Charged Lepton Flavour Change and Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions (or Lepton Number Violation)

Martin Gorbahn

In collaboration with Sacha Davidson (and Matt Leak) 1909.07406 (and 1807.04283)

LBV 2019, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid 2019 October 23



### Content

- Consider non standard neutrino interactions (NSIs) generated at heavy scales
- flavour structure of NSIs generates lepton flavour violation (LFV)
  - at tree-level
  - but also at loop-level ← this talk
- Use Standard Model Effective Theory (SMEFT) to study this model independently
- Lepton number violating d = 5 operators also generate LFV

## Non-Standard Interactions of Neutrinos

- ► Production and detection ← charged current interactions
- ► Propagation in matter ← neutral current interactions
- Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions (NSIs)
  - Modify Propagation of Neutrinos in matter
- This talk: consider NSIs for  $q^2 \ll M_W^2$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -2\sqrt{G_{\mathsf{F}}} \varepsilon_{f,(L)}^{\rho\sigma}(\overline{\nu}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}\nu_{\sigma})(\overline{f}\gamma^{\alpha}(\mathsf{P}_{L})f)$$

- Neutrino experiments percent level sensitivity
- Wider class of NSIs studied

### **Generating NSIs**

Generate NSI either via

a) (not so) heavy mediators above  $M_W$ b) via new light degrees of freedom

- a) can be studied model independently using effective field theories
- This talk: use SMEFT operators up to d(O) = 8:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda/2(H^{\dagger}H)^2 - M^2(H^{\dagger}H) + \dots + \sum_{O
ho\sigma} \frac{C_O^{
ho\sigma}}{\Lambda^{d(O)-4}} O^{
ho\sigma}$$

 b) have to be studied for each new light degree of freedom. Perturbative calculations could be done for wide classes of models, see e.g. [1903.05116] for the calculation of the Z-Penguin in renormalisable theories.

SMEFT up to 
$$d(O) = 6$$
  
Fields:  $q \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  and  $f \in \{u_R, d_R, e_R\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} O^{\rho\sigma}_{M2,f} &= (\bar{\ell}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}\ell_{\sigma})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\alpha}f)\\ O^{\rho\sigma}_{M2,q} &= (\bar{\ell}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}\ell_{\sigma})(\bar{q}\gamma^{\alpha}q), \quad O^{\rho\sigma}_{LQM2,q} &= (\bar{\ell}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}q)(\bar{q}\gamma^{\alpha}\ell_{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

▶ NSI, LFV & charged currents for  $q^2 \ll M_W^2$ :

$$O_{M2,f}^{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow (\bar{e}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{L,\sigma} + \bar{v}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}v_{\sigma})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\alpha}f_{R})$$

$$O_{M2,q}^{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow (\bar{e}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{L,\sigma} + \bar{v}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}v_{\sigma})(\bar{u}\gamma^{\alpha}u_{L} + \bar{d}\gamma^{\alpha}d_{L})$$

$$O_{LQM2,q}^{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow (\bar{e}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{L,\sigma})(\bar{d}\gamma^{\alpha}d_{L}) + (\bar{v}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}v_{\sigma})(\bar{u}\gamma^{\alpha}u_{L}) + (\bar{v}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{L,\sigma})(\bar{d}\gamma^{\alpha}u_{L}) + (\bar{v}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{\sigma})(\bar{u}\gamma^{\alpha}d_{L})$$

• tree level & d(O) = 6): NSI and LFV correlated

## Generate flavour changing NSI from SMEFT

When considering SMEFT only up to dimension d = 6

- LFV and NSI correlated at tree-level
- singlet case: basically no observable effects for NSI
- letpon doublet case: tree-LFV cancel in

$$O_{LQM2,\ell}^{\rho\sigma} - O_{M2,\ell}^{\rho\sigma}$$

For New Physics not much heavier than M<sub>W</sub>

we can also have cancellations between d=6 and d=8 operators

### SMEFT up to d(O) = 8 & singlet case

$$\mathcal{O}_{NSl,f}^{
ho\sigma} = (ar{\ell}_{
ho} \epsilon H^*) \gamma_{lpha} (H \epsilon \ell_{\sigma}) (ar{t} \gamma^{lpha} f), \quad \mathcal{O}_{H2,f}^{
ho\sigma} = (ar{\ell}_{
ho} H \gamma_{lpha} H^{\dagger} \ell_{\sigma}) (ar{t} \gamma^{lpha} f)$$

