

Study of P-wave B⁰_s States at CMS

Sergey Polikarpov^{1,2}

¹LPI RAS, ²NRNU MEPhI

CMS-BPH-16-003, arXiv:1809.03578



RDMS 2018, Tashkent, 11-15 September 2018

12 September 2018

Introduction



10 Sep 2018

arXiv:1809.03578v1 [hep-ex]



The paper (BPH-16-003) was submitted to arXiv and EPJC on Monday

Appeared on arXiv today

Preliminary results were released as PAS in June

CMS-BPH-16-003, arXiv:1809.03578 Studies of $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ and $B_{s1}(5830)^0$ mesons including the observation of the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^0 K_s^0$ decay in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

Measurements of $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ and $B_{s1}(5830)^0$ mesons are performed using a data sample of proton-proton collisions corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 19.6 fb⁻¹, collected with the CMS detector at the LHC at a centre-of-mass energy of 8 TeV. The analysis studies *P*-wave B_s^0 meson decays into $B^{(*)+}K^-$ and $B^{(*)0}K_s^0$, where the B^+ and B^0 mesons are identified using the decays $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*(892)^0$. The masses of the *P*-wave B_s^0 meson states are measured and the natural width of the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ state is determined. The first measurement of the mass difference between the charged and neutral B* mesons is also presented. The $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ decay to $B^0K_s^0$ is observed, together with a measurement of its branching fraction relative to the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^+K^-$ decay.

Submitted to the European Physical Journal C

Introduction (P-wave B⁰_s states)



The decay $B_{s1} \rightarrow B^+K^-$ corresponds to (in J^P) $1^+ \rightarrow 0^-0^-$ and is forbidden (need L=1 to conserve J, but then P is not conserved)

The decay $B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*+}K^{-}$ corresponds to (in J^{P}) $1^{+} \rightarrow 1^{-}0^{-}$ and $\frac{3}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^{+}0^{-}$ in j^{p} In HQET j^{p} is also conserved \Rightarrow it cannot proceed in S-wave; but can proceed in D-wave. Similarly, $B_{s2}^{*} \rightarrow B^{+}K^{-}$ and $B_{s2}^{*} \rightarrow B^{*+}K^{-}$ decays are expected to proceed in D-wave.

Introduction (previous results)

P-wave B_s^0 states were observed and studied only by CDF, D0, and LHCb in B⁺K⁻ channel

| Result | CDF 2008 [2] | D0 2008 [3] | LHCb 2013 [4] | CDF 2014 [5] | |
|--|---|------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| $N(\mathrm{B}^*_{\mathrm{s2}} ightarrow \mathrm{B}^+\mathrm{K}^-)$ | 95 ± 23 | 125 ± 25 | 3140 ± 100 | 1110 ± 60 | |
| $N(B^*_{s2} \rightarrow B^{*+}K^-)$ | — | — | 307 ± 46 | $?? \sim 100$ | |
| $N(\mathrm{B_{s1}} ightarrow \mathrm{B^{*+}K^{-}})$ | 39 ± 9 | 25 ± 10 | 750 ± 36 | 280 ± 40 | |
| $M(B_{s2}^*)$, MeV | 5839.6 ± 0.7 | 5839.6 ± 1.3 | 5839.99 ± 0.21 | 5839.7 ± 0.2 | |
| $M(B_{s1})$, MeV | 5829.4 ± 0.7 | — | 5828.40 ± 0.41 | 5828.3 ± 0.5 | |
| $M(B_{s2}^*) - M(B^+) - M(K^-)$, MeV | 66.96 ± 0.41 | 66.7 ± 1.1 | 67.06 ± 0.12 | 66.73 ± 0.19 | |
| $M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*+}) - M(K^{-})$, MeV | 10.73 ± 0.25 | 11.5 ± 1.4 | 10.46 ± 0.06 | 10.35 ± 0.19 | |
| $\Gamma(B_{s2}^*)$, MeV | _ | — , | 1.56 ± 0.49 | 1.4 ± 0.4 | |
| $\Gamma(B_{s1})$, MeV | _ | — | _ | 0.5 ± 0.4 | |
| | | | | 7 > | |
| <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 1</u> | <u>51803 (2013)</u> | | <u>Phys. Rev. D 90/0</u> | <u>12013 (2014)</u> | |
| High Rev D Constraints (2013) $High Rev D Constraints (2013)$ $High Rev D Constraints (2013$ | | | | | |
| 0 20 40 60 80 10 m(E | $B^{+}K^{-}$) - m(B^{+}) - m(K^{-}) [MeV | 200 	 0 	 0.0 | 1 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.0 | 6 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.1 (B ⁺ K ⁻) Q Value (GeV/c ²) ∠ | |

