#### 20th Annual RDMS CMS Collaboration Conference



Uzbekistan, 12-15 September 2018

Conference dedicated to new physics results, Future Physics beyond the LHC era, and the CMS Detector Upgrade, including Endcap Calorimetry

Registration: http://rdms2018.jinr.ru/ Contacts: Bekhzad.Yuldashev@cern.ch, frdept@academy.uz, zekie@inp.uz, Anatoli.Zarubin@cern.ch

Co-chairs: LGolutvin (JINR), B.Yuldashev (INP, UAS)

Deputy-chair: G.Kozlov (JINR)

Scientific secretary: A.Zarubin (JINR)

Organising Committee: R.Khalmuradov, S.Mirzaev, I.Sadykov, M.Tashmetov (INP UAS), T.Donskova, M.Gavrilenko, V.Korenkov, I.Semenyushkin, S.Shmatov (JINR), E.Boos (MSU), V.Kim (PNPI), I.Vankov (INRE BAS)

Program Committee: V.Matveev (JINR) - Co-chair, K.Borras (DESY) - Co-chair,

J.Butler (Fermilab), R.Carlin (Padova), M.Danilov (MEPhI), L.Dremin (LPI), V.Gavrilov (FIEP), S.Guinenko (INR), I.Golutvin (JINR), B.Grinev (Ukraine), N.Krasnikov (INR), A.Khvedelidze (Georgia), L.Levchuk (KPTI), L.Litov (SU), L.Malgeri (CERN), M.Mannelli (CERN), A.Sirunyan (Armenia), Yu.Skovpen (NSU), J.Suares (Belarus), R.Tenchini (Pisa), G.Tonelli (Pisa), N.Tyurin (IHEP), A.Vorobyov (PNPI), A.Zarubin (JINR), B.Yuldashev (INP, UAS)

#### Organizers:

Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Institute of Nuclear Physics (Tashkent), Samarkand State University (Samarkand), JINR (Dubna), CERN (Geneva)



## WHAT MAKES US THINK THAT THERE IS PHYSICS BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL?

Dmitry Kazakov BLTP JINR

RDMS CMS Annual Meeting , Tashkent, Sept 2018



## New particles and Interactions

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \overline{L}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} L_{\alpha} + i \overline{Q}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} Q_{\alpha} + i \overline{E}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} E_{\alpha} \\ &+ i \overline{U}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} U_{\alpha} + i \overline{D}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} D_{\alpha} + (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D_{\mu} H), \\ &+ i \overline{N}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} N_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} = y^L_{\alpha\beta} \overline{L}_{\alpha} E_{\beta} H + y^D_{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q}_{\alpha} D_{\beta} H + y^U_{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q}_{\alpha} U_{\beta} \tilde{H} + h.c.,$ 

$$+y^N_{\alpha\beta}\overline{L}_{\alpha}N_{\beta}\tilde{H}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = -V = m^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{\lambda}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4}G^{c}_{\mu}$$

$$+i\overline{L}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}L_{\epsilon}$$

$$+i\overline{U}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}U_{\alpha} + i\overline{N}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}N_{\alpha} -$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} = y^L_{\alpha\beta} \overline{L}_{\alpha} E_{\beta} H$$

$$+y^N_{\alpha\beta}\overline{L}_{\alpha}N$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = -V :$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \overline{L}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} L_{\alpha} + i \overline{Q}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} Q_{\alpha} + i \overline{E}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} E_{\alpha} \\ &+ i \overline{U}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} U_{\alpha} + i \overline{D}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} D_{\alpha} + (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D_{\mu} H), \\ &+ i \overline{N}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} N_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} = y^L_{\alpha\beta} \overline{L}_{\alpha} E_{\beta} H + y^D_{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q}_{\alpha} D_{\beta} H + y^U_{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q}_{\alpha} U_{\beta} \tilde{H} + h.c.,$ 

$$+y^N_{\alpha\beta}\overline{L}_{\alpha}N_{\beta}\tilde{H}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = -V = m^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{\lambda}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} = y^L_{\alpha\beta}\overline{L}_{\alpha}E_{\beta}H + y^D_{\alpha\beta}\overline{Q}_{\alpha}D_{\beta}H + y^U_{\alpha\beta}\overline{Q}_{\alpha}U_{\beta}\tilde{H} + h.c.,$ 

$$+y^N_{\alpha\beta}\overline{L}_{\alpha}N_{\beta}\tilde{H}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = -V = m^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{\lambda}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B_$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B_$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^i_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}^{\text{prime}nally}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\nu} \mathcal{P}^{\text{prime}nally}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}$$



#### Extraordinary agreement between measurements and SM predictions

S. Rathatlou



### New physics through precision

#### THE STANDARD MODEL: THE STATUS REPORT AND OPEN QUESTIONS

## Higgs bosons - entering precision era

Run-2 analyses with 80 fb<sup>-1</sup> for the first time – higher precision is coming!



