Observables & NP Scales @ Future (Lepton) Colliders

Shao-Feng Ge

(gesf02@gmail.com)

Kavli IPMU & UC Berkeley

2019-2-22

SFG, Hong-Jian He, Rui-Qing Xiao, JHEP 1610 (2016) 007 [arXiv:1603.03385] John Ellis, SFG, PRL 121 (2018) no.4, 041801 [arXiv:1802.02416] John Ellis, SFG, Hong-Jian He, Rui-Qing Xiao [arXiv:1902.06631]

SM Spectrum is Complete but SM Itself is NOT

• Force Mediators

- Gauge Forces Spin-1 Gauge Bosons
- Gravity Spin-2 Graviton (Planck Scale?)
- New Force Spin-0 Higgs Boson
- Deep understanding of Mass Generation
 - Yukawa Forces Hierarchy & Mixing (Flavor Symmetries?)
 - Discrete v.s. Continuous
 - Full v.s. Residual [1001.0940, 1104.0602, 1108.0964, 1308.6522]
 - hWW, hZZ, h $\gamma\gamma$ & hZ γ
 - Higgs Self-Interaction Forces h³ & h⁴ (concerns spontaneous EWSB and providing masses to all particles).
 True Self-Interactions – Exactly the Same Quantum # (Spin &

Charge

- These new forces associated with spin-0 Higgs were **Never Seen Before**. Needs to test directly.
- Even within SM, we are strongly motivated to quantitatively test Higgs Couplings!

Why CEPC? Qing-Hong Cao, CLHCP18 Precision = Discovery

$m_W m_Z \sin \theta_W$	EW symmetry breaking Global symmetry of scalar potential Parity violation: weak isospin
Γ_Z	3 active neutrinos
m_t	Fermion mass origin (the only natural quark)
Γ_t	Equivalence theorem
m _H	Vacuum stability
Γ_H	fundamental or composite, or

We, bump hunters, are also excellent painters of Nature's details.

... excluded (ruled out) consistent with the SM . -

We discover ... is not supported at 95% CL

We discover a tight constraint on NP ...

Higgs Factory @ 250 GeV

- LHC tells us: h(125) is SM-like \rightarrow Dream Case for Experiments!
- ILC250 & CEPC produces h(125) via $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh, \nu\bar{\nu}h, e^+e^-h$
- Indirect Probe to New Physics. 5/ab with 2 detectors in $10y \rightarrow 10^{6}$ Higgs \rightarrow Relative Error $\sim 10^{-3}$.





Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Inputs: Event Rate \rightarrow Cross Section & BR

ΔM_h	Γ _h	$\sigma({\sf Zh})$		$\sigma(uar{ u}$ h	$) \times \operatorname{Br}(h -$	ightarrow bb)	
5.0 MeV	2.6%	0.5%			2.8%		
D	ecay Moo	de σ	(Zh	$) \times Br$	Br		
h	ightarrow bb		0.2	21%	0.54%		
h	ightarrow cc		2.	5%	2.5%		
h	ightarrow gg		1.	3%	1.4%		
h	$\rightarrow \tau \tau$		1.	0%	1.1%		
h	$\rightarrow WW$		1.	1%	1.2%		
h	$\rightarrow ZZ$		4.	3%	4.3%		
h	$\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$		9.	0%	9.0%		
h	$\rightarrow \mu\mu$		1	7%	17%		
h	\rightarrow invisi	ole		-	0.14%	latest 1σ uncertain KITPC WS, July 2	ty 8, 20

SM Predictions

Further improvement with ML [poster by Gexing Li]

Br($b\bar{b}$)Br($c\bar{c}$)Br(gg)Br($\tau\bar{\tau}$)Br(WW)Br(ZZ)Br($\gamma\gamma$)Br($\mu\bar{\mu}$)Br(inv)58.1%2.10%7.40%6.64%22.5%2.77%0.243%0.023%0Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Unit, 2019-2-22Observables & NP Scales @ Future (Lepton) Colliders

• New physics appears @ high energy scale & can only be probed Indirectly

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SM}} + \sum_{ij} rac{\mathsf{y}_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)}{\mathbf{\Lambda} \sim 10^{14} \text{GeV}} (\overline{L}_i \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}) (\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}^{\dagger} L_j) + \sum_i rac{\mathsf{c}_i}{\mathbf{\Lambda}^2} \mathcal{O}_i \,.$$