Data and event selection

2012 dataset (19.6 fb⁻¹), trigger optimized to select $B \rightarrow J/\psi$... decays, where $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

B⁺ (B⁰) candidates obtained combining J/ ψ with 1(2) tracks: B⁺ \rightarrow J/ ψ K⁺ and B⁰ \rightarrow J/ ψ K⁺ π^- B meson vertex required to be displaced from the PV in the transverse (*xy*) plane B meson momentum required to point to the PV in the *xy* plane





Modelled with triple Gaussian function with common mean for signal, exponential for bkg additional small contribution to account for Cabibbo suppressed $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$ decay

The B⁺ invariant mass resolution is consistent between data and MC Effective resolution* is about 24 MeV * $\sigma_{eff} = \sqrt{f_1 \sigma_1^2 + f_2 \sigma_2^2 + (1 - f_1 - f_2) \sigma_3^2}$

A small difference of \sim 3% is used in the estimation of the systematic uncertainties

Now combine B⁺ with a track from the same PV

B⁺h⁻ invariant mass distributions

MeV

3500 -

3000

CMS

(a)

Data

Comb. bkg.

Fit Signals 19.6 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV)

To describe the signal B⁺K⁻ invariant mass distribution, we obtain the yields of reflections from excited B⁰ decays using data (fit to $B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution) and their shapes using MC

(see slide in backup for details)



$B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution

To obtain yields of these reflections, we fit $B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution:

3 D-wave RBW functions convolved with resolutions (*from MC*)

+ $(x-x_0)^a \bullet Pol_m(x)$ for background, x_0 is threshold value, $Pol_m(x)$ is polynomial of degree m

+ (small) contributions from $B_{s1,2}^{(*)}$

In the baseline fit, masses and natural widths of excited B⁰ states are fixed to PDG

The fit returns yields of about 8500, 10500 and 12000 events for the $B_2^* \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$, $B_2^* \rightarrow B^{*+}\pi^-$, and $B_1^* \rightarrow B^+\pi^-$ decays, respectively



Shape of reflections from $B^{*0} \rightarrow B^{(*)+}\pi^-$ decays in B^+K^- invariant mass distribution



The shapes obtained using simulated events are approximated with a product of one-sided double-Gaussian function and sum of two Gaussian functions

B⁺K⁻ invariant mass distribution

Now we fit B⁺K⁻ invariant mass distribution:

3 D-wave RBW functions convolved with resolutions

+ $(x-x_0)^a \bullet Pol_6(x)$ for background, x_0 is threshold value

+ contributions from excited B^0 (shapes fixed to MC, yields fixed to the fit results to the B⁺ π ⁻ invariant mass distribution)



B⁰K⁰_S final state



The resolution parameters and the shape of $K \leftrightarrow \pi$ swapped component are fixed from simulation (see backup)

Fraction of swapped component with respect to signal = $(18.9\pm3.0)\%$ in the B⁰ signal region of $\pm 2\sigma$

B⁰K⁰_s invariant mass distribution

Fit:

- **3** D-wave RBW functions \cap convolved with resolutions
- $(x-x_0)^a \bullet Pol_1(x)$ for bkg, x₀ is threshold value

3 contributions from $K \leftrightarrow \pi$ swap 0 (yields fixed relative to signal: S*0.189)



Measuring BF ratios



Formulae and efficiencies ratios for all 6 measured ratios are in backup

Sources of systematic uncertainty

Systematic uncertainties on the branching fraction ratios are related to:

Choice of the fit model

separate uncertainties related to the fits of B⁺ π ⁻, B⁺K⁻ and B⁰K⁰_S invariant mass distributions; largest deviation of the results under changes of the fit model is used as systematic uncertainty