#### THE STANDARD MODEL: THE STATUS REPORT AND OPEN QUESTIONS

### Precision EW mass measurements

#### D. Charlton LHCp2018





#### Precision spectroscopy!

## $m(\chi_{b2}(3P)) - m(\chi_{b2}(3P)) =$

10.60 ± 0.64(stat) ± 0.17 (syst) MeV



#### THE STANDARD MODEL: THE STATUS REPORT AND OPEN QUESTIONS

## **Flavor Physics**

- CKM and CPV
  - IVcb | puzzle resolved (not I Vub I)
  - new γ (φ<sub>3</sub>) from LHCb





Final Stress Field Field

The whole construction of the SM may be in trouble being metastable or even unstable



The situation crucially depends on the top and Higgs mass values and requires severe fine-tuning and high accuracy of calculations (3 loops)



Theory: uncertainty in hadronic contributions to the muon g – 2, (Jägerlehner, 1802.08019). Lattice QCD great progress light-by-light study (RBC & UKQCD, 1801.07224).

Fermilab and J-Park experiments are expected to clarify existing discrepancy!



FCNC - SM loop process: R<sub>K(\*)</sub> anomaly



 $P_5'$  in  $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$  (angular distribution functions)  $3\sigma$  (see Capriotti talk LHCb: the discrepancy present in  $B_s \to \phi \mu \mu$  and  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \mu \mu$  at ICHEP2018)

## **Neutrino Physics**



parameter	best fit $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range
$\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-9} \text{eV}^2]$	7.55_0.16	7.05-8.14
$ \Delta m_{31}^2  [10^{-3} \text{eV}^2] (\text{NO})$ $ \Delta m_{31}^2  [10^{-3} \text{eV}^2] (\text{IO})$	$2.50\pm0.03$ $2.42^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	2.41-2.60 2.31-2.51
$\sin^2 \frac{\theta_{12}}{10^{-1}}$	$3.20\substack{+0.20\\-0.16}$	2.73-3.79
$\frac{\sin^2 \theta_{23} / 10^{-1} \text{ (NO)}}{\sin^2 \theta_{23} / 10^{-1} \text{ (IO)}}$	${\begin{array}{c}{}}{5.47\substack{+0.20\\-0.30}\\{5.51\substack{+0.18\\-0.30}\end{array}}}$	$\substack{4.45-5.99\\4.53-5.98}$
$\frac{\sin^2\theta_{13}/10^{-2}}{\sin^2\theta_{13}/10^{-2}}$ (NO) (IO)	$2.160\substack{+0.083\\-0.069}\\2.220\substack{+0.074\\-0.076}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.96 – 2.41 \\ 1.99 – 2.44 \end{array}$
$\frac{\delta}{\pi}$ (NO) $\frac{\delta}{\pi}$ (IO)	${}^{1.32\substack{+0.21\\-0.15}}_{1.56\substack{+0.13\\-0.15}}$	0.87-1.94 1.12-1.94

Absolute value of neutrino masses ?

- Mass hierarchy?
- Dirac or Majorana?
- Fourth sterile neutrino?
- Neutrino dark matter?



PMNS-matrix parameters are measured with high accuracy of few %

- ${\small \bigcirc}\,$  Normal hierarchy favoured at 3.1  $\sigma$
- Nonzero CP phase favoured
- Upper octant favoured

de Salas et al, 1708.01186

## Is it just the SM or requires New physics?

- Three Types of Seesaw Mechanisms
- Require the existence of new degrees of freedom (particles) beyond those present in the SM
- Type I seesaw mechanism:  $v_{IR}$  RH vs' (heavy).

Type II seesaw mechanism: H(x) - a triplet of  $H^0,H^-,H^{--}$  Higgs fields. Type III seesaw mechanism: T(x) - a triplet of fermion fields.

### Possible Sterile Neutrino?

- New MiniBooNE consistent with LSND (but low energy excess?)
- Reactor anomaly questioned by Daya Bay/RENO time dependence
- New SBL and source experiments
- Conflict with vµ disappearance



M. Weber ICHEP2018

Major problem: 85% of matter is dark and remains invisible! Is this compatible with the SM? Does it requires modification of the SM or addition of gravity?

- Many candidates in many orders of magnitude of mass:
  - MOND (Problems: large scales, Bullet cluster)
  - Primordial black holes (LIGO, but constraints)
  - Fuzzy (very light bosons)
  - Warm (KeV sterile)
  - WIMP
  - Axions/ALPs
  - Dark sector
  - Gravitinos
  - Moduli
  - Wimpzillas
- Direct, indirect, collider



M. Drees



#### **BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL: DARK MATTER SEARCHES**



#### • Mass spectrum?



- Mixing Matrices?
- Quark-Lepton Symmetry
- Strong difference in parameters



What are the CKM and PMNS phases?
Where lies the source of CP violation: in quark or lepton sector?

$$J_{CP} = \frac{1}{8}\sin 2\theta_{12}\sin 2\theta_{23}\sin 2\theta_{13}\cos \theta_{13}\sin \delta$$