• SM Gauge Invariance is respected

Higgs	EW Gauge Bosons	Fermions
$\mathcal{O}_{H} = rac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} H ^2)^2$	$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = g^2 \mathbf{H} ^2 W^a_{\mu u} W^{a\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{LL}^{(3)} = (\overline{\Psi}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a \Psi_L) (\overline{\Psi}_L \gamma^\mu \sigma^a \Psi_L)$
$\mathcal{O}_{T} = \frac{1}{2} (H^{\dagger} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} H)^2$	$\mathcal{O}_{BB} = g^2 H ^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{L}^{(3)} = (iH^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu}H)(\overline{\Psi}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}\Psi_{L})$
	$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = gg'H^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}HW^{a}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{L} = (iH^{\dagger} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} H)(\overline{\Psi}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_{L})$
Gluon	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{HW}} = ig(D^{\mu}\mathrm{H})^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}(D^{\nu}\mathrm{H})W^{a}_{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{R} = (iH^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} H)(\overline{\psi}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{R})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{g}} = g_s^2 \mathbf{H} ^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu}$	${\cal O}_{\rm HB} = i g' (D^\mu {\rm H})^\dagger (D^\nu {\rm H}) B_{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{H} ^2 \overline{F}_L H f$

Existing EWPO & Future HO

• Observables: EWPO (PDG14) + HO (preCDR)

Observables	Central Value	Relative Error	SM Prediction
α	$7.2973525698 \times 10^{-3}$	3.29×10^{-10}	-
G _F	$1.1663787 \times 10^{-5} \text{GeV}^{-2}$	5.14×10^{-7}	-
Mz	91.1876GeV	$2.3 imes10^{-5}$	-
Mw	80.385GeV	$1.87 imes 10^{-4}$	-
$\sigma[Zh]$	-	0.50%	-
$\sigma[\nu\bar{\nu}h]$	-	2.86%	-
$\sigma[\nu\bar{\nu}h]_{350\text{GeV}}$	-	0.75%	-
Br[WW]	-	1.2%	22.5%
Br[ZZ]	-	4.3%	2.77%
Br[bb]	-	0.54%	58.1%
Br[cc]	-	2.5%	2.10%
Br[gg]	-	1.4%	7.40%
$Br[\tau \tau]$	-	1.1%	6.64%
$Br[\gamma\gamma]$	-	9.0%	0.243%
$Br[\mu\mu]$	-	17%	0.023%

• Exclusion (95%) & Discovery (5 σ) Reach $G_{e, He, Xiao, 1603.03385}$

Sensitivities from Existing EWPO & Future HO



Ge, He, Xiao, 1603.03385

Enhancement from M_Z & M_W @ CEPC

Obsorvables	Relative Error						
Observables	Current	CEPC					
MZ	$2.3 imes10^{-5}$	$5.5 imes 10^{-6} \sim 1.1 imes 10^{-5}$					
M_W	$1.9 imes10^{-4}$	$3.7 imes10^{-5}\sim 6.2 imes10^{-5}$					

Table: The M_Z & M_W @ CEPC [Z.Liang, "Z & W Physics @ CEPC" & preCDR].

Scheme-Independent Analysis

$\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{c_i}}$ [TeV]	\mathcal{O}_H	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}$	\mathcal{O}_{WW}	$\mathcal{O}_{\textit{BB}}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\textit{WB}}$	\mathcal{O}_{HW}	\mathcal{O}_{HB}	$\mathcal{O}_{LL}^{(3)}$	$\mathcal{O}_L^{(3)}$	\mathcal{O}_L	\mathcal{O}_R	$\mathcal{O}_{L,q}^{(3)}$	$\mathcal{O}_{L,q}$	$\mathcal{O}_{R,u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{R,d}$	\mathcal{O}_g
HO+EWPO	2.74	10.6	6.38	5.78	6.53	2.16	0.604	8.58	12.1	10.2	8.78	2.06	0.568	0.393	0.339	43.8
$+M_z$	2.74	10.7	6.38	5.78	6.54	2.16	0.604	8.62	12.1	10.2	8.78	2.06	0.568	0.393	0.339	43.8
$+M_W$	2.74	21.0	6.38	5.78	10.4	2.16	0.604	15.5	16.4	10.2	8.78	2.06	0.568	0.393	0.339	43.8
+Mz.w	2.74	23.7	6.38	5.78	11.6	2.16	0.604	17.4	18.1	10.2	8.78	2.06	0.568	0.393	0.339	43.8

