Status of Quadrant-type Waveguidedamped Structure Fabrication and Single-Cell SW Cavity Test

Tetsuo ABE

<tetsuo.abe@kek.jp>

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Japan

CLIC Workshop 2019 @ CERN

2019-01-21

Contents

 Status of Quadrant-type Waveguide-damped Structure (TD24_QUAD) Fabrication

2. Status of Single-Cell SW Cavity Test



Disk-type v.s. Quadrant(or Half)-type Disk-type





A damped disk

Disks stacked and bonded

Advantages

- ✓ Machining by turning
- \checkmark Very smooth surface (Ra = ~30 nm)
- \checkmark Shallow machining damage (< 1 μ m)

Disadvantages

- ✓ Ultra-high-precision machining of dozen of disks \rightarrow Stack and bonding
- \checkmark Great care needed
- ✓ Surface currents flow across disk-to-disk junctions.

Quadrant-type





A Quadrant

Three Quadrants

Advantages

- Surface currents do not flow across any bonding junction.
- ✓ Simple machining by five-axes milling machines
- ✓ Simple assembly process
 - \rightarrow Possibility of significant cost reduction

Disadvantages

- \checkmark Not very smooth surface (Ra = $\sim 0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$)
- \checkmark Deep machining damage (10 20 μ m)
- Virtual leak from quadrant-to-quadrant junctions
- Field enhancements at the corners of quadrants



Demonstration of the High-Gradient Performance with a single-cell SW cavity

(SW: Standing Wave)



scribed in [13]. (c) A thermocouple is attached.

Good high-gradient performance demonstrated Multi-cell traveling structure

Tetsuo ABE (KEK)

120

E_{acc} [MV/m]

CLIC specification

110

Postmortem of SD1_QUAD-R04G01_K1



CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

Contact surface





Cavity Design based on CLIC-G*

45000.00 40000.00 35000.00

5000.00

0.00

Made by Jiayang Liu (THU) and Alexej Grudiev (CERN)



From the Cavity Design to the 3D Mechanical Drawing



A Quadrant Machined with Ultraprecision Milling

By U-Corporation



RF Measurement before EBW (1/3)

With the four quadrants clamped

(EBW: Electron-Beam Welding)



CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

RF Measurement before EBW (2/3)

Measured by T. Takatomi and T. Abe



CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

Tetsuo ABE (KEK)

RF Measurement before EBW (3/3)



→11.4084 GHz (vac)
→11.4074 GHz (vac&30degC)

~10 MHz increase Expected through EBW

EBW on the side surfaces

By TAIYO EB tech





Four Quadrants Bonded with the EBW

(<u>EBW</u>: <u>E</u>lectron-<u>B</u>eam <u>W</u>elding)



RF Measurement after the EBW

Measured by T. Takatomi and T. Abe



Before and after the the EBW



CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

Before and after the EBW





Change in Transverse Size Measured using a CMM_(Carl Zeiss UPMC 850 CARAT)

By T. Takatomi (KEK / Mechanical Engineering Center)



After brazing tuner pins...



Most of the tuner pins dropped!

First time to be processed at a high temperature



Longitudinal Size Measurement after the Final Machining





Updated Schedule on TD24_QUAD

① Milling of quadrants to be completed by March 2019

- (2) RF & size meas. \rightarrow EBW \rightarrow RF & size meas. by April 2019
- ③ Brazing of tuner pins, couplers, etc. by May 2019
- ④ High-gradient test to be started before summer 2019



DUT: SLAC Full-Choke Cavity see S

(For details, see <u>SLAC-PUB-15145</u>)



Fields in Full-Choke cavity,normalized to 10 MW of input powerV. Dolgashev





Fields in Full-Choke cavity, normalized go 10 MW of wall losses

V. Dolgashev



Surface electric field, $E_{max} = 319 \text{ MV/m}$ Surface magnetic field $H_{max} = 526 \text{ kA/m}$

Surface Poynting vector $P_{max} = 5.7 \times 10^{13} \text{ W/m}^2$

Motivation



BDR determined by ✓ Material hardness? ✓ Thermal stress?



Laser **Power-Density** Calibration based on Ablation Threshold



Laser **Power-Density** Calibration based on Ablation Threshold



1st irradiation on Point A



LIGHT WILL BE MEASURED BY

USING A HYPERSPECTRAL

Valery Dolgashev predicted that there should
be a clear threshold in the vacuum-pressure response, which corresponds to the ablation threshold.
THE SPECTRUM OF THE EMITTED

CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

Tetsuo ABE (KEK)

32

SEM images show pulse heating damage from the green laser.



SUMMARY

TD24_QUAD status

(EBW: Electron-Beam Welding)

- The fabrication had been successful until the EBW.
- Two of the four quadrants turned out not to be pure copper after the first high-temperature process of brazing.
 - Most probably CuZr
- We have decided to started over; need additional several months.
- High-gradient test to be started before summer 2019
- Full-choke single-cell cavity test with laser
 - Everything just ready for high-gradient test, including the high-power pulsed laser system
 - Compare BDRs with or without the laser irradiation for various irradiation positions

Other single-cell test cavities

- Two TD24-based damped cavities (with the disks brazed or diffusion-bonded) waiting to be tested
- Undamped cavities made of large-grain copper



CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

Backup Slides

Fields in Full-Choke cavity, normalized to 10 MW of input power

V. Dolgashev



Surface electric field, $E_{max} = 309 \text{ MV/m}$



Surface electric field, H_{max} = 510 MV/m





Qloaded = 4516.46 beta= 1.659, **Qo = 12009**

Measured (Blue) and calculated S11 (Red), 0.15 dB losses added to calculated S11., S11 HFSS= 0.24774

CLIC Workshop 2019 (2019-01-21)

Parameters of periodic structures

Name	A2.75-T2.0-Cu	A3.75-T1.66-Cu	A3.75-T2.6-Cu	A3.75-T2.6 FullChoke-Cu	A5.65-T4.6- Choke-Cu	A5.65-T4.6- Cu	T53VG3
Stored Energy [J]	0.153	0.189	0.189	0.471	0.333	0.298	0.09
Q-value	8.59E+03	8.82E+03	8.56E+03	13.5E+3	7.53E+03	8.38E+03	6.77E+03
Shunt Impedance [MOhm/m]	102.891	85.189	82.598	52.4	41.34	51.359	91.772
Max. Mag. Field [A/m]	2.90E+05	3.14E+05	3.25E+05	3.22E+5	4.20E+05	4.18E+05	2.75E+05
Max. Electric Field [MV/m]	203.1	268.3	202.9	203.3	212	211.4	217.5
Losses in a cell [MW]	1.275	1.54	1.588	2.501	3.173	2.554	0.953
a [mm]	2.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	5.65	5.65	3.885
a/lambda	0.105	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.215	0.215	0.148
Hmax*Z0/Eacc	1.093	1.181	1.224	1.215	1.581	1.575	1.035
t [mm]	2	1.664	2.6	2.6	4.6	4.6	1.66
Iris ellipticity	1.385	0.998	1.692	1.692	1.478	1.478	1
Peak Poynting vector [W/um^2]				21.4			

V. Dolgashev

