wall velocity  $v_b$  gives stronger GW)

$$\tilde{v}_b(0.2) < v_b(0.5) < c_s(\sqrt{3}/3)$$

• J. M. No, Phys. Rev. D 84, 124025 (2011)

# Deflagration means the bubble wall velocity is small the velocity of sound wave.

#### **Dynamical CP violation** can be produced during first-order phase transition process in the early universe induced by the complex Yukawa coupling.

For example, T=100 GeV, the new doublet scalar could

have a complex VEV during the strong first-order phase transition in some parameter spaces, and then CP violating VEV is transferred to the baryon asymmetry production process through the new lepton Yukawa interaction with the following diagram.



At late time, T=0, the CP violation disappears:  $arphi_1=v, \, arphi_2=arphi_3=0$  .

#### **Strong First-order EW phase transition**

The daisy-improved 1-loop effective potential is

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = V_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + V_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi};T) + V_{\text{daisy}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi};T), \qquad (4.2)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$  and

$$V_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{1}^{2}\varphi_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{2}^{2}(\varphi_{2}^{2} + \varphi_{3}^{2}) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{8}\varphi_{1}^{4} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{8}(\varphi_{2}^{2} + \varphi_{3}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4})\varphi_{1}^{2}(\varphi_{2}^{2} + \varphi_{3}^{2}) \\ + \frac{1}{4}\left[R_{5}\varphi_{1}^{2}(\varphi_{2}^{2} - \varphi_{3}^{2}) - 2I_{5}\varphi_{1}^{2}\varphi_{2}\varphi_{3}\right]$$

$$V_{1}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}; T) = \sum_{i} n_{i}\left[V_{CW}(\bar{m}_{i}^{2}) + \frac{T^{4}}{2\pi^{2}}I_{B,F}\left(\frac{\bar{m}_{i}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\right], \qquad (4.3)$$

$$P_{igy}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}; T) = -\sum_{i} n_{i}\frac{T}{\left[(\bar{M}_{i}^{2})^{3/2} - (\bar{m}_{i}^{2})^{3/2}\right]}, \qquad (4.4)$$

$$V_{\text{daisy}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi};T) = -\sum_{\substack{j=h,H,A,H^{\pm},G^{0},G^{\pm},\\W_{L},Z_{L},\gamma_{L}}} n_{j} \frac{T}{12\pi} \Big[ (\bar{M}_{j}^{2})^{3/2} - (\bar{m}_{j}^{2})^{3/2} \Big],$$
(4.4)

with  $i = h, H, A, H^{\pm}, G^0, G^{\pm}, W, Z, t$  and  $R_5 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5)$  and  $I_5 = \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_5)$ .  $V_{CW}$  and  $I_{B,F}(a^2)$  are defined by

# **CP-violating source term**

Using the Closed-Time-Path (CTP) formalism, the CP-violating source of the SM lepton i induced by the vector-like lepton j may be cast into the form

$$S_{\ell_i}(X) = \frac{|y_{\ell_i E_j}|^2}{2} v_\eta^2(X) \dot{\theta}(X) H(m_i, \Gamma_i, m_j, \Gamma_j)$$

$$H(m_i, \Gamma_i, m_j, \Gamma_j) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk \ k^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{\omega_i \omega_j} \operatorname{Im} \left[ (-1 + n_i + n_j) \frac{\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{E}_j + k^2}{(\mathcal{E}_i + \mathcal{E}_j)^2} + (-n_i^* + n_j) \frac{\mathcal{E}_i^* \mathcal{E}_j - k^2}{(\mathcal{E}_i^* - \mathcal{E}_j)^2} \right]$$



## **Diffusion equations**

The relevant particle number densities are 
$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= n_{t_L} + n_{b_L}, \quad T = n_{t_R}, \quad B = n_{b_R}, \\ L_2 &= n_{\nu_{\mu_L}} + n_{\mu_L}, \quad E_R = n_{E_R}, \\ H &= n_{\Phi^+} + n_{\Phi^0} + n_{\eta^+} + n_{\eta^0}. \end{aligned}$$