Table: Impacts of the projected M_Z and M_W measurements at CEPC on the reach of new physics scale $\Lambda/\sqrt{|c_j|}$ (in TeV) at 95% C.L. The Higgs observables (including $\sigma(\nu \bar{\nu} h)$ at 350 GeV) and the existing electroweak precision observables are always included in each row. The differences among the four rows arise from whether taking into account the measurements of M_Z and M_W or not. The second (third) row contains the measurement of M_Z (M_W) alone, while the first (last) row contains none (both) of them. We mark the entries of the most significant improvements from M_Z/M_W measurements in red color.

Ge, He, Xiao, 1603.03385

Enhancement from Z-Pole Observables @ CEPC

Table: The Z-pole measurements at CEPC [Z.Liang, "Z & W Physics @ CEPC" & preCDR].

Ge, He, Xiao, 1603.03385

Z-Pole Observables are IMPORTANT for New Physics Scale Probe

\mathcal{O}_H	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}$	\mathcal{O}_{WW}	\mathcal{O}_{BB}	$\mathcal{O}_{W\!B}$	\mathcal{O}_{HW}	\mathcal{O}_{HB}	$\mathcal{O}_{LL}^{(3)}$	$\mathcal{O}_L^{(3)}$	\mathcal{O}_L	\mathcal{O}_R	$\mathcal{O}_{L,q}^{(3)}$	$\mathcal{O}_{L,q}$	$\mathcal{O}_{R,u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{R,d}$	\mathcal{O}_{g}
2.74	23.7	6.38	5.78	11.6	2.16	0.604	17.4	18.1	10.2	8.78	2.06	0.568	0.393	0.339	43.8
2.74	23.7	6.38	5.78	11.6	2.16	0.604	17.5	18.3	10.5	8.78	2.06	0.568	0.393	0.339	43.8
2.74	24.0	8.32	5.80	12.2	2.16	0.604	20.7	23.0	12.5	13.0	2.23	1.62	0.393	3.97	43.8
2.74	24.0	8.33	5.80	12.2	2.16	0.604	20.7	23.0	12.5	13.0	7.90	7.89	3.55	4.05	43.8
2.74	24.0	8.54	5.80	12.2	2.16	0.604	20.7	23.4	14.4	14.0	8.63	8.62	4.88	4.71	43.8
2.74	24.0	8.75	5.81	12.3	2.16	0.604	20.7	23.7	15.8	14.9	9.21	9.21	5.59	5.17	43.8
2.74	26.3	12.6	5.93	15.3	2.16	0.604	30.2	35.2	19.8	21.6	9.21	9.21	5.59	5.17	43.8

Table: Impacts of the projected Z-pole measurements at the CEPC on the reach of new physics scale $\Lambda/\sqrt{|c_j|}$ (in TeV) at 95% C.L. For comparison, the first row of this table repeats the last row of Table ??, as our starting point of this table. For the (n + 1)-th row, the first n observables are taken into account. In addition, the estimated M_Z and M_W measurements at the CEPC, the Higgs observables (HO), and the existing electroweak precision observables (EWPO) are always included for each row. The entries with major enhancements of the new physics scale limit are marked in red color.

Another factor of 2 enhancement from Z-Pole Observables

Sensitivity from EWPO+HO+Z-Pole



Ge, He, Xiao, 1603.03385

Yukawa-like Operators

• Dim-6 Yukawa-like Operators

$$\mathcal{O}_f \equiv |H|^2 \overline{F}_L H f_R$$

• Shifting Yukawa Couplings

$$y_f \rightarrow y_f + \frac{3c_f v^2}{2\Lambda^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}m_f}{v} + \frac{c_f v^3}{\sqrt{2}m_f\Lambda^2}$$

• Constraining New Physics Scales

$$\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{c_f}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{v^3}{\sqrt{2}\mathsf{m}_\mathsf{f}}\Delta\kappa_f}}\,.$$

