# TESTING DARK MATTER MODELS AND QUANTUM FOUNDATIONS WITH THE SABRE EXPERIMENT

F. Nuti\* for the SABRE collaboration \*The University of Melbourne ICNFP 2019, Crete 26/08/2019







## DIRECT DETECTION OF DARK MATTER

dR [cpd/kg/keV

3

- WIMP-like dark matter (DM) expected to occasionally interact with nuclei
- Rate depends on the relative velocity DM-nucleus  $\rightarrow$ Annual modulation due to Earth change of speed during the year  $S_m/S_0=O(10^{-2})$

• For DM masses  $m_D > GeV$ recoil energies 1-100 keV



### THE CSL MODELS



- In quantum mechanics, the collapse of the wave function to a single state is unexplained (measurement problem)
- The transition Quantum-to-Classical worlds is also unclear
- The Continuous Spontaneous Localization (CSL) models address these questions introducing interactions with a stochastic nonlinear noise field in the Schrödinger Equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}|\psi(t)\rangle}{\mathrm{d}t} = \hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle \longrightarrow i\hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}|\psi_t\rangle}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{H} - \frac{\hbar\sqrt{\lambda}}{m_0}\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,\hat{M}(\mathbf{x})w_t(\mathbf{x})\right]|\psi_t\rangle$$

• Fundamental parameters of the theory: the collapse rate  $\lambda$ , the correlation length of the noise  $r_{c}$  Adler, Bassi, 2007

### SEARCH FOR SPONTANEOUS X-RAY EMISSION



 The CSL models have several implications that can be tested by different types of experiments

• CSL predicts spontaneous x-ray emission from charged particles that can be detected by DM direct detection experiments





5

#### Goals:

- Direct detection of DM in ultra-pure sodium-iodide (NaI) detectors in North and South hemispheres
- Model-independent verification/exclusion of DAMA/LIBRA annual modulation (see V. Caracciolo talk)



# SABRE KEY FEATURES



MELBOURNI

# Ultra-Pure Crystals



- The crystal radiopurity is the most critical aspect for Nal detectors
- SABRE uses
  - High purity Astro-grade powder produced by Merck (former Sigma-Aldrich)
  - Clean crystal growth method developed by Princeton and RMD
- Two octagonal 3.5 kg crystals have been grown



Element	Nal Powder	Crystal	DAMA Crystal
<sup>nat</sup> K	3.5 - 18 ppb	4.3 ± 0.9 ppb	13 ppb
<sup>238</sup> U	< 1 ppt	< 1 ppt	0.7 – 7.5 ppt
<sup>232</sup> Th	< 1 ppt	< 1 ppt	1 ppt
<sup>87</sup> Rb	0.2 ppb	< 0.1 ppb	< 0.35 ppb

# LOW ENERGY THRESHOLD

- SABRE aims to be sensitive to the energies covered by DAMA/LIBRA 1-6 KeVee and below
- Ourrent Design:
  - 2 x Hamamatsu R11065-20 3" PMTs per crystal with High QE: > 35% and minimal contaminations
  - Direct PMT-Crystal coupling for maximal light yield
  - $\bullet$  Custom pre-amplifiers and super bialkali photocathodes  $\rightarrow$  less afterglow and dark noise



MELBOURN





## **ACTIVE BACKGROUND REJECTION**



- Crystals surrounded by a liquid scintillator detector to reject external and intrinsic backgrounds
- Veto processes with energy > 100 keVee
- Very effective in rejecting <sup>40</sup>K crystal events









PoP

# DOUBLE LOCATION



#### Twin experiments in opposite hemispheres allow to:

- •rule out potential seasonal modulations and local effects
- reduce biases thanks to independent systems





## STAWELL UNDEGROUND PHYSICS LABORATORY

- Clean laboratory at the Stawell Gold Mine 1025 m underground
- Project fully funded with A\$10 millions
- Onstruction to start in September 2019
- SABRE @ SUPL around the end of 2020 10 m



Clean-room, low radon areas

CLEANING ANTE ROC





# Proof of Principle

- At LNGS, SABRE Proof of Principle (PoP) phase is ready for commissioning:
- Single 3.5 kg crystal
- Active veto with 2 ton PC+PPO (3g/l) scintillator and 10 Hamamatsu R5912-100 PMTs
- Hybrid passive shielding:
  - Bottom: 15 cm Pb + 10 cm PE
  - Sides: 40 cm PE + 90 cm water
  - Top: 10 cm PE + 2cm Stainless Steel +80cm water

Goals:

- Characterize crystal contaminations, particularly <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>210</sup>Pb
- Test active veto performance





Francesco





# SABRE SIMULATION

- GEANT4 simulation of the PoP detector and estimate of the expected background
- Considered radiogenic and cosmogenic contaminations in:
  - Nal(Tl) crystals
  - Crystal wrapping + PMTs
  - Crystal enclosure
  - Crystal insertion system (CIS)
  - Vessel, Liquid Scintillator, vessel PMTs (Veto)
- Activity values from preliminary measurements and literature (see backup)

