

Frequency Scanning Interferometry and its application for the internal monitoring of the cold mass position inside the cryostat

M. Sosin, H. Mainaud-Durand, T. Dijoud, F. Micolon, V. Rude

HL-LHC MQXF Workshop 2019.10.19



Outline

FSI ETALON system to monitor HL-LHC cold mass position

- FSI short reminder
- Crab-cavity FSI system and performance
- Inner-triplet FSI system
- Dipole test
 - Integration of FSI instrumentation
 - Initial cool down tests
 - Survey results
 - Insulated prism concept, initial tests
 - Heat in-leak optimization, insulated prism design, test results
- FSI interface design, HL-LHC triplet integration
- Other tests and validations
- Summary



Reminder – FSI ETALON system

FSI – absolute interferometric distance measurement





- Absolute distance measurement
- 8 channels expandable to 100
- Uncertainty (95%) = 0.5 μm/m
- Traceable
- Measurement distance: 0.2 20 m
- Fiber length up to several hundreds meters
- Length given by the ratio of measurement interferometer to reference interferometer fringes





Crab cavity FSI monitoring system





Crab cavity position monitoring systems for SPS test

Frequency Scanning Interferometry (FSI) – main system

- Cavity position/orientation known thanks to absolute distance measurements between cryostat FSI heads and cavity Corner Cube Reflectors (CCR)
- System for HL-LHC use (cold, vacuum and radiation compatible)











Brandeis Camera Angle Monitoring (BCAM)

- Cavity position/orientation known thanks to reflective targets angular position measured by BCAM cameras (triangulation method)
- System used for FSI measurements crosscheck - only for SPS prototype alignment validation

CCD lens light source himage f' pivot point CAV1 CAV2





Crab cavity FSI performance at warm

• FSI, BCAM systems precision better than 50μm (1σ), crosschecked with AT401 laser tracker measurements



Vertical position (relatif with respected to AT401 measurement)



HILUMI

V. Rude

Crab cavity FSI performance – cool down

System allows to follow the cooled crab cavity position/orientation

19 2 * * Da =

Precision better than 50μm (1σ)

SURVEY





V. Rude

- Several cool-down cycles performed
- **Micrometric resolution of objects moves** • observation (relative monitoring)
- FSI deployed in SPS crab cavity test stand

Crab cavities – long term monitoring







HL-LHC Inner triplet – FSI integration layout





HL-LHC Inner triplet – FSI integration layout



Dipole test





4 FSI mounts + collimators + 4 Viewports ISOK DN63 + 4 Low-cost Alu reflectors

> Ø3.74 ISO 63





4 Crab Cavity Feedthroughs + 4 CCR targets













Dipole test - instrumentation integration











Dipole initial tests (Phase 1)

- Cooldown 1 (70K): 4-8/08/2017
- Cooldown 2 (70K): 10-15/08/2017
- Cooldown 3 (70K, 20K): 12/09/2017-11/10/2017

Several different approach verified:

- Nitrogen rinsing of cryomodule
- Cold mass/thermal shield -> different cooling order





Dipole test – Aug-Oct 2017- conclusions

- FSI Etalon system use very sensitive to cryocondensation effect
- Cryo-condensation strongly dependent on cryostat cleanliness/vacuum quality and cooling scheme





Cryo-condensation - how to cope

At the residual pressure of the insulation vacuum every species naturally present in air condense at or below 180K.

→ If we manage to keep the reflectors at ~200K, no permanent cryo-condensation should occur! ☺

However the reflector should stay rigidly attached to a cold mass cooled down to 1.9K...

-272 -200 -180 -150 10 10 · = Point de fusio 10 10 10 10 vapeur (Pa) 10 10 ep 10 Vide isolation ~1E-6 mbar 10 ~1E-4 Pa 🚆 10 10 10 10 10 H2~4.5K 6 7 8 9 10 N2 ~ 28K O2 ~ 35K 7 8 9 10 CO2 ~ 90K H2O ~ 180K 3 5 6 3 4.10 *Ref. Les Techniques de l'ingénieur. (B 4110-5) Température (K)

Hyp.: we assume that the vacuum vessel pressure is made of 100% of each specie to get the corresponding condensation temperature (i.e. Vacuum pressure = vapor pressure). This is conservative.



Température (°C)

Cryo-condensation - how to cope

How can we achieve a "heating" of the probes up to ~200K :

□ Temporary heating – by heating the probe from the outside of the view port (with adequate wavelength) one could in principle temporarily "evaporate" the layer of condensed crystals.
 → technical complexity – not the preferred solution for now.