Naive Expectations

$$N_f \propto y_f^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta \kappa_f \propto y_f^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Lambda \propto y_f^0$$

New Physics Scale via Yukawa-like Operators

Λ/	$\sqrt{ c_j }$ (TeV)	σ	CEPC	LHC	HL-LHC	ILC-250	ILC-500
	<i>b</i> quark	1.27%	13.2	3.87	5.12	6.89	15.2
	au lepton	1.33%	15.4	5.74	6.95	12.8	20.0
	c quark	1.75%	24.4	-	-	7.76	12.5
	μ lepton	8.59%	25.1	-	-	-	-
New Physics Scale ///[cj (TeV)	30 25 20 15 0 0						
	κ _b		Кc		κ _τ	κ _μ	

Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

TGC Constraints



Neutral TGC @ Lepton Colliders

- We have mentioned **dim-6** operators. What about **higher dimensional operators**?
- The $ZZ\gamma$ vertex can only arise at dim-8 level
- Perfect place for testing dim-8 operators:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}W} &= iH^{\dagger}\widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\rho}\{D_{\rho},D^{\nu}\}H+\text{h.c.},\\ \mathcal{O}_{B\widetilde{W}} &= iH^{\dagger}B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\rho}\{D_{\rho},D^{\nu}\}H+\text{h.c.},\\ \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}W} &= iH^{\dagger}\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\rho}\{D_{\rho},D^{\nu}\}H+\text{h.c.},\\ \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}B} &= iH^{\dagger}\widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\rho}\{D_{\rho},D^{\nu}\}H+\text{h.c.}, \end{split}$$

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}W}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{B\widetilde{W}}$
- $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}W}$ & $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}B}$ cannot contribute to $ZZ\gamma$ with on-shell Z & γ
- Only one independent operator!

John Ellis, SFG, Hong-Jian He, Rui-Qing Xiao, [arXiv:1902.06631]

Clear Signal @ Lepton Colliders

• The $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma$ process has clear final states & observables (θ, ϕ)



$$i\Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{Z\gamma Z^*}(q_1,q_2,q_3) = \operatorname{sign}(c_j) \frac{\nu M_Z(q_3^2 - M_Z^2)}{\Lambda^4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q_{2\beta},$$

Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Scattering Angle (θ) Distribution



Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Observables & NP Scales @ Future (Lepton) Colliders

Decay Plane Angle (ϕ) Distribution



Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Sensitivities



Summary

• SM is NOT complete although its spectrum is [DM?].

- Higgs Discovery is not just New Particle, but also New Force!
- Yukawa Force: Non-Trivial Mixing & Hierarchically Unnatural
- Higgs Self-Interaction Force: Radiatively Unnatural
- Precision = Discovery
- CEPC 10⁶ Higgs

۰

۵

- Precision Measurement
 - Higgs Coupling $\sim \mathcal{O}(1\%)$ Level
 - Higgs Self-Coupling \sim **30%** (?)
- New Physics Scales @ dim-6 level
 - Probe indirectly to 10 TeV (43 TeV for \mathcal{O}_g) from EWPO+HO
 - 35 TeV @ Z-Pole
 - 25 TeV for Yukawa-like Operators

• $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma$ for **nTGC** @ dim-8 level

Thank You!

• EW Parameters:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(\mathbf{SM})} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(r)} \left(1 + \frac{\delta \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Z}}}{M_{Z}} \right), \ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}}^{(\mathbf{SM})} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)} \left(1 + \frac{\delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}}}{G_{\mathbf{F}}} \right), \ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(\mathbf{SM})} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(r)} \left(1 + \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)$$

which can be denoted as

$$\mathbf{f^{(SM)}} \equiv \mathbf{f^{(r)}} + \delta \mathbf{f} \simeq \mathbf{f^{(r)}} \left(1 + \frac{\delta \mathbf{f}}{f}\right)$$

• Observables:

$$\mathcal{O} \equiv \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{f}^{(\mathsf{SM})}) + \overline{\delta \mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{f}^{(\mathsf{r})}) + \mathcal{O}'(f)\delta\mathbf{f} + \overline{\delta \mathcal{O}}$$