Track reconstruction efficiency (3.9% per extra track)

7.8% since 2 more tracks to reconstruct in $B^0K^0_S$ final state

Mass resolution

largest change of the resulting ratios under simultaneous variations of resolution by $\pm 3\%$

➤ Fraction of K↔π swapped component largest change of the resulting ratios under variations of this fraction by ±3%

\succ Uncertainty on m_{B*}-m_B

largest change of the resulting ratios under variations of m_{B^*} - m_B by ± PDG uncertainty

\succ Non-K^{*} contribution in B⁰→J/ψK⁺π⁻ decay

estimated by fitting background-subtracted $K^{\scriptscriptstyle +}\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ invariant mass distribution

Finite size of the simulation samples uncertainties from the previous slide

Next slide shows these uncertainties and the total systematic uncertainties for the 6 measured ratios 15

Systematic uncertainties in the branching fraction ratios

 $R_{2}^{0\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})}$ $R_{1}^{0\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}$

| l | incertaint | ty in % |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Source | $R_2^{0\pm}$ | $R_1^{0\pm}$ |
| Track reconstruction efficiency | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| $m_{\mathrm{B}^{+}\pi^{-}}$ distribution model | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| $m_{\rm B^+K^-}$ distribution model | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| $m_{\mathrm{B}^{0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{S}}}$ distribution model | 14 | 8.1 |
| Mass resolution | 0.7 | 2.2 |
| Fraction of KPS | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Non-K ^{*0} contribution | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Finite size of simulated samples | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Total | 18 | 14 |

Systematic uncertainty in %

$$\begin{split} R_{2*}^{\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} \ R_{2*}^{0} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} \\ R_{\sigma}^{\pm} &= \frac{\sigma(pp \to B_{s1} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\sigma(pp \to B_{s2}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} \\ R_{\sigma}^{0} &= \frac{\sigma(pp \to B_{s1} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\sigma(pp \to B_{s2}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} \end{split}$$

| Source | R_{2*}^{\pm} | R_{2*}^{0} | R_{σ}^{\pm} | R^0_σ |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| $m_{\mathrm{B}^{+}\pi^{-}}$ distribution model | 2.9 | | 2.7 | |
| $m_{\rm B^+K^-}$ distribution model | 17 | | 7.1 | |
| $m_{\mathrm{B}^{0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{S}}}$ distribution model | | 13 | | 24 |
| Mass resolution | 1.2 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Uncertainties in $M_{B^*}^{PDG} - M_B^{PDG}$ | 7.7 | 4.8 | | |
| Finite size of simulated samples | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Total | 19 | 15 | 7.8 | 24 |

Systematic uncertainties

Four mass differences obtained from the fits

$$\Delta M_{B_{s2}^*}^{\pm} = M(B_{s2}^*) - M_{B^+}^{PDG} - M_{K^-}^{PDG}, \qquad \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{\pm} = M(B_{s1}) - M_{B^{*+}}^{PDG} - M_{K^-}^{PDG}$$

$$\Delta M_{B_{s2}^*}^0 = M(B_{s2}^*) - M_{B^0}^{PDG} - M_{K_S^0}^{PDG}, \qquad \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^0 = M(B_{s1}) - M_{B^{*0}}^{PDG} - M_{K_S^0}^{PDG}$$

allow to measure the mass differences between neutral and charged B^(*) mesons:

$$M_{B^{0}} - M_{B^{+}} = \Delta M_{B_{s2}^{*}}^{\pm} - \Delta M_{B_{s2}^{*}}^{0} + M_{K^{-}}^{PDG} - M_{K_{S}^{0}}^{PDG}$$
$$M_{B^{*0}} - M_{B^{*+}} = \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{\pm} - \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{0} + M_{K^{-}}^{PDG} - M_{K_{S}^{0}}^{PDG}$$

Additional systematic uncertainties are related to

> Shift from reconstruction: values obtained from the reconstructed MC differ a bit from those in the generation configuration. Our measurements are corrected by these shifts, and value of each shift is used as systematic uncertainty.

