The impact of fluctuations on the QCD Phase Structure

Bernd-Jochen Schaefer

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN

Germany

HIC for FAIR
Helmholtz International Center

Germany

August 22nd, 2019

QC_3D phase structure



vacuum/nuclear matter/transition &

only corners of the phase diagram are known

from “first principles”

conjectured QC_3D phase structure



knowledge so far

mostly based on model calculations

assumptions:

equilibrium, homogeneous phases,

infinite volume, ...

conjectured QC_3D phase structure



knowledge so far

mostly based on model calculations

assumptions:

equilibrium, homogeneous phases,

infinite volume, ...

Open/unclear questions:

- **CEP:** existence/location/number
- relation between chiral & deconfinement?

  chiral ⇔ deconfinement CEP?
- **Quarkyonic phase:** coincidence of both transitions at μ=0 & μ>0?
- **inhomogeneous phases? ➜** more favored?
- **axial anomaly restoration** around chiral transition?
- **finite volume effects? ➜** lattice comparison/ influence boundary conditions
- **role of fluctuations?** so far mostly Mean-Field results

  ➜ effects of fluctuations important

  examples: size of crit reg. around CEP
- **What are good experimental signatures?**

  ➜ cumulants?

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN

conjectured QC_3D phase structure



Theory:

➜ Lattice: but simulations restricted to small μ

➜ Models: effective theories parameter dependency

➜ **Functional QFT methods: FRG,DSE, nPI**

knowledge so far

mostly based on model calculations

assumptions:

equilibrium, homogeneous phases,

infinite volume, ...

Theoretical aim:

deeper understanding & more realistic HIC description

➜ existence of critical end point(s)?

Agenda

- **Role of (quantum and thermal) Fluctuations for QCD phases**

  from mean-field approximations (MFA)

  to the Functional Renormalization Group (FRG)

- **Effect of different FRG truncations**

- **Columbia plot**

Functional Renormalization Group

- $\Gamma_k[\phi]$ scale dependent effective action $\quad t = \ln(k/\Lambda) \quad R_k$ regulators $\quad \Gamma_k^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta\phi\delta\phi}$



FRG (average effective action)

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\, \partial_t R_k \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_k^{(2)} + R_k} \right)$$

$$k\partial_k \Gamma_k[\phi] \sim \frac{1}{2}$$

[Wetterich 1993]

- Ansatz for Γ_k: **Example: Leading order derivative expansion** **arbitrary potential**

$$\Gamma_k = \int d^4x \bar{q}[i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - g(\sigma + i\vec{\tau}\vec{\pi}\gamma_5)]q + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \vec{\pi})^2 + V_k(\phi^2)$$

$$V_{k=\Lambda}(\phi^2) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 - v^2)^2 - c\sigma$$



JUSTUS-LIEBIG-UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN

Example: grid technique

Scale evolution

of meson potential:



phase transition: First order



phase transition: Second Order



FRG and QCD

- **full dynamical QCD FRG flow:** [fQCD: Pawlowski, Rennecke, Mitter, et al. since 2009]

fluctuations of **gluon**, **ghost**, **quark** and (via hadronization) **meson**

$\partial_t \Gamma_k[\phi] =$ 

in presence of **dynamical quarks**:
gluon propagator is modified



pure Yang Mills flow + matter back-coupling

FRG: quark-meson truncation

chiral phase transition:

flow for **quark-meson** model truncation:
neglect
YM contributions and bosonic fluctuations



without bosonic fluctuations:
extended Mean-field approximation

Phase diagram N_f=2

$O(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU(2)$ chiral limit no spinodal lines!



[BJS, Wambach 2005]



⬅ two phase diagrams

Phase diagram N_f=2





[BJS, Wambach 2005]



⬅ two phase diagrams

FRG: Quark-Meson with Polyakov

N_f =2 quark flavor

without back reaction $(T_0(\mu) = \mathrm{const})$



with back reaction $(T_0(\mu))$



[Herbst, Pawlowski, BJS 2010,2013]

Critical Endpoint ?

Exact location of CEP **not** (**yet**) accessible with lattice, FRG & DSE



[Herbst, Pawlowski, BJS 2011]



[Fischer, Luecker, Welzbacher 2014]

so far:

we can exclude CEP for small densities: **$\mu_B/T < 2 \ldots 3$**

Higher densities: dynamical baryons needed!

