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## Overview

(1) Magnetohydrodynamic in heavy ion collisions
(2) Particle transverse momentum spectrum

## Relativistic MHD

## RMHD equations

The coupled RMHD equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu} & =0, T^{\mu \nu}=T_{\text {matt }}^{\mu \nu}+T_{E M}^{\mu \nu}  \tag{1}\\
d_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu} & =-J^{\nu},\left(d_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0\right), J^{\mu}=\rho u^{\mu}+\sigma^{\mu \nu} e_{\nu}  \tag{2}\\
d_{\mu} F^{* \mu \nu} & =0, e^{\mu}=F^{\mu \nu} u_{\nu}, b^{\mu}=F^{* \mu \nu} u_{\nu} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $d_{\mu}$ is covariant derivative.

## Resistive RMHD

In the case of finite and homogeneous electrical conductivity $\sigma$ of medium

$$
\begin{align*}
D \epsilon+(\epsilon+P) \Theta & =e^{\lambda} J_{\lambda},  \tag{4}\\
(\epsilon+P) D u^{\alpha}+\nabla^{\alpha} P & =F^{\alpha \lambda} J_{\lambda}-u^{\alpha} e^{\lambda} J_{\lambda},  \tag{5}\\
d_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu} & =-J^{\nu},\left(d_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0\right), d_{\mu} F^{* \mu \nu}=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## Relativistic MHD

## Ideal RMHD

If we suppose the electrical conductivity of QGP to be infinite $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ then we obtain electric field four vector $e_{\mu}=(0,0,0,0)$. Conservative equations and Maxwell equations are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
D\left(\epsilon+\frac{1}{2} b^{2}\right)+\left(\epsilon+P+b^{2}\right) \Theta+u_{\mu} b^{\nu} d_{\nu} b^{\mu} & =0  \tag{7}\\
\left(\epsilon+P+b^{2}\right) D u^{\mu}+\nabla^{\mu}\left(P+\frac{1}{2} b^{2}\right)-b^{\mu} d_{\nu} b^{\nu} & \\
-b^{\nu} d_{\nu} b^{\mu}-u^{\mu} u_{\nu} b^{\lambda} d_{\lambda} b^{\nu} & =0  \tag{8}\\
D b^{\mu}+\Theta b^{\mu}-u^{\mu} b^{\nu} D u_{\nu}-b^{\nu} d_{\nu} u^{\mu} & =0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
D=u^{\mu} d_{\mu}, \quad \Theta=d_{\mu} u^{\mu}
$$

Gabriele Inghirami et al, Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:659. M. Haddadi Moghaddam et al, Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78:255.

## Induced radial flow in B-field

We consider the medium expands both radially and along the beam axis, the only nonzero components of
$u_{\mu}=\left(u_{\tau}, u_{\perp}, 0,0\right)$ are $u_{\tau}$, which describes the boost-invariant longitudinal expansion, and $u_{\perp}$, which describes the transverse expansion.
And we suppose the external magnetic field to be located in transverse plane as


Transverse MHD u•B $=0$.

## Gubser Flow

Gubser explains a generalization of Bjorken flow where the medium has finite transverse size and expands both radially and along the beam axis. The local four-velocity in the flow is entirely determined by the assumption of symmetry under a subgroup of the conformal group. The profile suggested by Gubser:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\mu}=\left(u^{\tau}, u^{\perp}, 0,0\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\tau}=\frac{1+q^{2} \tau^{2}+q^{2} x_{\perp}^{2}}{2 q \tau \sqrt{1+g^{2}}}, u^{\perp}=\frac{q x_{\perp}}{\sqrt{1+g^{2}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1+q^{2} x_{\perp}^{2}-q^{2} \tau^{2}}{2 q \tau} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q$ is a quantity with dimensions of inverse length.
S. Gubser, Phys. Rev D 82, 085027 (2010)

## Why perturbation approach?



The typical magnetic field produced in Au-Au peripheral collisions at
$\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ reaches $|e B| \sim 10 m_{\pi}^{2}$. The estimate $\epsilon \sim 5.4 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ at about proper time $\tau=1 \mathrm{fm}$ is taken from Gubser flow. By taking $m_{\pi} \approx 150 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $e^{2}=4 \pi / 137$, one finds $B_{c}^{2} / \epsilon \sim 0.6$. This value in the central collisions is much smaller than in peripheral collisions, therefore, in our calculations we assumed
$B_{c}^{2} / \epsilon=0.015$ which correspond to $\rho=e B_{c}^{2} / 2 \epsilon \sim 0.002$.