> Detector misalignment: 18 additional MC samples for each measurement are produced with differently distorted detector geometry, and maximum deviation from the case of no misalignment is taken as systematic uncertainty.

| Source | $\Delta M^\pm_{ m B^*_{s2}}$ | $\Delta M^{\pm}_{ m B_{s1}}$ | $\Delta M^0_{ m B^*_{s2}}$ | $\Delta M^0_{ m B_{s1}}$ | $M_{\mathrm{B}^0} - M_{\mathrm{B}^+}$ | $M_{{ m B}^{*0}}-M_{{ m B}^{*+}}$ | $\Gamma_{B^{\ast}_{s2}}$ |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| $m_{\rm B^+\pi^-}$ distribution model | 0.024 | 0.008 | | | 0.024 | 0.008 | 0.11 |
| $m_{\rm B^+K^-}$ distribution model | 0.011 | 0.043 | | | 0.011 | 0.043 | 0.11 |
| $m_{\rm B^0K_c^0}$ distribution model | | | 0.039 | 0.038 | 0.039 | 0.038 | |
| Uncertainties in $M_{B^*}^{PDG} - M_B^{PDG}$ | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.0001 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.03 |
| Shift from reconstruction | 0.056 | 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.042 | 0.075 | 0.061 | |
| Detector misalignment | 0.036 | 0.005 | 0.031 | 0.006 | 0.038 | 0.008 | 0.15 |
| Mass resolution | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.20 |
| Total | 0.073 | 0.063 | 0.071 | 0.057 | 0.098 | 0.085 | 0.30 |

<u>CMS-BPH-16-003</u>, <u>arXiv:1809.03578</u> 17

Results

Uncertainties here are, respectively, statistical, systematic, related to PDG uncertainties

$$R_{2}^{0\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} = 0.432 \pm 0.077 \pm 0.075 \pm 0.021,$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.1224}{arXiv:1607.02812}$$

$$R_{1}^{0\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})} = 0.49 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02,$$

$$R_{2*}^{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} = 0.081 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.015,$$

$$R_{2*}^{0} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} = 0.093 \pm 0.086 \pm 0.014.$$

$$R_{\sigma}^{\pm} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to B_{s1}X) \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\sigma(pp \to B_{s2}X) \mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} = 0.266 \pm 0.079 \pm 0.063.$$
Theory: 0.43
$$\frac{arXiv:1202.1224}{arXiv:1202.1224},$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.124}{arXiv:1202.1224},$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.124}{arXiv:1202.124},$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.124}{arXiv:1202.124},$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.124}{arXiv:1202.124},$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.124}{arXiv:1202.124},$$

$$\frac{arXiv:1202.124}{arX$$

Results are in agreement with existing measurements of LHCb and CDF

CMS 2018: <u>CMS-BPH-16-003</u>, <u>arXiv:1809.03578</u> LHCb 2013: <u>doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.151803</u> CDF 2014: <u>doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.012013</u>

Results

| | $\Delta M^{\pm}_{\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{s}2}} = M(\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{s}2}) - M^{\mathrm{PDG}}_{\mathrm{B}^{+}} - N$ | $M_{\rm K^-}^{\rm PDG} = 66.87 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07 {\rm MeV},$ |
|-----|---|---|
| new | $\Delta M^0_{\mathrm{B}^*_{\mathrm{s}2}} = M(\mathrm{B}^*_{\mathrm{s}2}) - M^{\mathrm{PDG}}_{\mathrm{B}^0} - N$ | $M_{\rm K_S^0}^{\rm PDG} = 62.37 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.07 { m MeV},$ |
| | $\Delta M_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}1}}^{\pm} = M(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}1}) - M_{\mathrm{B}^{*+}}^{\mathrm{PDG}} - N$ | $M_{\rm K^-}^{\rm PDG} = 10.45 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06 {\rm MeV},$ |
| new | $\Delta M_{\rm B_{s1}}^0 = M({\rm B_{s1}}) - M_{\rm B^{*0}}^{\rm PDG} - N$ | $M_{\rm K_S^0}^{\rm PDG} = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.06 { m MeV}.$ |

$$\Gamma_{B^*_{s2}} = 1.52 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.30 \, \text{MeV}$$