Higher cumulants

[S. Resch, BJS to be published]

infinite volume: influence of fluctuations





findings:

(quark) fluctuations pushes CEP to smaller T and bigger μ

Fluctuations wash out phase transition ➜ broader negative regions

Higher cumulants

[S. Resch, BJS to be published]

infinite volume: influence of fluctuations





findings:

(quark) fluctuations pushes CEP to smaller T and bigger μ

Fluctuations wash out phase transition ➜ broader negative regions

Agenda

- Role of (quantum and thermal) Fluctuations for QCD phases

  from mean-field approximations (MFA)

  to the Functional Renormalization Group (FRG)

- Effect of different FRG truncations

- Columbia plot

FRG analysis for N_f = 2+1 quark flavor

[Rennecke, BJS 2018]

$$\Gamma_k = \int_x \Big\{ \bar{q}\, Z_{q,k} \big(\gamma_\mu \partial_\mu + \gamma_0 \mu\big) q + \bar{q} h_k \cdot \Sigma_5 q + \mathrm{tr}\big(Z_{\Sigma,k}\, \partial_\mu \Sigma \cdot \partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger\big) + \tilde{U}_k(\Sigma) \Big\}$$

$$q^T = (l, l, s) \qquad \Sigma_5 = T_a(\sigma_a + i\gamma_5 \pi_a)$$

- effective potential $\tilde{U}_k = U_k(\rho_1, \tilde{\rho}_2) - j_l \sigma_l - j_s \sigma_s - c_k\, \xi$ $\qquad \xi = \det \Sigma + \det \Sigma^\dagger$

U(3) x U(3) sym. potential
(two chiral invariants)
$\rho_i = \mathrm{tr}(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma^\dagger)^i$

explicit chiral symmetry breaking:
finite light &
strange current quark masses

anomalous U(1)A breaking
via ’t Hooft determinant

- wave function renormalizations $p^2 + m^2 \to Z_k\, p^2 + m^2$

$$Z_{q,k} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{l,k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{l,k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z_{s,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Z_{\Sigma,k} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\phi,k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{\phi,k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z_{\phi,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Yukawa couplings

$$h_k = \begin{pmatrix} h_{l,k} & h_{l,k} & h_{ls,k} \\ h_{l,k} & h_{l,k} & h_{ls,k} \\ h_{sl,k} & h_{sl,k} & h_{s,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Different FRG truncations

[Rennecke, BJS 2018]

- different truncations:

$$\Gamma_k = \int_x \Big\{ \bar{q}\, Z_{q,k} \big(\gamma_\mu \partial_\mu + \gamma_0 \mu\big) q + \bar{q} h_k \cdot \Sigma_5 q + \mathrm{tr}\big(Z_{\Sigma,k}\, \partial_\mu \Sigma \cdot \partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger\big) + \tilde{U}_k(\Sigma) \Big\}$$

truncation	running couplings
LPA′+Y	$\bar{\tilde{U}}_k,\ \bar{h}_{l,k},\ \bar{h}_{s,k},\ Z_{l,k},\ Z_{s,k},\ Z_{\phi,k}$
LPA+Y	$\bar{\tilde{U}}_k,\ \bar{h}_{l,k},\ \bar{h}_{s,k}$
LPA	$\bar{\tilde{U}}_k$

LPA = local potential approximation = leading order derivative expansion

Y = Yukawa coupling running

LPA’ = beyond local potential approximation include wave function renormalization

Chiral Phase Diagram

[Rennecke, BJS 2018]

- Critical Endpoint for different truncations:

truncation	running couplings	$(T_{\mathrm{CEP}}, \mu_{\mathrm{CEP}})$ [MeV]
LPA′+Y	$\bar{\tilde{U}}_k,\ \bar{h}_{l,k},\ \bar{h}_{s,k},\ Z_{l,k},\ Z_{s,k},\ Z_{\phi,k}$	(61,235)
LPA+Y	$\bar{\tilde{U}}_k,\ \bar{h}_{l,k},\ \bar{h}_{s,k}$	(46,255)
LPA	$\bar{\tilde{U}}_k$	(44,265)



Masses

[Rennecke, BJS 2018]

- quark masses



- meson masses



driven by the mesonic wave-function renormalization

mesons decouple more rapidly beyond LPA

Masses

[Rennecke, BJS 2018]

- quark masses



- meson masses



driven by the mesonic wave-function renormalization

mesons decouple more rapidly beyond LPA

Mixing angles

- mixing angles determine light and strange quark content of σ, f0, η, η' mesons

[Rennecke, BJS 2018]

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi_s & -\sin\varphi_s \\ \sin\varphi_s & \cos\varphi_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_l \\ \sigma_s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi_p & -\sin\varphi_p \\ \sin\varphi_p & \cos\varphi_p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_l \\ \eta_s \end{pmatrix}$$



significant effects on pseudoscalar mixing beyond LPA!

consequence: chiral partners of η and η' change!

mean-field/LPA	LPA′ + Y
(η, f_0)	(η, a_0)
(η', a_0)	(η', f_0)



Agenda

- Role of (quantum and thermal) Fluctuations for QCD phases

  from mean-field approximations (MFA)

  to the Functional Renormalization Group (FRG)

- Effect of different FRG truncations

- Columbia plot

Quark mass sensitivity: Columbia plot

For physical quark masses: smooth phase transitions ➜ deconfinement: analytic change of d.o.f.