Victor Roy et al, Phys. Rev C 92, 064902, (2015)

## Analytical solution

We now seek the perturbative solution in the presence of a weak external magnetic field pointing along the $\phi$ direction in an inviscid fluid with infinite electrical conductivity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\mu}=\left(1, \lambda^{2} u_{\perp}, 0,0\right), b_{\mu}=\left(0,0, \lambda b_{\phi}, 0\right), \quad b^{2} \equiv b^{\mu} b_{\mu} \\
& \epsilon=\epsilon_{0}(\tau)+\lambda^{2} \epsilon_{1}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right), \epsilon_{0}(\tau)=\frac{\epsilon_{c}}{\tau^{4 / 3}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

## Shi Pu et al, Phys. Rev. D 93,054042 (2016)

In such setup, the conservation equations (Energy and Euler eqs.) reduce to the following partial differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\perp}-\tau^{2} \partial_{\perp}\left(\frac{u_{\perp}}{x_{\perp}}\right)-\tau^{2} \partial_{\perp}^{2} u_{\perp}-\tau \partial_{\tau} u_{\perp}+3 \tau^{2} \partial_{\tau}^{2} u_{\perp} \\
& -\frac{3 \tau^{7 / 3}}{x_{\perp} \epsilon_{c}} b_{\phi}^{2}-\frac{3 \tau^{7 / 3}}{4 \epsilon_{c}} \partial_{\perp} b_{\phi}^{2}-\frac{9 \tau^{10 / 3}}{4 x_{\perp} \epsilon_{c}} \partial_{\tau} b_{\phi}^{2}-\frac{3 \tau^{10 / 3}}{4 \epsilon_{c}} \partial_{\perp} \partial_{\tau} b_{\phi}^{2}=0 .(14)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perturbative solution

When $b_{\phi}=0$, our PDE is a homogeneous partial differential equation, which can be solved by separation of variables. The general solution are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\perp}^{h o m}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)= & \sum_{k}\left(c_{1}^{k} J_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right)+c_{2}^{k} Y_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right)\right) \times \\
& \left(c_{1}^{\prime k} \tau^{2 / 3} J_{1 / 3}(k \tau / \sqrt{3})+c_{2}^{\prime k} \tau^{2 / 3} Y_{1 / 3}(k \tau / \sqrt{3})\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

For non-vanishing $b_{\phi}$ we assume a space-time profile of the magnetic field in central collisions in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\phi}^{2}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=B_{c}^{2} \tau^{n} \sqrt{\alpha} x_{\perp} e^{-\alpha x_{\perp}^{2}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the magnitude of $b_{\phi}$ is zero at $x_{\perp}=0$. In order to find solutions for transverse velocity $u_{\perp}$ and energy density $\epsilon$ consistently with the assumed magnetic field, we found it convenient to first expand the magnetic field, Eq. (16) into a series of $x_{\perp}$-dependent functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\phi}^{2}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=\sum \tau^{n} B_{k}^{2} f\left(k x_{\perp}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Perturbative solution

We consider the following ansatz for radial velocity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\perp}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=\sum_{m}\left(a_{m}(\tau) J_{1}\left(m x_{\perp}\right)+b_{m}(\tau) Y_{1}\left(m x_{\perp}\right)\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because when $m=0$ then $u_{\perp}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=0$ so from initial condition we obtain $b_{m}(\tau)=0$. Finally, is solved from the following ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right)\left(1+\tau^{2} k^{2}-\tau \partial_{\tau}+3 \tau^{2} \partial_{\tau}^{2}\right) a_{k}(\tau) \\
& -\frac{3 \tau^{7 / 3+n}}{4 \epsilon_{c}} B_{k}^{2}\left(\frac{f\left(x_{\perp}\right)}{x_{\perp}}(4+3 n)+\partial_{\perp}\left(f\left(x_{\perp}\right)\right) k(1+n)\right)=0 . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