□Permanent heating – by making sure that the probe stays at >=200K, no cryo-condensation should ever take place in principle. This could be achieved using the power radiated from the vacuum vessel (which is 300K "hot").





Dipole test – initial validation of insulated prism concept (Phase 2)

- Exchange of upper prism to prototype ones:
 - Thermally insulated prism with radiation interception surface
 - Prism shielded from the top (to check if particles are freezing due to gravity falling)

INSULATED REFLECTOR

Radiation





- Cooldown 4 (80K): 27/11/2017-8/12/2017
 - Screen cold, the cold mass heated and cooled down afterwards
 - After several days reference prism and top shielded prism signal lost while measuring using ETALON FSI
 - No problem with insulated prism intensity during whole time of test (ETALON FSI measurement)

INSULATED REFLECTOR



REFERENCE REFLECTOR





Dipole case in-leak on the cold mass

On the dipole test, cryo-condensation issues lead us to use an isolated target support to keep an adequate temperature. A radiative plate is added to intercept radiative in-leak from the V. Vessel to heat up the reflector.





Dipole case in-leak on the cold mass

2 & 3) Flux conducted by the insulating support & flux self-radiated by the "hot" insulating support (FE simulations)

Probe temperature (K)	Power conducted to CM (W)	Power self radiated (W)	Power in-leak to CM (W)
150	0.02	0.01	0.022
200	0.026	0.03	0.032
250	0.044	0.062	0.056



Power to CM = power conducted + 1/5*power radiated

Cold mass thermal in-leaks summary

	Power (mW)
Residual opening	60
Heat conducted by support	56
Total heat to CM	116

The FSI system is fitted with 12 holes per magnet – 48 holes per triplet

 \rightarrow 48*0.116=5.57W (note: this is valid as long as all TS holes are Φ 40mm)

By taking the approx. operating costs issued by TE/CRG $1W@1.8K \sim 5kCHF$ for 10 years operation

→ Thermal in-leaks additional operating cost is 28kCHF for 10 years operation per triplet string
E. Micolon

FSI thermal load budget discussed with S. Claudet 20.03.2018 and assessed as acceptable



200K insulated prism prototype design and integration (Phase 3)









8 insulated targets were then attached to the magnet for testing in February 2018.

200K insulated prism test results after 3 weeks of cool-down (Phase 3)









Target H – thermally insulated (0.5"CCR + Φ4mm S-LAH79 ball). No condensation visible



Target G – thermally insulated (0.5"CCR + Φ4mm S-LAH79 ball). Light condensation on CCR, no condensation on S-LAH79 ball



200K insulated prism test results after 3 weeks of cool-down





Phase 3 – measurements results

Results of cooling down (phase 3)

- 0 / 4 : CCR or optical retroreflectors
- 7(8)/8 : isolating targets visible (one out of fitting tolerance)

 \rightarrow Max residual : 7 µm

	293 K → 4 K	
Tx : radial (mm)	-0.001 mm	+/- 0.066 mm
Ty : longitudinal (mm)	0.825 mm	
Tz : vertical (mm)	-1.078 mm	+/- 0.023 mm
Rx : pitch (rad)	0.000003 rad	+/- 0.000004 rad
Ry : yaw (rad)	-0.001050 rad	+/- 0.001282 rad
Rz : roll (rad)	-0.000022 rad	+/- 0.000003 rad
F : scale factor	-0.002889	+/- 0.000015



Coherent with the simulation



Insulated prism – rigid prototype design and integration (Phase 4)

- Phase 4 to fully validate metrological strategy of IT cold mass FSI measurements
 - Use of new rigid insulated target support
 - Check of cost optimized reflectors (n=2 glass balls)
 - Check of new technology of multi-target FSI









Fiducials on the plate Fiducials around the mount

Insulated prism – rigid prototype design and integration (Phase 4)

Phase 4 current status

- Fiducialisation of the dipole cold mass, cryostat performer mid of September
- Cool-down started Begin of October
- For now the dipole is at 4K (no cryo-condensation)









Latest results – Phase 4 cool down



	October 2018 PHASE 4 293 K → 4 K		
Tx : radial (mm)	0.016 mm	+/- 0.138 mm	
Ty : longitudinal (mm)	0.266 mm	+/- 0.126 mm	
Tz : vertical (mm)	-0.975 mm	+/-0.045 mm	
Rx : pitch (rad)	0.000012 rad	+/- 0.000009 rad	
Ry : roll (rad)	0.000781 rad	+/- 0.002861 rad	
Rz : yaw (rad)	0.000020 rad	+/- 0.000005 rad	
F : scale factor	-3109 ppm	+/- 17ppm	
Sag (radial)	-0.069 mm	+/- 0.024 mm	
Sag (vertical	0.034 mm	+/- 0.042 mm	

Successful test at 4 K of the new support of targets! Cryo-condensation issue solved!