➜ associated global QCD symmetries only exact in two mass limits

1.infinite quark masses (center symmetry) Order parameter: VEV of traced Polyakov loop(s)

2. massless quarks (chiral symmetry) Order parameter: chiral condensate(s)

for finite quark masses:
both symmetries
explicitly broken



[de Forcrand D'Elia 2017]

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN

Role of axial anomaly?

For physical quark masses: smooth phase transitions ➜ deconfinement: analytic change of d.o.f.

➜ associated global QCD symmetries only exact in two mass limits

1.infinite quark masses (center symmetry) Order parameter: VEV of traced Polyakov loop(s)

2. massless quarks (chiral symmetry) Order parameter: chiral condensate(s)



[de Forcrand D'Elia 2017]

still conflicting lattice results!

open issue: Nf=2: O(4)? $U(2)_L \times U(2)_R / U(2)_V$?

➜ similar crit. exponents

or even 1st order?

➜ dep. on strength of axial anomaly!

- example:

if $U_A(1)$ broken @T_c ➜ O(4) universality

➜ there exist tricritical m^{tri}_s

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN

Role of axial anomaly?

location of tri-critical point still an open question (maybe shifts to infinite strange quark mass)

below physical point

above physical point







[HotQCD 2019]

Role of axial anomaly?

location of tri-critical point still an open question (maybe shifts to infinite strange quark mass)

below physical point

above physical point







[HotQCD 2019]

Mean-field analysis

chiral critical surface
standard scenario



chiral critical surface
non-standard scenario



finite chemical potential

Nf=2+1 quark-meson model

Mean-field approximation



with $U_A(1)$-anomaly

[BJS, M. Wagner 2009]



without $U_A(1)$-anomaly

FRG analysis

Nf=2+1 FRG QM truncation:

initial action in the UV: 7 parameters, (4 couplings, 2 explicit symmetry breaking, 1 ‘t Hooft determinant)
axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry: on or off

How to fix initial action in the UV away from the physical mass point?

1.) **fixed UV -scenario**:
vary only explicit SB
remaining parameter
adjusted @ physical
point

α=1 physical mass point

α=0 chiral limit



FRG analysis

Nf=2+1 FRG QM truncation:

initial action in the UV: 7 parameters, (4 couplings, 2 explicit symmetry breaking, 1 ‘t Hooft determinant)
axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry: on or off

How to fix initial action in the UV away from the physical mass point?

1.) **fixed UV -scenario**:
vary only explicit SB
remaining parameter
adjusted @ physical
point





α=1 physical mass point

α=0 chiral limit



No symmetry breaking in the chiral limit!

[Resch, Rennecke, BJS 2019]

FRG analysis

Nf=2+1 FRG QM truncation:

initial action in the UV: 7 parameters, (4 couplings, 2 explicit symmetry breaking, 1 ‘t Hooft determinant)
axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry: on or off

How to fix initial action in the UV away from the physical mass point?

2.) **fixed f_π-scenario**: (motivated by chiral perturbation theory)
fix f_π in the infrared when explicit SB is varied

trick: vary only UV cutoff while initial action is fixed





[Resch, Rennecke, BJS 2019]

Columbia plots

FRG
[Resch, Rennecke, BJS 2019]

all fluctuations
quarks & mesons



with $U_A(1)$-anomaly



without $U_A(1)$-anomaly

Columbia plots

FRG
[Resch, Rennecke, BJS 2019]



all fluctuations
quarks & mesons



with $U_A(1)$-anomaly | **without $U_A(1)$-anomaly**

Mean-field analysis
[BJS, Wagner 2009]





Columbia plots

FRG
[Resch, Rennecke, BJS 2019]



all fluctuations
quarks & mesons



with $U_A(1)$-anomaly

without $U_A(1)$-anomaly

Extended Mean-field analysis
[Resch, Rennecke, BJS 2019]



influence of vacuum
fluctuations of quarks



Columbia plots



findings:

conventional bending of chiral critical surface
➜ critical endpoint @ physical mass point

tricritical strange quark mass far away from light chiral limit

First-order region around chiral limit very small
➜ in agreement with lattice
[Enrödi, et al. 2007, de Forcrane et al. 2017]

Nf=2+1 ➜ Nf=2 analytically connected two-flavor chiral limit

influence of axial anomaly on chiral critical line



all fluctuations
quarks & mesons



with $U_A(1)$-anomaly

without $U_A(1)$-anomaly

Summary & Conclusions

- quantum and thermal fluctuations on QCD phase diagram via FRG investigation with different truncations: LPA, LPA', LPA'+Y

➜ fluctuations are important (beyond LPA)

➜ mass sensitivity of the chiral phase structure (Columbia plot)