One easily finds that for $a_{k}(\tau)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\tau^{2} k^{2}-\tau \partial_{\tau}+3 \tau^{2} \partial_{\tau}^{2}\right) a_{k}(\tau)-\frac{3 k \tau^{7 / 3+n}}{4 \epsilon_{c}} B_{k}^{2}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mathematical setup for magnetic field

From the previous calculations we found that spatial function $f\left(x_{\perp}\right)$ obey in the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+n) k x_{\perp} \partial_{\perp} f\left(x_{\perp}\right)+(4+3 n) f\left(x_{\perp}\right)=k x_{\perp} J_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(k x_{\perp}\right)= & \frac{k^{2} x_{\perp}^{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{2 n k+2 k+3 n+4}{2 n k+2 k}\right){ }_{1} F_{2}\left(\frac{2 n k+2 k+3 n+4}{2 n k+2 k} ; 2, \frac{4 n k+4 k+3 n+4}{2 n k+2 k} ;-\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\right.}{4(n+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{4 k n+4 k+3 n+4}{2 k n+2 k}\right)} \\
& +d_{1}\left(k^{2}(n+1) x_{\perp}\right)^{-\frac{3 n+4}{k n+k}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where ${ }_{1} F_{2}$ is the hypergeometric function. The first term is a well-defined function, but the second one diverges in $x_{\perp}=0$ for any $n$ except $n=-4 / 3$; hence, $d_{1}$ must be zero. For $n=-1$, wich will be considered in details; the solution of Eq. (21) takes a simple form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(k x_{\perp}\right)=k x_{\perp} J_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right) \quad(\text { for } n=-1) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Magnetic field profile for the case $n=-1$

The square of magnetic field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\phi}^{2}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=\sum_{k} \tau^{-1} B_{k}^{2} \beta_{1 k} \frac{x_{\perp}}{a} J_{1}\left(\beta_{1 k} \frac{x_{\perp}}{a}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $B_{k}^{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{2}=\frac{2 a}{a^{2} \beta_{1 k}\left[J_{2}\left(\beta_{1 k}\right)\right]^{2}} \int_{0}^{a} J_{1}\left(\beta_{1 k} \frac{x_{\perp}}{a}\right) b_{\phi}^{2} d x_{\perp} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{1 k}$ is the $k$ th zero of $J_{1}$. In above integral, one can substitute the appropriate profile for $b_{\phi}^{2}$ as we supposed.

## Radial velocity for the case $n=-1$

The transverse velocity takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\perp}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=\sum_{k} a_{k}(\tau) J_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right) .  \tag{26}\\
a_{k}(\tau)=c_{1}^{k} \tau^{2 / 3} J_{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{k \tau}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+c_{2}^{k} \tau^{2 / 3} Y_{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{k \tau}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+\frac{\pi k B_{k}^{2}}{48 \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \epsilon_{c} \sqrt[3]{k \tau}} \\
\left(-2^{2 / 3} \sqrt[3]{3} \tau^{4 / 3} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)(k \tau)^{2 / 3} J_{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{k \tau}{\sqrt{3}}\right)_{1} F_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{1}{12} k^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right. \\
+2 \sqrt[3]{2} 3^{2 / 3} \tau^{4 / 3} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) J_{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{k \tau}{\sqrt{3}}\right){ }_{1} F_{2}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{6} ;-\frac{1}{12} k^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \\
\left.+2^{2 / 3} 3^{5 / 6} \tau^{4 / 3} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)(k \tau)^{2 / 3} Y_{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{k \tau}{\sqrt{3}}\right){ }_{1} F_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{1}{12} k^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right) \\
c_{1}^{k}=\frac{\sqrt[3]{k}\left(3 \pi^{3 / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)-\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)\right) B_{k}^{2}}{24 \sqrt[3]{2} \sqrt[6]{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) \epsilon_{c}}, c_{2}^{k}=-\frac{\sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{2}} \pi^{3 / 2} \sqrt[3]{k} B_{k}^{2}}{8 \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right) \epsilon_{c}} . \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Modified energy density for the case $n=-1$