HL-LHC triplet FSI integration



- Final design of HL-LHC IT FSI target after finalisation of Phase 4 test (begin 2019 and onwards)
- Cold mass interface is a 316L machined plate, to be welded on cold-mass surface
- Integration within cryostat ongoing (space reserved, cryostat flanges done, MLI/thermal shield to be finalized)



HL-LHC triplet FSI assembly

Target support welding

- Targets support positioning with help of integrated fiducial for 0.5" CCR (or CCR adapter)
- Coordinates will be delivered in documentation
- 4 point TAG welded to cold mass surface



HL-LHC triplet FSI assembly

- Thermal shield hole positioning approach under design and integration
 - Bigger hole in the thermal shield to allow line of sight considering lower machining tolerances of thermal shield
 - Fine adjustable plate point welded (or riveted) during cryostating
 - Plate positioning with help of delivered reference tooling of with use of fiducials integrated on plate (under discussion)





Pre-test achieved on FSI

Validation of targets through irradiation & vacuum and cold tests





Liquid nitrogen test:

- No damage of targets
- No loss of performance

Radiation tests of BMRs :

- Ceramic BMRs and collimators validated with TID of 10MGy
- BMR mirror centricity lost ~20µm

Validation of measurement chain through cold tests

- No visible deformation of the feethrough
- Decrease of intensity but no impact on the measurer
- Comparison with AT401 measurements within 20 μm





Reflectors validation and optimisation



- Cheap, hollow retroreflector already used in dipole test
- Refractive index ≈2 glass ball as a alternative to hollow retroreflectors or replicated reflectors (~40€ vs. ~2k€ vs. 300 €)
- Balls glasses behaviour under investigation (impact on sweeping interferometry, laser tracker measurements, ...)
- Radiation tests of hollow and glass reflectors started in August 2018



Summary

- The special design of targets (temperature optimized) allows for use ETALON FSI without cryo-condensation effect, with thermal load at reasonable level
- Dipole measurement results confirm
- Further works on optimization of the insulated targets and their integration within HL-LHC triplet cryostat ongoing
 - Final HL-LHC target design after Phase 4 dipole test





Thank you!



Spare slides

Crab cavity FSI monitoring system

FSI solution – system configuration

- 6 targets per cavity is required to calculate cavity position and orientation (least mean square method).
 Cavity fiducialisation data and measured distances between all FSI heads and centres of CCR targets are used
- Current design assumes 4 targets per flange (8 Corner Cube Retroreflectors [CCR] per cavity) to provide measurements redundancy
- Dressed cavity have to be fiducialized (known cavity geometry w.r.t. refernece targets)
- Positions of the FSI heads have to be measured





200K insulated prism design, heat in-leak from the thermal shield openings optimization

Hyp. For the dipole – the hole are Φ 40mm on the center

The maximum heat radiated from the environment :

 $P_{in} = \sigma. \varepsilon_E. S_{opening}. (T_{ambient}^4 - T_{rad_surface}^4)$

Assuming ε_E =0.9, the power inlet is ~0.5W per Φ 40mm hole (~400W/m²)



Heat in-leak vs emissivity



The ratio of emissivity between the thermal shield inner surface and the MLI covering the CM is ~5.

Thus we assume that the flux leaked in the hole will be absorbed at : 80% by the thermal shield inner surface 20% by the cold mass

→ ~0.1W per Φ 40 hole on the cold mass (~80W/m²)



Dipole case in-leak on the cold mass



The MLI collerette attempts to :

- \rightarrow focus as much radiation as possible on the heat interception plate.
- → close the direct view between the cold mass and the vacuum vessel to limit the in leak

The remaining cold mass in-leaks are :

- 1) Residual opening between TS and heat intercept
- 2) Flux conducted by the insulating support
- 3) Flux self-radiated by the "hot" insulating support

Residual opening between TS and heat intercept (With a Φ 40 TS opening and Φ 40 interception plate):

Thermal in-leak vs. hole-to-intercept distance

→ The closer the colerette is from the thermal shield the better

...but we should make sure to avoid permanent contact between the colerette and the inner thermal shield however.





Latest results – Phase 4 cool down