The set of Boltzmann equations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu} j_{Q_{3}}^{\mu} &= -\Gamma_{Y_{t}}(\xi_{Q_{3}} + \xi_{H} - \xi_{T}) + \Gamma_{M_{t}}(\xi_{T} - \xi_{Q_{3}}) - 2\Gamma_{ss}N_{5}, \\ \partial_{\mu} j_{T}^{\mu} &= \Gamma_{Y_{t}}(\xi_{Q_{3}} + \xi_{H} - \xi_{T}) - \Gamma_{M_{t}}(\xi_{T} - \xi_{Q_{3}}) + \Gamma_{ss}N_{5}, \\ \partial_{\mu} j_{L_{2}}^{\mu} &= -\Gamma_{Y_{\mu E}}(\xi_{L_{2}} - \xi_{H} - \xi_{R}) + \Gamma_{M_{\mu E}}^{+}(\xi_{R_{2}} + \xi_{L_{2}}) + \Gamma_{M_{\mu E}}^{-}(\xi_{R_{2}} - \xi_{L_{2}}) + S_{\mu_{L}}, \\ \partial_{\mu} j_{E_{R}}^{\mu} &= \Gamma_{Y_{\mu E}}(\xi_{L_{2}} - \xi_{H} - \xi_{R}) - \Gamma_{M_{\mu E}}^{+}(\xi_{R_{2}} + \xi_{L_{2}}) - \Gamma_{M_{\mu E}}^{-}(\xi_{R_{2}} - \xi_{L_{2}}) - S_{\mu_{L}}, \\ \partial_{\mu} j_{H}^{\mu} &= \Gamma_{Y_{t}}(\xi_{Q_{3}} + \xi_{H} - \xi_{T}) + \Gamma_{Y_{\mu E}}(\xi_{L_{2}} - \xi_{H} - \xi_{R}) - \Gamma_{H}\xi_{H}, \end{aligned}$$

#### CP-conserving source term

$$\Gamma_{\ell_i}(X) = \Gamma_{\ell_i}^+(X)(\mu_{E_j} + \mu_{\ell_i}) + \Gamma_{\ell_i}^-(X)(\mu_{E_j} - \mu_{\ell_i})$$

$$\Gamma_{\ell_i}^\pm(X) = \frac{|y_{\ell_i E_j}|^2}{2T} v_{\eta}^2(X) \int_0^\infty \frac{dk \ k^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{\omega_i \omega_j} \operatorname{Im}\left[ (\tilde{n}_j \mp \tilde{n}_i) \frac{\mathcal{E}_j \mathcal{E}_i + k^2}{\mathcal{E}_j + \mathcal{E}_i} + (\tilde{n}_j \mp \tilde{n}_i^*) \frac{\mathcal{E}_j \mathcal{E}_i^* - k^2}{\mathcal{E}_j - \mathcal{E}_i^*} \right]$$

$$D_Q n_B''(\bar{z}) - v_w n_B'(\bar{z}) - \theta(-\bar{z}) \mathcal{R} n_B(\bar{z}) = \theta(-\bar{z}) \frac{N_g}{2} \Gamma_B^{(\text{sym})} n_L(\bar{z})$$

### **Dark matter**

$$\begin{split} m_h^2 &= \lambda_1 v^2, \\ m_{H^{\pm}}^2 &= \mu_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 v^2, \\ m_H^2 &= \mu_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) v^2 = m_{H^{\pm}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_4 + \lambda_5) v^2, \\ m_A^2 &= \mu_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5) v^2 = m_{H^{\pm}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_4 - \lambda_5) v^2, \end{split}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\lambda_4 + \lambda_5 < 0$  and  $\lambda_5 < 0$ :

In this simple scenario, the CP-even particle H can be the dark matter candidate.