▶ NSI & LFV for  $H \rightarrow (0, v)^T$ :

$$O_{M2,f}^{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow (\bar{e}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{L,\sigma} + \bar{\nu}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}\nu_{\sigma})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\alpha}f_{R})$$
$$O_{H2,f}^{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow v^{2}(\bar{e}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}e_{L,\sigma})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\alpha}f_{R})$$
$$O_{NSI,f}^{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow -v^{2}(\bar{\nu}_{\rho}\gamma_{\alpha}\nu_{\sigma})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\alpha}f_{R})$$

► LFV → 0 if  $rC_{H2} + C_{M2} = 0$ , where  $r \equiv \frac{M^2}{\lambda \Lambda^2} \rightarrow \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}$ 

## Singlet case up to d=8

For each f  $\in$  {u<sub>R</sub> ,d<sub>R</sub>, e<sub>R</sub>}) and flavour  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  we have

- 3 operators
- 1 constraint from tree-level LFV

$$C_{V,LR}^{\rho\sigma ff} = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( r \ C_{H2}^{\rho\sigma} + C_{M2}^{\rho\sigma} \right)$$
  
where  $O_{V,XY}^{\mu eff} = (\bar{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}P_X e)(\bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}P_Y f)$   
2 remaining directions to generate NSI

$$\varepsilon_{f}^{\rho\sigma} = rac{\mathbf{v}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \left( r \ \mathbf{C}_{NSI}^{\rho\sigma} - \mathbf{C}_{M2}^{\rho\sigma} 
ight)$$

### What about perturbative corrections?

- SMEFT generated above weak with no tree-level LFV
- Does leading log give LFV?

$$u d rac{d}{d\mu} ec{C} = \Gamma^T ec{C}$$

gives (neglecting running of  $g_2$ ,  $y_t \& \lambda$ ):

$$ec{C}(\mu_f) = ec{C}(\mu_i) igg(1 + \Gamma \log rac{\mu_f}{\mu_i} + rac{1}{2} \Gamma \Gamma \log^2 rac{\mu_f}{\mu_i} + ...igg)$$

Leading log corrections are scheme independent

## Higgs loop contributions



In the basis  $(C_{NSI}, C_{H2}, C_{M2})$  we obtain

$$\Gamma = \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 2 & -2r \\ 2 & -4 & 2r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma^{T}(C_{NSI},0,0)(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{log for: } C_{H2} \& C_{H2}, \text{ no } C_{VLR}(M_W) \text{ log } \\ & \text{[Biggio,Blennow,Fernandez,Martinez]X} \\ & \Gamma^{T}(0,-C_{M2},C_{M2}r)(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{log for: } C_{H2}, C_{H2} \text{ and } C_{VLR}(M_W) \\ & \blacktriangleright \text{ what about other corrections and } \text{log}^{2}? \end{split}$$

### Weak boson loops



Vertex corrections and penguin insertions

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d' \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & -2r \\ 2 & 0 & 2r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$d = -(9g^2/2 + 4\lambda + g'^2[1.5 - 6Y_f - 4N_{c,f}Y_f^2/3])$$
  
$$d' = g'^2(6Y_f + 4/3N_cY_f^2)$$

11/23

# Log<sup>2</sup> contributions

The log<sup>2</sup> term is given by  $\Gamma^T \Gamma^T$ )<sup>2</sup> $\vec{C}/2$ 

$$\Gamma\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} d^2 + 4\lambda^2 & 4\lambda d & 4\lambda\eta - 2\eta(d+d') \\ 4\lambda d & d^2 + 4\lambda^2 & -4\lambda\eta + 2\eta(d+d') \\ 0 & 0 & d'^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ► Now  $\Gamma^T \Gamma^T \cdot (C_{NSI}, 0, 0)$  gives  $C_{H2} \neq -rC_{M2}$
- Will constrain  $C_{NSI}(\Lambda)$  at leading log:  $\frac{1}{(4\pi)^4} \log^2\left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_W}\right)$

