# Hidden QCD at leading-order scalesymmetry limit: probed as enhanced diHiggs signal



Shinya Matsuzaki



### Center for Theoretical Physics and College of Physics Jilin University

references: R. Ouyang (NICPB, Talinn), and S.M., 1809.10009 [hep-ph]



### 0. Introduction

rightarrow Discovery of Higgs boson in 2012

--- last piece of particles predicted in SM

--- very successful SM pheno. so far

- --- but, NOT the end of the story! still lots of stuff left needed to account for
  - e.g. neutrino masses (mixing), dark matter, baryon asymmetry, etc

No clear BSM signal seen yet, though...

(2012 was already 7 years ago....)

### 0. Introduction

rightarrow Discovery of Higgs boson in 2012

--- last piece of particles predicted in SM

--- very successful SM pheno. so far

- --- but, NOT the end of the story! still lots of stuff left needed to account for
  - e.g. neutrino masses (mixing), No clear BSM signal seen yet, dark matter, baryon asymmetry, etc though...

(2012 was already 7 years ago....)

 $\bigstar$  In particular,

SM involves unsatisfactory stuff on theoretical ground:

--- origin of mass is given "by hand"

--- why mH<sup>2</sup> <0 (dynamical origin?) and O(100 GeV)<sup>2</sup>? (related to gauge hierarchy problem)

 $\bigstar$  One brave idea to access the origin of mass

= scale symmetry (classical scale-inv.(CSI))

Higgs potential:  $V = \lambda_H (H^{\dagger}H)^2 + m_H^2 (H^{\dagger}H)$ 

 $\bigstar$  One brave idea to access the origin of mass

scale symmetry (classical scale-inv.(CSI))

Higgs potential:  $V = \lambda_H (H^{\dagger}H)^2 + m_H^2 (H^{\dagger}H)$ 

☆ Then, how to generate "scales", realize EWSB?
 → dimensional transmutation That's what we call "scalegenesis"

 $\bigstar$  One brave idea to access the origin of mass

scale symmetry (classical scale-inv.(CSI))

Higgs potential:  $V = \lambda_H (H^{\dagger}H)^2 + m_H^2 (H^{\dagger}H)$ 

☆ Then, how to generate "scales", realize EWSB?
 → dimensional transmutation That's what we call "scalegenesis"

### 🔆 "Dynamical scalegenesis" -- one candidate: hsQCD

Extension w/ Higgs portal term  $V = \kappa |\phi|^2 (H^{\dagger}H)$ 

\* к <O(1), and <O

\*  $\Phi$  = a SM singlet scalar, strongly coupled to hidden/dark QCD

$$\langle \phi^{\dagger} \phi \rangle \simeq \Lambda_{\rm dQCD}^2 \longrightarrow V = -|\kappa| \Lambda_{\rm dQCD}^2 (H^{\dagger} H)$$

below the dynamical scale, hidden scalar QCD generates scalar condensation

F.Wilczek (2008); T.Hur, et al(2011); M.Holthausen, et al (2013); J.Kubo, et al(2014); (2015); (2016); (2017); (2018); R.Ouyang and S.M, (2018)

### ☆Other merits on hsQCD

J.Kubo, et al (2015); (2016); (2017); (2018)

- \* Predicted hsQCD hadrons (e.g.  $\phi_i^{\dagger}\phi_j$  mesons) = can be stable, to be DM
- \* Higgs portal coupling κ = nonzero, but <O(1);</li>

$$V = \kappa |\phi|^2 (H^{\dagger} H)$$

- -- possibly strong 1<sup>st</sup> order PT;
- -- could be linked to a baryo(lepto)genesis
- -- sensitive to GWs detections

#### So, hsQCD provides

- i) the dynamical EW scalegenesis, origin of mass
- ii) rich related phenomenological consequences accessible in future exps.

BTW.... what are characteristic features for sQCD dynamics??

### BTW.... what are characteristic features for sQCD dynamics??

### ☆ nonperturvative analyses for sQCD with Nc=3 & Ns=1



Refs.

-- Lattice simulations

H. lida, et al (2006); (2007); (2008)

-- Schwinger-Dyson analyses (on diquark mass generation in fermionic QCD)

S. Imai, et al (2014); (2014)

Dynamical mass generation w/o chiral symmetry

- Colored-scalar quarks get the dynamical mass by nonper. gluon-dressing effects
   i.e. gluon condensate (NP scale anomaly)
- \* The estimated size of m<sub>dyn</sub> =  $\, {\cal O}(\Lambda_{
  m sQCD}) \,$

as well as mass of the scalar meson bound state  $\phi^{\dagger}\phi^{\phantom{\dagger}}$  due to the sizable gluon condensate effect (NP scale anomaly)

### BTW.... what are characteristic features for sQCD dynamics??

### ☆ nonperturvative analyses for sQCD with Nc=3 & Ns=1



Refs.