Further, if  $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 < 0$ 

T parameter is zero and  $\, m_{H^\pm} \, = \, m_A \,$ 

Planck 2018

 $\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2 = 0.11933 \pm 0.00091$ 

As for the DM direct detection, the recent XENON1T data put a strong constraint on the DM-nucleon spinindependent elastic scatter cross-section  $\sigma_{\rm SI}$ . For instance, the most excluded region at 90% confidence level reaches  $\sigma_{\rm SI} = 4.1 \times 10^{-47} \text{ cm}^2$  with the DM mass of 30 GeV. Therefore, for a light DM, the direct detection data favor the so-called Higgs funnel region where the DM mass is close to half of the Higgs mass, namely,  $m_H \simeq m_h/2 \simeq 63$  GeV. In this model, the cross-section  $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$   $10^{-1}$  $\sigma_{\rm SI}$  is approximated as

$$\sigma_{\rm SI} \simeq \frac{\lambda_L^2 f_N^2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m_N^2}{m_H m_h^2}\right)^2 \int_{10^{-45}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-46}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-45}} \int_{10^{-47}}^{0^{-47}} \int_{10^{-47$$

WIMP mass  $[GeV/c^2]$ 

where  $\lambda_L = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5$  and  $f_N \simeq 0.3$ . To evade the current DM direct detection constraints in this Higgs funnel region,  $\lambda_L \lesssim 0.003$  is required



As a benchmark scenario, we consider

 $m_E = (105 - 125) \text{ GeV}, |y_{\mu E}| = 1.0, 0.5 \text{ and } 0.3.$ Allowed by LHC data, Lorenzo Calibbi, Robert Ziegler, Jure Zupan, 1804.00009 (JHEP)

The DM relic abundance is always satisfied by judiciously choosing  $m_H$  and  $\lambda_L$ . For instance, for  $m_E = 110$  GeV and  $|y_{\mu E}| = 0.5$ , the choice of  $m_H = 62.55$  GeV and  $\lambda_L =$ 0.001 gives  $\Omega_{\rm DM}h^2 = 0.12$  and  $\sigma_{\rm SI} = 8.7 \times 10^{-48}$  cm<sup>2</sup>. Here, we set  $m_A = m_{H^{\pm}} = 300$  GeV and  $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ , though they are not sensitive to the results.

#### **Direct measurements of vector-like lepton mass**



FIG. 6. The cross-section  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma/Z \rightarrow E^+E^-)$  as a function of  $m_E$ . We take  $\sqrt{s} = 240$  GeV (blue) and 250 GeV (orange), respectively.

Indirect search by 
$$Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
  
 $\Delta g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^L = \frac{3|y_{\mu E}|^2}{32\pi^2} \Big[ \tilde{F}_3(m_E, m_H, m_A) \qquad \text{An important missing observable} \\ + \sum_{\phi=H,A} \Big\{ \Big( -\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2 \Big) F_2(m_E, m_{\phi}) \\ + s_W^2 F_3(m_E, m_{\phi}) \Big\} R_{\mu/e} = \frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-)} \\ \text{deviation of } R_{\mu/e} \text{ from the SM value as} \\ \Delta R_{\mu/e} \equiv \frac{R_{\mu/e} - R_{\mu/e}^{SM}}{R_{\mu/e}^{SM}} \qquad \text{Further generalisation of this enhancement} \\ \text{effects from the aspects of symmetry breaking} \\ \approx \frac{2g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^{L,SM} \text{Re}(\Delta g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^L) + |\Delta g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^{L}|^2}{|g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^{L,SM}|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^{L,SM} \text{Re}(\Delta g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^L) + |g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^{R,SM}|^2}{|g_{Z\bar{\mu}\mu}^{L,SM}|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac$ 



To satisfy the EW strong first-order phase transition (baryogenesis) and DM it requires the large mass splitting of the scalar mass spectrum in the same multiplet, which leads to significant enhancement of the Z boson decay. Tera-Z can be a new indirect search to explore DM and baryogenesis.

# Indirect search by GW signals

Complementary test by GW signals, precise measurements of Z boson decay, HZ cross section measurements and direct production of di-muon plus MET.



#### Indirect search by future g-2 precise measurements



# In general



Schematic phase transition GW spectra for SKA-like and LISAlike experiments to detect DM and baryogenesis FPH, Xinmin Zhang, Physics Letters B 788 (2019) 288-294 \*Axion cold dark matter

Conclusion The SKA-like and LISA-like experiments (more and more experiments, SKA, FAST, GBT, LISA, Tianqin/Taiji) can provide new indirect approaches or even multi-messengers to explore the nature of dark matter and baryon. asymmetry of the universe.

**Thanks for your attention!** 

**Comments and collaborations are welcome!**