Expected external background below 5E-03 cpd/keV/kg <sup>25/8/19</sup>



MELBOURN

13



## <sup>40</sup>K measurement mode (KMM)



Target <sup>4</sup>°K electron capture (3 keV auger e<sup>-</sup> + 1.46 MeV γ) in the crystal and other processes with large energy deposits in the scintillator

Selection:  $E(Scintillator) \in [1280,1640] \text{ keVee}$  $E(Crystal) \in [2,4] \text{ keVee}$ 

	Rate KMM
	[cpd/kg/keV]
Crystal Cosmogenic*	$9.8\cdot10^{-3}$
Veto	$6.2\cdot10^{-3}$
Enclosure	$1.3\cdot 10^{-3}$
Crystal PMTs	$1.1\cdot 10^{-3}$
CIS	$7.7\cdot 10^{-4}$
Crystal (no $^{40}$ K)	$5.1\cdot 10^{-5}$
Total	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$
Crystal <sup>40</sup> K	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-1}$

\* After 2 months underground



# DARK MATTER MODE (DMM)



15

Test the active rejection power of the liquid scintillator system and the

expected background in the crystal

Selection: E(Scintillator) < 100 keVee $E(Crystal) \in [2,6] \text{ keVee}$ 

	Rate, veto OFF	Rate, veto ON
	[cpd/kg/keV]	[cpd/kg/keV]
Crystal	$3.5\cdot10^{-1}$	$1.5\cdot10^{-1}$
Crystal $(^{3}H)$ *	$1.4\cdot10^{-1}$	$1.4\cdot10^{-1}$
Crystal Cosmogenic*	$2.4\cdot10^{-1}$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
Crystal PMTs	$4.3\cdot10^{-2}$	$3.5\cdot10^{-2}$
Enclosure	$9.5\cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.6\cdot10^{-3}$
Veto	$3.0\cdot10^{-2}$	$5.7\cdot10^{-4}$
CIS	$3.7\cdot10^{-3}$	$4.6\cdot10^{-4}$
Total	$8.2\cdot10^{-1}$	$3.6\cdot10^{-1}$

\* After 6 months underground



# EXPECTED SENSITIVITY (DM)

If PoP data confirm the simulated background estimate → SABRE full scale can test DAMA/LIBRA at 5σ sensitivity in few years

- 90% CL limits for spinindependent WIMP nuclear scattering are obtained assuming:
- 50 kg of total crystal mass
- 3 years of exposure
- $\odot$  0.13 < Q<sub>Na</sub> < 0.21 and Q<sub>l</sub>=0.09







# EXPECT SENSITIVITY (QM)





- Limits on the CSL parameters λ and  $r_c$  found assuming:
  - the background rate from simulation
  - an uncertainty on the overall background magnitude of 10%
  - 6 months of data taking with a single 3.5 kg crystal
- SABRE PoP sensitivity is comparable with that of the current leading experiment for spontaneous X-ray emission

• Could potentially set the strongest limit



Proposed theoretical values (Dots) and exclusion from: LISA Pathfinder, cold atoms, phonon excitations in crystals, X-ray emission (IGEX), nanomechanical cantilever, theory

# CONCLUSION

#### SABRE can:

- achieve the lowest background among Nal(Tl) detectors
- perform a model-independent 5σ verification of the DAMA/LIBRA modulation
- exclude any local effects thanks to double location
- compete with the current x-ray emission detectors to set the strongest limits on the CSL models
- Proof of Principle phase is in commissioning
- SABRE @ SUPL is expected by the end of 2020







# BACKGROUNDS





100

1000

10

Depth [meter water equivalent]

0.01

0.1

# CRYSTAL BACKGROUND (DMM)

S	
	THE UNIVERSITY C
	MELBOURN

Isotope	Rate, veto OFF	Rate, veto ON
	[cpd/kg/keV]	[cpd/kg/keV]
	Intrinsic	
<sup>87</sup> Rb	$6.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$^{40}$ K	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$^{238}U$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$
<sup>210</sup> Pb	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$^{85}$ Kr	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$^{232}$ Th	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.7\cdot10^{-3}$
Tot Intrinsic	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-1}$
	Cosmogenic	
$^{3}H$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-1}$
<sup>121</sup> Te	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$
<sup>113</sup> Sn	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$^{22}$ Na	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
<sup>125</sup> I	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<sup>129</sup> I	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<sup>126</sup> I	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$^{127m}$ Te	$6.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$6.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$^{121m}$ Te	$7.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$^{123m}$ Te	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.3\cdot10^{-5}$
$^{125m}$ Te	$3.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.7\cdot10^{-6}$
Tot Cosmogenic	$3.8 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-1}$
(180  days)		



#### Cosmogenic activation:

Calculation with ACTIVIA and assumptions:

- 1 year of exposure at sea level
- + 10 hours flight from US (crystal production in Boston/Princeton) to Italy
- 6 months underground

### External Background



• Simulation of U, Th and K in the LNGS rocks and propagate in SABRE geometry

	Hall B [ppm]	Hall C [ppm]
к	7068 ± 90	12780 ± 70
U	0.56 ± 0.01	0.966 ± 0.004
Th	0.54 ± 0.01	0.840 ± 0.006

In agreement with values in literature (H. Wulandari et al. Astroparticle Physics 22 (2004) 313–322)

	Rate in [2-6] keV [cpd/kg/keV]
Gamma Hall B	< 4.0 10 <sup>-3</sup> (99% CL)
Gamma Hall C	< <b>5.4 10<sup>-3</sup></b> (99% CL)
Total internal	0.36

Gamma external background including shielding and veto effect is **O(100) lower** than internal backgrounds

 Preliminary study on radiogenic neutrons show that the contribution is ~10<sup>-4</sup> cpd/kg/keV in the signal region Nuti

# CONTAMINATIONS (1/2)



Isotope	Activity	Reference	
<sup>40</sup> K	10 ppb	SABRE	Ra
<sup>238</sup> U	< 1 ppt	( <u>arXiv:1806.09344)</u>	dio
<sup>232</sup> Th	< 1 ppt		ger
<sup>87</sup> Rb	< 0.1 ppb		nic
<sup>210</sup> Pb	<0.03 mBq/kg	DAMA ( <u>arXiv:0804.2738</u>	)
<sup>85</sup> Kr	<0.01 mBq/kg		

#### Crystal PMTs (XENON1T <u>arXiv:1503.07698</u>)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/PMT]		
	Body	Window	Ceramic plate
<sup>40</sup> K	<5.9	< 0.48	6.5
<sup>60</sup> Co	0.65	< 0.042	< 0.19
<sup>238</sup> U	< 0.52	<1.8	13
$^{226}$ Ra	< 0.29	0.040	0.29
$^{232}$ Th	< 0.0098	< 0.037	0.70
$^{228}$ Th	< 0.41	< 0.015	0.13

Isotope	Activity [mBq/kg]	Reference
ЗН	0.018	Activia simulation
<sup>22</sup> Na	0.48	software
126	4.1	
129	0.57	
<sup>113</sup> Sn	0.096	
125	1.9	
<sup>121</sup> Te	1.27	
<sup>121</sup> mTe	0.50	
<sup>123</sup> mTe	0.31	
<sup>125</sup> mTe	0.69	
<sup>127</sup> mTe	0.50	

## PTFE crystal wrapping (XENON100 <u>arXiv:1207.5988</u>)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/kg]
<sup>40</sup> K	3.1
$^{238}\mathrm{U}$	0.25
$^{232}\mathrm{Th}$	0.5

## CONTAMINATIONS (2/2)



#### PTFE parts of enclosure (XENON100 <u>arXiv:1103.5831</u>)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/kg]
<sup>40</sup> K	$<\!\!2.25$
<sup>238</sup> U	< 0.31
$^{232}$ Th	< 0.16
<sup>60</sup> Co	< 0.11
$^{137}Cs$	< 0.13

#### Steel vessel (SABRE GDMS method)

Isotope	Activity/Concentration
40K	4 ppb
238U	$0.3 \mathrm{~ppb}$
$232\mathrm{Th}$	< 0.1  ppb

#### Veto PMTs (DarkSide-50 <u>arXiv:1512.07896</u>)

<b>`</b>	
Isotope	Activity[mBq/PMT]
40K	649
238U	883
$232 \mathrm{Th}$	110
$235\mathrm{U}$	41

### Copper parts of enclosure (Cuore-0 arXiv:1609.01666)

Isotope	Half life [days]	Activity [mBq/kg]	]
<sup>40</sup> K		0.7	
$^{238}\mathrm{U}$		0.065	
$^{232}\mathrm{Th}$		0.002	
$^{60}$ Co	1925	0.340	
$^{58}$ Co	71	0.798	5
$^{57}\mathrm{Co}$	272	0.519	
$^{56}$ Co	77	0.108	
$^{54}Mn$	312	0.154	
$^{46}\mathrm{Sc}$	84	0.027	
$^{59}$ Fe	44	0.047	
$^{48}V$	16	0.039	

#### Liquid scintillator (Borexino Nucl. Instr. & Meth. A609 (2009) 58)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/kg]
<sup>40</sup> K	$3.5\cdot10^{-7}$
$^{238}$ U	$< 1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$^{232}$ Th	$< 1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
<sup>210</sup> Pb	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$
<sup>210</sup> Bi	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$
<sup>7</sup> Be	$< 1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$^{14}C$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$
<sup>39</sup> Ar	$3.5\cdot 10^{-6}$
$^{85}$ Kr	$3.5\cdot 10^{-7}$