• Analytical χ^2 Fit:

$$\chi^2 \left(\delta \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}, \delta \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{F}}, \delta \alpha, \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{i}}}{\Lambda^2} \right) = \sum_j \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{j}}^{\mathsf{th}} \left(\delta \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}, \delta \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{F}}, \delta \alpha, \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{i}}}{\Lambda^2} \right) - \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{j}}^{\mathsf{exp}}}{\Delta \mathcal{O}_j} \right]^2,$$

Correction of Dim-6 \mathcal{O}_i to EWPO

• Fine-Structure Constant

$$rac{\widetilde{\delta lpha}}{lpha} \simeq rac{\delta lpha}{lpha} + 0.0111 \left(rac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{WW}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2} - rac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{WB}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2} + rac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{BB}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2}
ight)$$

Fermi Constant

$$\frac{\widetilde{\delta G_F}}{G_F} \simeq \frac{\delta G_F}{G_F} + 0.121 \left(\frac{c_{LL}^{(3)}}{\Lambda_{\rm TeV}^2} - \frac{c_L^{(3)}}{\Lambda_{\rm TeV}^2} \right).$$

• M_Z & M_W

 $\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \frac{\delta \widetilde{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}}}{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}} &\simeq & \displaystyle \frac{\delta \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}}{M_{Z}} - 0.0303 \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{T}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2} + 0.0206 \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{WW}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2} + 0.00149 \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{BB}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2} + 0.00555 \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{WB}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2}, \\ \displaystyle \frac{\delta \widetilde{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{W}}}}{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{W}}} &\simeq & \displaystyle 0.184 \frac{\delta \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{F}}}{G_{\mathsf{F}}} + 1.37 \frac{\delta \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}}{M_{Z}} - 0.184 \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} + 0.0262 \frac{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{WW}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{TeV}}^2}, \end{array}$

$$M_W^{\rm sm} = \mathbf{M}_W^{(r)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\cos 2\theta_w} \left[c_w^2 \frac{\delta \mathbf{M}_Z}{M_Z} + \frac{s_w^2}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{G}_F}{G_F} - \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} \right) - \frac{s_w^2}{2} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{r} - \frac{s_w^4 (5c_w^2 - s_w^2)}{8(c_w^2 - s_w^2)^2} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{r}_1^2 \right] \right\}$$

Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Correction of Dim-6 \mathcal{O}_i to HO (1)

- Mass: M_Z & M_W
- Parameter Shifts

$$(m_Z, G_F, \alpha)$$
 : $\sin 2\theta_w^{(0)} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\alpha^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}G_F^{(0)}(m_Z^{(0)})^2}}$

• Field Redefinition & Kinetic Mixing

$$\begin{split} h &\to \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} c_{\rm H}\right) h \equiv {\sf Z}_{\sf h} h, \qquad W^{\pm} \to \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} g^2 c_{\rm WW}\right) W^{\pm} \equiv {\sf Z}_{\sf W} W^{\pm}. \\ Z^{\mu} &\to \left[1 + \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left(c_w^2 g^2 c_{\rm WW} + c_w s_w gg' c_{\rm WB} + s_w^2 g'^2 c_{\rm BB}\right)\right] Z^{\mu} \equiv {\sf Z}_{\sf Z} Z^{\mu}, \\ A^{\mu} &\to \left[1 + \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left(s_w^2 g^2 c_{\rm WW} - c_w s_w gg' c_{\rm WB} + c_w^2 g'^2 c_{\rm BB}\right)\right] A^{\mu} \\ &+ 2 \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left[c_w s_w g^2 c_{\rm WW} - \frac{1}{2} (c_w^2 - s_w^2) gg' c_{\rm WB} - c_w s_w gg'^2 c_{\rm BB}\right] Z^{\mu} \equiv {\sf Z}_{\sf A} A^{\mu} + \delta {\sf Z}_{\sf X} Z^{\mu} \\ &\quad G^a_{\mu} \to \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} g_s^2 c_{\rm g}\right) G^a_{\mu} \equiv {\sf Z}_{\sf G} G^a_{\mu}, \end{split}$$

Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Correction of Dim-6 \mathcal{O}_i to HO (2)