-- Lattice simulations

H. lida, et al (2006); (2007); (2008)

-- Schwinger-Dyson analyses (on diquark mass generation in fermionic QCD)

S. Imai, et al (2014); (2014)

Dynamical mass generation w/o chiral symmetry

- Colored-scalar quarks get the dynamical mass by nonper. gluon-dressing effects
   i.e. gluon condensate (NP scale anomaly)
- \* The estimated size of m<sub>dyn</sub> =  $\, {\cal O}(\Lambda_{
  m sQCD}) \,$

as well as mass of the scalar meson bound state  $\phi^{\dagger}\phi^{\phantom{\dagger}}$  due to the sizable gluon condensate effect (NP scale anomaly)

### So, NP gluonic scale anomaly is essential for the mass generation!

 $\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu} \rangle = \frac{\beta_{\rm sQCD}(\alpha_{\rm sQCD})}{4\alpha_{\rm sQCD}} \langle G^2_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ 

disregarded so far in literature...

 $\Rightarrow$  In this talk, it is shown that

the **significant impact** of the **gluonic-scale anomaly** in s-hQCD on the Higgs portal physics, **accessible at LHC** 

To access the LHC pheno., work in an effective  $\phi^{\dagger}\phi^{\phantom{\dagger}}$  meson description

## 1. Leading order scale symmetry



## 1. Leading order scale symmetry



Since  $\chi$  gets a mass by nonzero "a"

 $m_{\chi}^{2} = (a \cdot \lambda_{a})(4+a)\eta^{2} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \langle T_{\mu}^{\mu} \rangle = -\frac{m_{\chi}^{2}\eta^{2}}{4+a} \qquad d[T_{\mu}^{\mu}] = d[(G_{\mu\nu})^{2}] = 4+a$ 

### ☆ LOSS predictions: i) a light dilaton

$$V(H,\chi) = -\lambda_{H\chi}(H^{\dagger}H)\chi^{2} + \lambda_{H}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \lambda_{\chi}\chi^{4} + \lambda_{a}\eta^{4}\left(\frac{\chi}{\eta}\right)^{4+a}$$

stationary condition  $\lambda_{H_X}$ 

$$v^{2} = \frac{\lambda_{H\chi}}{\lambda_{H}} \eta^{2}$$
$$\lambda_{a} + \lambda_{\chi} = \frac{\lambda_{H\chi}^{2}}{4\lambda_{H}}.$$

### ☆ LOSS predictions: i) a light dilaton

$$V(H,\chi) = -\lambda_{H\chi}(H^{\dagger}H)\chi^2 + \lambda_H(H^{\dagger}H)^2 + \lambda_{\chi}\chi^4 + \lambda_a\eta^4 \left(\frac{\chi}{\eta}\right)^{4+a}$$

stationary condition
$$v^2 = rac{\lambda_{H\chi}}{\lambda_H} \eta^2$$

$$\lambda_a + \lambda_{\chi} = \frac{\lambda_H^2}{4\lambda_H^2}.$$

mixing w/ Higgs "h" allowed

Physical  $\chi'$  dilaton mass

$$\chi' = \chi \cos \theta - h \sin \theta$$
$$h' = \chi \sin \theta + h \cos \theta.$$

$$m_{\chi'}^{2} = 2\left(\frac{\cos^{2}\theta}{\cos 2\theta}\lambda_{H\chi} - \frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\cos 2\theta}\lambda_{H}\right) \cdot v^{2} + 7\left(\frac{\cos^{2}\theta}{\cos 2\theta}\left(a \cdot \lambda_{a}\right)\frac{\lambda_{H}}{\lambda_{H\chi}}\right) \cdot v^{2} \\ \equiv 2\left(\frac{\cos^{2}\theta}{\cos 2\theta}\lambda_{H\chi} - \frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\cos 2\theta}\lambda_{H}\right) \cdot v^{2} + \Delta m_{\chi'}^{2}$$

### $\Rightarrow$ LOSS predictions: i) a light dilaton

$$V(H,\chi) = -\lambda_{H\chi}(H^{\dagger}H)\chi^2 + \lambda_H(H^{\dagger}H)^2 + \lambda_{\chi}\chi^4 + \lambda_a\eta^4 \left(\frac{\chi}{\eta}\right)^{4+a}$$

stationary condition
$$\lambda_{H\chi} = \lambda_{H\chi} = 2$$

$$v^{2} = \frac{\lambda_{H\chi}}{\lambda_{H}} \eta^{2}$$
$$\lambda_{a} + \lambda_{\chi} = \frac{\lambda_{H\chi}^{2}}{4\lambda_{H}}.$$

mixing w/ Higgs "h" allowed

 $\chi' = \chi \cos \theta - h \sin \theta$  $h' = \chi \sin \theta + h \cos \theta$ .