## **Explicit results**

$$\begin{split} \Delta C_{H2,f}^{\rho\sigma}(m_W) &= C_{H2,f}^{\rho\sigma}(\Lambda) \times \frac{d}{(16\pi^2)} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} \\ &+ C_{NSI,f}^{\rho\sigma}(\Lambda) \times \left( \frac{2\lambda}{(16\pi^2)} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} + \frac{4\lambda d}{2(16\pi^2)^2} \log^2 \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} + ... \right) \\ \Delta C_{M2,f}^{\rho\sigma}(m_W) &= C_{M2}^{\rho\sigma}(\Lambda) \times \frac{d'-2\lambda}{(16\pi^2)} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} \\ &+ C_{NSI,f}^{\rho\sigma}(\Lambda) \times \left( -\frac{2\eta}{(16\pi^2)} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} + \frac{4\lambda\eta - 2\eta(d+d')}{2(16\pi^2)^2} \log^2 \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} + ... \right) \end{split}$$

$$\Delta C_{V,LR}^{\rho\sigma ff} = \frac{C_{NSI,f}^{\rho\sigma}(\Lambda)v^4}{\Lambda^4} \frac{2\lambda(d-d')+4\lambda^2}{2(16\pi^2)^2} \log^2 \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} \to \sim 10^{-4}\varepsilon_f \\ + \frac{C_{M2}(\Lambda)v^2}{(16\pi^2)\Lambda^2} \left[-(d-d')-2\lambda\right] \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_W} \to \sim 2 \times 10^{-2}\varepsilon_f$$

13/23

# Sensitivity to $\epsilon_{fB}^{\mu e}$



In left (right) panel  $C_{M2}^{\rho\sigma}$  and  $C_{NSI}^{\rho\sigma}$  contribute (destructively) to  $\varepsilon^{\rho\sigma}$  -Generically one-loop (1-l) corrections apply.

f	$C^{\mu  eff}_{V,LR}$	$\varepsilon_{fR}^{\mu e}$ (1I)	$\varepsilon^{\mu e}_{fR}$ (2I)
е	< 9.3 · 10 <sup>-7</sup>	< 5 · 10 <sup>-5</sup>	9 · 10 <sup>-3</sup>
u	< 5.4 · 10 <sup>-8</sup>	< 3 · 10 <sup>-6</sup>	5 · 10 <sup>-4</sup>
d	< 6.3 · 10 <sup>-8</sup>	< 3 · 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6 · 10⁻⁴

### **Operators**

5 independent operators involving 2 lepton, 2 quark and 2 Higgs:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{NSI},\mathsf{q}}^{\rho\sigma} &= (\overline{\ell}_{\rho}\epsilon \mathsf{H}^{*})\gamma_{\mu}(\mathsf{H}\epsilon\ell_{\sigma})(\overline{\mathsf{q}}\gamma^{\mu}\mathsf{q})\\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{H2},\mathsf{q}}^{\rho\sigma} &= (\overline{\ell}_{\rho}\mathsf{H})\gamma_{\mu}(\mathsf{H}^{\dagger}\ell_{\sigma})(\overline{\mathsf{q}}\gamma^{\mu}\mathsf{q})\\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{CCLFV},\mathsf{q}}^{\rho\sigma} &= (\overline{\ell}_{\rho}\gamma_{\mu}\mathsf{q})(\overline{\mathsf{q}}\mathsf{H})\gamma_{\mu}(\mathsf{H}^{\dagger}\ell_{\sigma})\\ [\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{CCLFV},\mathsf{q}}^{\dagger}]^{\rho\sigma} &= (\overline{\ell}_{\rho}\mathsf{H})\gamma_{\mu}(\mathsf{H}^{\dagger}\mathsf{q})(\overline{\mathsf{q}}\gamma_{\mu}\ell_{\sigma})\\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{CCNSI+},\mathsf{q}}^{\rho\sigma} &= (\overline{\ell}_{\rho}\gamma_{\mu}\mathsf{q})(\overline{\mathsf{q}}\epsilon\mathsf{H}^{*})\gamma_{\mu}(\mathsf{H}\epsilon\ell_{\sigma})\\ &+ (\overline{\ell}_{\rho}\epsilon\mathsf{H}^{*})\gamma_{\mu}(\mathsf{H}\epsilon\mathsf{q})(\overline{\mathsf{q}}\gamma_{\mu}\ell_{\sigma}) \end{split}$$