• Vertex



• Ze⁺e⁻

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\delta g_{L}} &\equiv -\left[\frac{1}{2\cos 2\theta_{w}}\left(\frac{\delta M_{Z}}{M_{Z}}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta G_{F}}{G_{F}}\right)-\frac{c_{w}^{2}s_{w}^{2}}{\cos 2\theta_{w}}\frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha}\right]g_{z}-\frac{g_{z}v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}}\left(c_{L}^{(3)}+c_{L}\right)+\delta g_{L}^{*},\\ \widetilde{\delta g_{R}} &\equiv -\left[\frac{s_{w}^{2}}{\cos 2\theta_{w}}\left(\frac{\delta M_{Z}}{M_{Z}}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta G_{F}}{G_{F}}\right)-\frac{c_{w}^{2}s_{w}^{2}}{\cos 2\theta_{w}}\frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha}\right]g_{z}-\frac{g_{z}v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}}c_{R}+\delta g_{R}^{*}, \end{split}$$

where $\delta \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{L}}^* \equiv Qg_z c_w s_w \delta \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{X}} + g_z (T_3 - s_w^2 Q) (\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}} - 1), \ \delta \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{R}}^* \equiv Q g_z c_w s_w \delta \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{X}} - g_z s_w^2 Q (\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}} - 1).$

AZh

$$2\frac{\delta Z_{X}}{v}h\mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}+\frac{s_{w}g^{2}v}{2c_{w}\Lambda^{2}}\left(c_{HW}-c_{HB}\right)\partial_{\mu}hZ_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

• Zhe⁺e⁻ $\frac{g_{z}v}{\Lambda^{2}}\left[\left(c_{L}^{(3)}-c_{L}\right)Z_{\mu}\bar{u}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}u_{L}-\left(c_{L}^{(3)}+c_{L}\right)Z_{\mu}\bar{d}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}d_{L}-c_{R}^{\psi}\bar{\psi}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{R}\right]h.$

Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22

Beyond SM Fitter (BSMfitter)

http://bsmfitter.hepforge.org

```
$name = "CEPC preCDR"
                                                  $name = "dim6 EW"
$author = "Shao-Feng Ge"
                                                  $author = "Shao-Feng Ge"
                                                  $email = "gesf020gmail.com"
$email = "gesf020gmail.com"
$version = "August 20 2015"
                                                  $version = "2016-03-09 17:03:28"
observable(#sigma eeZh)<
                                                  $variables = {dGF, dMZ, dAlpha, c {H}, c {T}, c
  data = 1.
                                                   {WW}, c {BB}, c {WB}, c {HW}, c {HB}, c@^{(3)}
  @sigma = 0.005
                                                   {LL}, c@^{(3)} {L}, c {L}, c {R}, c@^{(3)} {Lq
                                                   }, c {Lq}, c {Ru}, c {Rd}, c {g}}
                                                  $separate = "yes"
observable(#sigma nnh)<
                                                  $mandatory = 3
  data = 1.
  @sigma = 0.02857
                                                  observable(#sigma eeZh)<
                                                     @prediction = 1.;
                                                     \text{@coeff} = \{ \text{"dGF"}, 2,34 \}
observable(#sigma nnh2)<
                                                     @coeff = {"dMZ", 5,51}
                                                     @coeff = {"dAlpha", -0.344}
  data = 1.
  (dsigma = 0.0075)
                                                     @coeff = {"c {H}", -0.0605}
                                                     @coeff = {"c {T}", -0.206}
                                                     @coeff = {"c {WW}", 0.338}
                                                     @coeff = {"c {BB}", 0.0122}
observable(#BR hWW)<
                                                     @coeff = {"c {WB}", 0.0682}
  data = 1.
  (dsigma = 0.016)
                                                     (acoeff = {"c {HW}}", 0.0429)
                                                     @coeff = {"c {HB}", 0.00315}
                                                     @coeff = {"c@^{(3)} {L}", 1.02}
                                                     @coeff = {"c {L}", 1.02}
observable(#BR hZZ)<
  0data = 1.
                                                     @coeff = {"c {R}", -0.755}
  (0 \text{sigma} = 0.043)
                                                   /* Latex expression for sigma eeZh:
observable(#BR hAA)<
                                                  2.34 \frac {\delta G F}{G F}
                                         43 1 35% dim6 EW.mod
                                                                       <m6 EW.mod
CEPC.exp
                            CEPC.exp
                                                                                           40 1 11%
```

Shao-Feng Ge @ HPNP 2019, Osaka Uni., 2019-2-22