Take  $v = 246 \text{ GeV}, m_{h'} = 125 \text{ GeV}$ , and

 $\eta = m_{\chi'}$  : "simple-minded ansatz"

 $\cos^2 \theta = 0.9$  : current limit on Higgs coupling measurement

a = 0.1 : typical small breaking size, controlling scale anomaly

 $\lambda_{H\chi} \simeq 0.138$ ,  $\lambda_H \simeq 0.182$  $\lambda_a \simeq 1.014$ ,  $\lambda_{\chi} \simeq -0.987$ .

$$= 2 \left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\cos 2\theta} \lambda_{H\chi} - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos 2\theta} \lambda_H \right) \cdot v^2$$
  
+7  $\left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\cos 2\theta} \left( a \cdot \lambda_a \right) \frac{\lambda_H}{\lambda_{H\chi}} \right) \cdot v^2$   
=  $2 \left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\cos 2\theta} \lambda_{H\chi} - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos 2\theta} \lambda_H \right) \cdot v^2 + \Delta m_{\chi'}^2$   
 $\eta \equiv m_{\chi'} \simeq 282 \,\text{GeV} \quad \text{as low as}$   
EW scale v  
$$\frac{\Delta m_{\chi'}^2}{2} \simeq 0.798 \quad \text{NP scale-anomaly}$$

dominant

 $\frac{\chi'}{m_{\chi'}^2} \simeq 0.798$ 

Physical  $\chi'$  dilaton mass

 $m_{\chi'}^2$ 

W/  $v = 246 \text{ GeV}, m_{h'} = 125 \text{ GeV}$ , and  $\eta = m_{\chi'}$  : "simple-minded ansatz"

a = 0.1 : typical small breaking size

Light enough dilaton w/ mass around 280 - 300 GeV



### ☆ LOSS predictions: ii) effect on Higgs trilinear coupling

$$\lambda_{h'h'h'} = -4\sin^3\theta \left[\lambda_{\chi} + \left(1 + \frac{13}{12}a\right)\lambda_a\right]\eta + \cos^3\theta\lambda_Hv - \sin\theta\cos\theta\lambda_{H\chi} \left(v\sin\theta - \eta\cos\theta\right)$$

Take v = 246 GeV,  $m_{h'} = 125$  GeV, and  $\eta = m_{\chi'}$  : "simple-minded ansatz"  $\cos^2 \theta = 0.9$  : current limit on Higgs coupling measurement a = 0.1 : typical small breaking size  $\frac{\lambda_{h'h'h'}}{v}|_{\text{LOSS}} \simeq 1.01 \text{ for } \cos\theta > 0$ 

 $\simeq -0.702$  for  $\cos \theta < 0.2$ 

Compared to SM prediction

$$\frac{\lambda_{hhh}}{v}|_{\rm SM} \simeq 0.75$$

34% enhancement ,or nearly the same, in magnitude

### ☆ LOSS predictions: iii) dilaton decay properties



#### ☆ LOSS predictions: iv) **DiHiggs enhancement**



 \* Sizable enhancement is expected to happen due to the narrow dilaton resonance (~280 GeV – 300 GeV) near threshold for on-shell diHiggs production (~250 GeV) (\* w/ QCD-NLO included)



(\* w/ QCD-NLO included)



### ☆ LOSS predictions: v) other dilaton resonance signatures at LHC

#### Via ggF production

$\sin^2 \theta$	$\cos \theta$	$m_{\chi'}$ [GeV]	$\sigma(\chi' \to h' h')$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to \gamma \gamma)$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to ZZ)$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to WW)$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to b \bar{b} b \bar{b})$ [fb]
0.1	+	282	307	0.0066	158	361	0.764
0.1	-	282	112	0.0088	213	486	1.029
0.05	+	298	71.9	0.0037	97.9	220	0.400
0.05	-	298	84.2	0.0035	94.4	213	0.385

### ☆ LOSS predictions: v) other dilaton resonance signatures at LHC

#### Via ggF production

$\sin^2 \theta$	$\cos \theta$	$m_{\chi'}$ [GeV]	$\sigma(\chi' \to h' h')~[{\rm fb}]$	$\sigma(\chi' \to \gamma \gamma)$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to ZZ)$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to WW)$ [fb]	$\sigma(\chi' \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b})$ [fb]
0.1	+	282	307	0.0066	158	361	0.764
0.1	-	282	112	0.0088	213	486	1.029
0.05	+	298	71.9	0.0037	97.9	220	0.400
0.05	-	298	84.2	0.0035	94.4	213	0.385

Current bound on di-EW boson (ATLAS13 w/ L=36.1/fb; arXiv:1808.02380)

 $\sigma$ [WW or ZZ] ~ 300fb @ resonant mass = 300 GeV

--- close to the LOSS predictions

--- probed by upcoming data in near future, in significant correlation w/ deviation on Higgs coupling, and excessive diHiggs signal!!!

# 2. Summary

- Dynamical scalegenesis gives the elegant explanation for the EW symmetry breaking, in place of the SM
   --- candidate scenarios include SI-Hidden scalar QCD:
- ☆ The LOSS limit was analyzed, including crucial gluonic-scale anomaly effect into a low-energy description
  - --- LOSS predicts light enough (~280 GeV), narrow dilaton, at diboson channels at LHC
  - --- remarkably, gives **diHiggs enhancement** to be maximally about 6.8 times large compared to the SM Higgs, to be tested up until HL-LHC, w/ significant correlation w/ deviation on Higgs coupling measurements
- ☆ Applications to other dynamical scalegenesis scenarios easily doable, and LOSS predictions to other physics as well (in progress)