Comparison to previous measurements

| | M(B [*] _{s2})−M(B ⁺)−M(K ⁻) | M(B _{s1})-M(B [*] +)-M(K ⁻) | Γ(B _{s2}) |
|------|--|--|---------------------|
| LHCb | 67.06±0.12 | 10.46±0.06 | 1.56±0.49 |
| CDF | 67.73±0.19 | 10.35±0.19 | 1.4 ± 0.44 |
| CMS | 66.87±0.12 | 10.45±0.11 | 1.52±0.43 |

2nd and 3rd column are consistent with existing measurements of LHCb and CDF Measurement of $M(B_{s2}^*)-M(B^+)-M(K^-)$ agrees with LHCb, not with CDF

CMS 2018: CMS-BPH-16-003, arXiv:1809.03578 LHCb 2013: doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.151803 CDF 2014: doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.012013

Results

We also measure the mass differences between neutral and charged B^(*) mesons:

$$M_{\rm B^0} - M_{\rm B^+} = 0.57 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02 \,{
m MeV}$$

 $M_{\rm B^{*0}} - M_{\rm B^{*+}} = 0.91 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02 \,{
m MeV}$

The first mass difference is known with much better precision: (0.31±0.06) MeV [PDG] while there are no measurements for the second one.

We present a new method to measure these mass differences! It may become very precise with more data

Summary

First observation (6.3 σ) of the $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^0 K_S^0$ decay

First evidence (3.9 σ) for the $B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*0}K_S^0$ decay

 $\text{Measure 4 BF ratios } \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^* \to B^0 K_s^0)}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^* \to B^+ K^-)} \text{,} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0} K_s^0)}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+} K^-)} \text{,} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^* \to B^{*+} K^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^* \to B^+ K^-)} \text{,} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^* \to B^{*0} K_s^0)}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^* \to B^0 K_s^0)}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Measure 2 BF x σ ratios} & \frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow B_{s1} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*+}K^{-})}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow B_{s2}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \rightarrow B^{+}K^{-})} \text{,} & \frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow B_{s1} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow B_{s2}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \rightarrow B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} \end{array}$

Measure 6 mass differences and the B_{s2}^* natural width

- $M(B_{s2}^*)-M(B^+)-M(K^-)$
- $M(B_{s1})-M(B^{*+})-M(K^{-})$
- $M(B_{s2}^*)-M(B^0)-M(K_S^0)$ (first measurement)
- $M(B_{s1})-M(B^{*0})-M(K_{s}^{0})$ (first measurement)
- $M(B^{*+})-M(B^{+})$
- $M(B^{*0})-M(B^{0})$ (first measurement)
- $\Gamma(B_{s2}^*)$

We also report the mass measurements $M(B_{s2}^*)$ and $M(B_{s1})$ (in backup)

The results are in agreement with previous measurements, if they exist

Thank you !

Overview



B⁺ is reconstructed in J/ ψ K⁺ channel B⁰ is reconstructed in J/ ψ K⁺ π ⁻ channel

"Reflections":

From $B^{**} \rightarrow B^{(*)+}\pi^-$ in B^+K^- channel, yields fixed from the fit to $B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass; From $K \leftrightarrow \pi$ swap in $B^0K^0_S$ channel, yields fixed relative to the signal yields