(alternative basis [Berezhiani Rossi])

### Anomalous dimensions – doublet case I

for the basis (
$$C_{NSI}$$
,  $C_{H2}$ , ( $C_{CCLFV} + C^{\dagger}_{CCLFV}$ )/2, ( $C_{CCNSI} + C^{\dagger}_{CCNSI}$ )/2, ( $C_{CCLFV} - C^{\dagger}_{CCLFV}$ )/2):

 $(\eta = \lambda r \text{ and } \kappa = (4\pi)^2)$ 

### Anomalous dimensions – doublet case II

If three fermions have the same flavour:

- 3 operators become linearly dependent
- ► In the basis ( $C_{NSI}$ ,  $C_{H2}$ ,  $C_{CCNSI+}$ ,  $C_{M2}$ ):

$$[\Gamma] = -\frac{3g^2}{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{2} & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{g^2 N_c}{3\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ -5 & 1 & 4 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} -4\lambda & 2\lambda & 0 & -2\eta\\ 2\lambda & -4\lambda & 0 & 2\eta\\ -4\lambda & +4\lambda & -2\lambda & -4\eta\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As in the singlet case, we have log contribution

$$\varepsilon_{e_{L}}^{e_{\sigma}} = \frac{v^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \left( -C_{M2,q}^{e} + r(C_{NSI,q}^{e} + C_{CCNSI+,q}^{e}) \right)$$
$$\Delta C_{V,LL}^{e_{\sigma}e_{\theta}} = \frac{v^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \frac{\log(\Lambda/m_{W})}{16\pi^{2}} \left( [\frac{15}{2}g^{2} + 2\lambda]C_{M2,\ell}^{e_{\sigma}} + \frac{g^{2}}{3}C_{CCNSI+,\ell}^{e_{\sigma}} \right)$$
$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{4}} log^{2} \text{ contribution can be derived in similar manner}$$

We typically have one-loop sensitivities to charged lepton flavour violation form NSI with flavour structure. If tree level is tuned to zero.

If one-loop is also tuned to zero, we obtain  $\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}$ 

contributions. Also for  $\rho\sigma qq$  and  $\tau\mu$  ee

### Lepton Number Violation and LFV

In SMEFT neutrino masses are generated via dimension-5 lepton number violating operators

- neutrino oscillations imply non-trivial flavour structure
- this will generate charged lepton flavour violation via RGEs

### $\Delta L = 2$ operators in 2HDM

Dimension-5 Operator Generate Neutrino Masses

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{L} &= + \frac{C_5^{\alpha\beta}}{2\Lambda} (\overline{\ell_{\alpha}} \varepsilon H_1^*) (\ell_{\beta}^c \varepsilon H_1^*) + \frac{C_5^{\alpha\beta*}}{2\Lambda} (\overline{\ell_{\beta}^c} \varepsilon H_1) (\ell_{\alpha} \varepsilon H_1) \\ &+ \frac{C_{21}^{\alpha\beta}}{2\Lambda} \Big( (\overline{\ell_{\alpha}} \varepsilon H_2^*) (\ell_{\beta}^c \varepsilon H_1^*) + (\overline{\ell_{\beta}} \varepsilon H_1^*) (\ell_{\alpha}^c \varepsilon H_2^*) \Big) + \mathbf{h.c.} \\ &+ \frac{C_{22}^{\alpha\beta}}{2\Lambda} (\overline{\ell_{\alpha}} \varepsilon H_2^*) (\ell_{\beta}^c \varepsilon H_2^*) + \frac{C_{22}^{\alpha\beta*}}{2\Lambda} (\overline{\ell_{\beta}^c} \varepsilon H_2) (\ell_{\alpha} \varepsilon H_2) \\ &- \frac{C_A^{\alpha\beta}}{2\Lambda} (\overline{\ell_{\alpha}} \varepsilon \ell_{\beta}^c) (H_1^{\dagger} \varepsilon H_2^*) - \frac{C_A^{\alpha\beta*}}{2\Lambda} (\overline{\ell_{\beta}^c} \varepsilon \ell_{\alpha}) (H_2 \varepsilon H_1) \Big] \end{split}$$

These operators mix into the Z-Penguin and other dimension 6-Operators.