1

# Neutrino Mixing: New Symmetry? • $\theta_{12} = \theta_{\odot} \cong \frac{\pi}{5.4}, \quad \theta_{23} = \theta_{atm} \cong \frac{\pi}{4}(?), \quad \theta_{13} \cong \frac{\pi}{20}$ $U_{\text{TBM}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}; \quad U_{\text{BM}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \pm\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \pm\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad U_{\text{HGM}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \pm\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$



























Symmetry might be tricky



• Baryon asymmetry of the Universe

$$\frac{N(B) - N(\bar{B})}{N_{\gamma}} \sim (6.19 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-10}$$

• still not explained

 $B = \frac{N_q - N_{\bar{q}}}{3}$ 

- three conditions (A.D.Sakharov)
- 1. Violation of a thermal equilibrium in early Universe

A possible scenario in the early Universe when particles drop from thermal equilibrium violations T invariance

2. Violation of baryon number  $\longleftrightarrow$  (B)

Baryon number is conserved in the SM with exponential accuracy

```
Violation of baryon number occurs in Grand Unified Theories 
and in Lepton=fourth color models (Pati-Salam model ) 
Extended Highs sector
```

3. Violation of CP invariance (requires larger CP than in the SM)

In the SM achieved via phase factors in the CKM and PMNS mixing matrices

The presence of new phase factors in extended models (2HDM, SUSY, etc)

CPT is exact symmetry of Nature

• Small discrepancy with experimental data

- Small discrepancy with experimental data
- Possible new ingredients in neutrino sector (majorana neutrino)

- Small discrepancy with experimental data
- Possible new ingredients in neutrino sector (majorana neutrino)
- Instability of electroweak vacuum

- Small discrepancy with experimental data
- Possible new ingredients in neutrino sector (majorana neutrino)
- Instability of electroweak vacuum
- Inability to describe the Dark matter (unless it has pure gravitational nature)

- Small discrepancy with experimental data
- Possible new ingredients in neutrino sector (majorana neutrino)
- Instability of electroweak vacuum
- Inability to describe the Dark matter (unless it has pure gravitational nature)
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe is a fundamental problem (Baryon and Lepton genesis might require new ingredients)

- Small discrepancy with experimental data
- Possible new ingredients in neutrino sector (majorana neutrino)
- Instability of electroweak vacuum
- Inability to describe the Dark matter (unless it has pure gravitational nature)
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe is a fundamental problem (Baryon and Lepton genesis might require new ingredients)
- Lack of understanding of flavor structure of the SM calls for explanation at higher level

- Small discrepancy with experimental data
- Possible new ingredients in neutrino sector (majorana neutrino)
- Instability of electroweak vacuum
- Inability to describe the Dark matter (unless it has pure gravitational nature)
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe is a fundamental problem (Baryon and Lepton genesis might require new ingredients)
- Lack of understanding of flavor structure of the SM calls for explanation at higher level
- New era in gravity due to discovery of gravitational waves and black holes might change the landscape

#### Back to the middle of the XX century











Back to the middle of the XX century



Back to the middle of the XX century









Back to the middle of the XX century





Back to the middle of the XX century







Back to the middle of the XX century



### All the world around us is made of the 1st generation





• Who expected new physics to come?

#### Back to the middle of the XX century







- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

#### Back to the middle of the XX century



## All the world around us is made of the 1st generation



• Muon - heavy electron - 2nd generation ?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century







- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?
- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century





- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

### Back to the middle of the XX century



## All the world around us is made of the 1st generation



- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

Astrophysics & Cosmology challenge

### Back to the middle of the XX century



## All the world around us is made of the 1st generation



- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

#### <u>Astrophysics & Cosmology challenge</u>

- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Description of the Dark Matter

### Back to the middle of the XX century



## All the world around us is made of the 1st generation



- Muon heavy electron 2nd generation ?
- K-meson strangeness ?
- Quark model (OK again?)
- GIM Mechanism, J/Psi charm -2nd generation
- CP-violation: where it comes from?



- Who expected new physics to come?
- What scale of NP?

#### <u>Astrophysics & Cosmology challenge</u>

- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Description of the Dark Matter

## **Ideas** (conventional and not)

- Symmetries
  - Supersymmetry, family, ...
- Compositeness
  - Higgs, fermions, ...
- Extra dimensions
  - large, warped, ...
- Dark or hidden sectors
  - Dark, SUSY-breaking, random, ...
- Unification
  - GUT, string, ...

- New dynamical ideas
  - Relaxion, nnaturalness, clockwork, string instantons, ...
- Random or environmental
  - multiverse
  - String remnants (need not solve SM problem)
    - Z', vector fermions, extended Higgs, dark, moduli, axions, ...

#### BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL: CONCLUSIONS



#### BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL: CONCLUSIONS







#### BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL: CONCLUSIONS









## How Will We Make Progress?

• • •

### How Will We Make Progress?

The energy frontier The precision frontier and neutrinos Cosmology and astrophysics

ICHEP 2018, Seoul

Paul Langacker (IAS)