We also measure masses, mass differences and $\Gamma(B_{s2}^*)$ in these decays

$$\begin{split} R_{2}^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} = 0.432 \pm 0.077 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.075 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.021 \text{ (PDG)} \\ R_{1}^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})} = 0.492 \pm 0.122 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.068 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.024 \text{ (PDG)} \\ R_{2*}^{\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} = 0.081 \pm 0.021 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.015 \text{ (syst)}, \\ R_{2*}^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} = 0.093 \pm 0.086 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.014 \text{ (syst)}, \\ R_{\sigma}^{\pm} &= \frac{\sigma(\text{pp} \to B_{s1} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\sigma(\text{pp} \to B_{s1}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1}^{*} \to B^{*}K^{-})} = 0.233 \pm 0.019 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.018 \text{ (syst)} \\ R_{\sigma}^{0} &= \frac{\sigma(\text{pp} \to B_{s1} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\sigma(\text{pp} \to B_{s2}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} = 0.266 \pm 0.079 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \\ \Delta M_{B_{s2}}^{\pm} &= M(B_{s2}^{*}) - M(B^{+}) - M(K^{-}) = 66.870 \pm 0.093 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.073 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s2}}^{0} &= M(B_{s2}^{*}) - M(B^{*+}) - M(K^{-}) = 10.452 \pm 0.089 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{\pm} &= M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*+}) - M(K_{s}^{-}) = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{0} &= M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*0}) - M(K_{s}^{0}) = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{0} &= M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*+}) - M(K_{s}^{-}) = 10.452 \pm 0.089 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{0} &= M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*0}) - M(K_{s}^{0}) = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.063 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{0} &= M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*0}) - M(K_{s}^{0}) = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.064 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Delta M_{B_{s1}}^{0} &= M(B_{s1}) - M(B^{*0}) - M(K_{s}^{0}) = 5.61 \pm 0.23 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.06 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}, \\ M(B_{s2}^{*}) &= 5839.86 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.15 \text{ MeV} \\ \end{array}$$

 $M(B_{\rm s1}) = 5828.78 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.28 \,\rm MeV$

 $m_{B^0} - m_{B^+} = 0.57 \pm 0.49 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.10 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (PDG)} \text{ MeV}$ $m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^{*+}} = 0.91 \pm 0.24 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.09 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (PDG)} \text{ MeV}$

 $\Gamma(B_{s2}^{*}) = 1.52 \pm 0.34 \,(stat) \pm 0.30 \,(syst) \,\text{MeV}$

CMS-BPH-16-003, arXiv:1809.03578

> All the preliminary measurements

Highlighted in yellow are the first measurements

BACKUP

Data and event selection

2012 dataset (19.6 fb⁻¹), trigger optimized to select $B \rightarrow J/\psi$... decays Muons matched to trigger; $p_T(\mu^{\pm}) > 3.5 \text{ GeV/c}$, $|\eta(\mu^{\pm})| < 2.2$ Standard CMS "high purity" tracks, $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}$

```
P_{vtx}(B) > 1\%
PV \text{ is chosen as the one with best pointing angle}
L_{xy}/\sigma_{Lxy}(B) > 5.0
Cos\alpha_{xy} > 0.99 \text{ (B momentum points to PV in xy plane)}
B \text{ mass in } \sim \pm 2\sigma_{eff} \text{ from PDG}
K^{+}
```

р

ΡV

PV

B⁺**K**⁻ **channel**: K⁻ is chosen from PV track collection

B⁰K⁰_S channel:

M(K⁺ π ⁻) in ±90 MeV from K*(892) mass,

 $M(K^+,K^-) > 1.035 \text{ GeV}$ to cut out $B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$

K/ π mass assignment: chose the candidate closer to K*(892) mass

 $\begin{array}{ll} K^0_S \mbox{ is build from displaced 2-prong vertices} \\ \cos \alpha_{xy} & > 0.999 \mbox{ (} K^0_S \mbox{ momentum points to PV in xy plane)} \end{array}$

K⁻

р

K+

K⁰

р

B⁺K⁻ signal extraction logic



The shapes of reflections from $B^0_{s1,2}$ decays in $B^+\pi^-$ invariant mass



28

The shapes of reflections from B^{0*} decays in B⁺K⁻ invariant mass



B⁰ invariant mass distribution (MC)

B⁰ is reconstructed in the decay to $J/\psi K^+\pi^-$, where kaon and pion can be misidentified (swapped) in the reconstruction. The selection requirements are

 $M(K^{+}\pi^{-})$ in ±90 MeV from K*(892) mass,

 $M(K^{+},K^{-}) > 1.035 \text{ GeV to cut out } B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\varphi$, as in P5' analysis

 K/π mass assignment: as in P5', chose the candidate closer to $K^*(892)$ mass

We use MC to obtain the signal resolution and shape of $K{\leftrightarrow}\pi$ swapped component:



B⁰ invariant mass distribution



The resolution parameters and the shape of $K \leftrightarrow \pi$ swapped component are fixed from simulation (see backup)

The B⁰ signal region [5245, 5313] MeV includes ~220000 signal candidates and ~41000 K $\leftrightarrow \pi$ swap candidates \Rightarrow "fraction of swapped component w.r.t. signal" = (18.9±0.3)%