Calculation in SMEFT completes ADMs up to dimension 6

### **Anomalous Dimensions**

$$\begin{split} Q_{\text{HL}(1)} &= \mathbf{i} \; (\mathbf{\bar{e}}_{\text{L}} \; \gamma^{\mu} \; \mu_{\text{L}}) (\Phi^{\dagger} D_{\mu} \Phi) \;, \; Q_{\text{HL}(3)} = \mathbf{i} \; (\mathbf{\bar{e}}_{\text{L}} \; \gamma^{\mu} \; \tau^{a} \; \mu_{\text{L}}) (\Phi^{\dagger} \; \tau^{a} \; D_{\mu} \Phi) \\ & (\vec{C} [\tilde{\gamma}] \vec{C}^{\dagger})_{H\ell(1)}^{\beta\alpha} \; = \; -C_{5}^{\beta\rho} \frac{3\delta_{\rho\sigma}}{2} C_{5}^{*\sigma\alpha} \\ & -C_{21}^{\rho\beta} \frac{3\delta_{\rho\sigma}}{2} C_{21}^{*\sigma\alpha} + C_{A}^{\beta\rho} \frac{\delta_{\rho\sigma}}{2} C_{A}^{*\sigma\alpha} \\ & (\vec{C} [\tilde{\gamma}] \vec{C}^{\dagger})_{H\ell(3)}^{\beta\alpha} \; = \; C_{5}^{\beta\rho} \delta_{\rho\sigma} C_{5}^{*\sigma\alpha} \\ & + C_{21}^{\beta\rho} \delta_{\rho\sigma} C_{21}^{*\sigma\alpha} + C_{A}^{\beta\rho} \frac{\delta_{\rho\sigma}}{2} C_{21}^{*\sigma\alpha} - C_{21}^{\beta\rho} \frac{\delta_{\rho\sigma}}{2} C_{A}^{*\sigma\alpha} \end{split}$$

RGE govern mixing into the Z-Penguin:  $(16\pi^2)\mu \frac{d}{d\mu}\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}\hat{\gamma} + \vec{C}[\tilde{\gamma}]\vec{C}^{\dagger}$ 

And then e.g. constrained by  $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e) < 10^{-10}$ 

$$|C_{\ell\ell}^{e\mu ee} + C_{\ell\ell}^{eee\mu} + g_L^e [C_{H\ell(1)}^{e\mu} + C_{H\ell(3)}^{e\mu}] - \delta C_{penguin}^{e\mu}| < 7.1 \times 10^{-7}$$

### Sensitivities

$$|C_{\ell\ell}^{e\mu ee} + C_{\ell\ell}^{eee\mu} + g_L^e[C_{H\ell(1)}^{e\mu} + C_{H\ell(3)}^{e\mu}] - \delta C_{penguin}^{e\mu}| < 7.1 \times 10^{-7}$$

From the left-handed contribution to  $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e) < 10^{-10}$ 

This results in a sensitivity to the dimension 5-operator Wilson coefficients:

$$\left| C_{21}^{ee} C_{21}^{e\mu*} + 0.5 C_{22}^{ee} C_{22}^{e\mu*} + 0.1 \sum_{\sigma} \left( C_A^{e\sigma} - C_{21}^{e\sigma} \right) \left( C_A^{\sigma\mu*} + C_{21}^{\sigma\mu*} \right) \right| < \frac{1}{5.2 \ln \left( \Lambda / m_{22} \right)} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{10 \text{TeV}} \right)^2$$

## Conclusion

If heavy new physics generates NSI

- Charged LFV is sensitivity to the off-diagonal NSI parameter space
- There could be cancellations between tree-level and one-loop
- or between one-loop and two-loop

Obviously lepton number violation gives non observable contribution to LFV for a standard model field content

 This can change if one considers an extended Higgs sector