Vary the signal resolution by + and – 3% (see B^+ fit) \Rightarrow variation of this fraction is (18.9±3.0)% (uncertainty will be considered as systematics source)

B⁰K⁰_S signal significance

Estimated using likelihood ratio of fits with and without signal component

P = TMath.Prob(Log L_S – Log L_0 , 1) Signif = $\sqrt{2}$ · Tmath.ErfcInverse(P)

where L_0 corresponds to fit with signal L_S corresponds to fit without signal

For these fits, systematic uncertainties of resolution and fraction of swapped component are included as Gaussian constraints in likelihood; Mass and Γ uncertainties from PDG are as well Gaussian-constrained

Obtained significance is:

6.3 σ for the $B_{s2}^* \rightarrow B^0 K_S^0$ decay **3.9** σ for the $B_{s1} \rightarrow B^{*0} K_S^0$ decay

They vary in [6.3, 7.0] σ and [3.6, 3.9] σ with variations of fit range and bkg model

Measured BF ratios

CMS-BPH-16-003, arXiv:1809.03578

$$\begin{split} R_{2}^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} = \frac{N(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})}{N(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} \times \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})}{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} \times \\ &\times \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi K^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to J/\psi K^{*0})\mathcal{B}(K^{*0} \to K^{+}\pi^{-})\mathcal{B}(K_{s}^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})} \\ R_{1}^{0\pm} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})} = \frac{N(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{N(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})} \times \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s1} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\varepsilon(B_{s1} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})} \times \\ &\times \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi K^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to J/\psi K^{*0})\mathcal{B}(K^{*0} \to K^{+}\pi^{-})\mathcal{B}(K_{s}^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})'} \end{split}$$

$$R_{2*}^{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} = \frac{N(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*+}K^{-})}{N(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})} \times \frac{\epsilon(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{+}K^{-})}{\epsilon(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*+}K^{-})},$$

$$R_{2*}^{0} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} = \frac{N(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})}{N(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})} \times \frac{\epsilon(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{0}K_{s}^{0})}{\epsilon(B_{s2}^{*} \to B^{*0}K_{s}^{0})},$$

$$R_{\sigma}^{\pm} = \frac{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \to \mathrm{B}^{*+}\mathrm{K}^{-})}{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s2}}^{*} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s2}}^{*} \to \mathrm{B}^{+}\mathrm{K}^{-})} = \frac{N(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \to \mathrm{B}^{*+}\mathrm{K}^{-})}{N(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s2}}^{*} \to \mathrm{B}^{+}\mathrm{K}^{-})} \times \frac{\epsilon(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s2}}^{*} \to \mathrm{B}^{+}\mathrm{K}^{-})}{\epsilon(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \to \mathrm{B}^{*+}\mathrm{K}^{-})},$$

$$R^{0}_{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}})}{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{s2}} \dots) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{s2}} \to \mathrm{B}^{0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}})} = \frac{N(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}})}{N(\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{s2}} \to \mathrm{B}^{0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}})} \times \frac{\epsilon(\mathrm{B}^{*}_{\mathrm{s2}} \to \mathrm{B}^{0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}})}{\epsilon(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s1}} \to \mathrm{B}^{*0}\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}})},$$

Relative efficiencies

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^+ K^-)}{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^0 K_s^0)} = 15.77 \pm 0.18, \quad \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s1} \to B^{*+} K^-)}{\varepsilon(B_{s1} \to B^{*0} K_s^0)} = 16.33 \pm 0.20, \\ & \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^+ K^-)}{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^{*+} K^-)} = 0.961 \pm 0.010, \quad \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^0 K_s^0)}{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^{*0} K_s^0)} = 0.970 \pm 0.012, \\ & \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^+ K^-)}{\varepsilon(B_{s1} \to B^{*+} K^-)} = 0.953 \pm 0.010, \quad \frac{\varepsilon(B_{s2}^* \to B^0 K_s^0)}{\varepsilon(B_{s1} \to B^{*0} K_s^0)} = 0.987 \pm 0.012, \end{split}$$

Their uncertainties are used as systematic uncertainties