The correction of energy density $\epsilon_{1}$ is obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{\tau} \epsilon_{1}-\frac{4 \epsilon_{c}}{3 \tau^{4 / 3}}\left(\frac{u_{\perp}}{x_{\perp}}+\frac{\partial u_{\perp}}{\partial x_{\perp}}\right)+\frac{4 \epsilon_{1}}{3 \tau}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau} b_{\phi}^{2}+\frac{b_{\phi}^{2}}{\tau}=0 \\
\partial_{\perp} \epsilon_{1}-\frac{4 \epsilon_{c}}{\tau^{4 / 3}} \partial_{\tau} u_{\perp}+\frac{4 \epsilon_{c}}{3 \tau^{7 / 3}} u_{\perp}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{\perp} b_{\phi}^{2}+\frac{3 b_{\phi}^{2}}{x_{\perp}}=0 . \\
\epsilon_{1}\left(\tau, x_{\perp}\right)=\sum_{k} h(\tau)+\sum_{k} \frac{1}{24 k \tau^{7 / 3}}\left(32 \epsilon_{c}\left(J_{0}\left(k x_{\perp}\right)-1\right)\left(a_{k}(\tau)-3 t a_{k}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)\right. \\
\left.-9 B_{k}^{2} k \tau^{4 / 3}\left(k^{2} x_{\perp}^{2}{ }_{0} F_{1}\left(2 ;-\frac{1}{4} k^{2} x_{\perp}^{2}\right)+2 k x_{\perp} J_{1}\left(k x_{\perp}\right)-8 J_{0}\left(k x_{\perp}\right)+8\right)\right),(30)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $h(\tau)$ is the constant of integration and can be obtained form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\tau)=\frac{\int_{1}^{\tau} \frac{4}{3} k \epsilon_{c} a_{k}(s) d s}{\tau^{4 / 3}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Results for $n=-1$



## Results for $n=-1$



## Scaling parameter $\alpha$ for $n=-1$



## Gubser Flow





In our work the $v_{\perp}$ gets smaller when $\alpha$ is made larger as well as Gubser, $v_{\perp}$ get smaller when $1 / q$ is made larger. It seems that, parameter $\sqrt{\alpha}$ play the role of parameter $1 / q$.

## Dynamical transverse effect on particles

From the local equilibrium hadron distribution the transverse spectrum is calculated via the Cooper-Frye formula in the freeze out surface

$$
\begin{align*}
S=E \frac{d^{3} N}{d p^{3}}= & \frac{g_{i}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{x_{f}} x_{\perp} \tau_{f}\left(x_{\perp}\right) d x_{\perp}\left[m_{T} K_{1}\left(\frac{m_{T} u_{\tau}}{T_{f}}\right) l_{0}\left(\frac{m_{T} u_{\perp}}{T_{f}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p_{T} R_{f} K_{0}\left(\frac{m_{T} u_{\tau}}{T_{f}}\right) I_{1}\left(\frac{m_{T} u_{\perp}}{T_{f}}\right)\right] \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\tau_{f}\left(x_{\perp}\right)$ is the solution of the $T\left(\tau_{f}, x_{\perp}\right)=T_{f}$ and the degeneracy is $g_{i}=2$ for both the pions and the protons. The above integral over $x_{\perp}$ on the freeze-out surface is evaluated numerically.
U. Gursoy et al, Phys. Rev C 89, 054905 (2014)

## Transverse momentum spectrum

The spectrum Eq. (32) is illustrated in the following figures for three different values of the freeze out temperature ( 140,150 and 160 MeV ) and compared with experimental results obtained at PHENIX. in central collisions.


M. Haddadi Moghaddam et al, arXiv: 1710.01037 [nucl-th]

## Conclusions and future perspectives

- Magnetic fields may produce some relevant effects on several observable quantities like velocity, energy density, etc.
- As preliminary results, hadrons with different masses have different sensitivities to the electromagnetic fields.
- The difference between the charge-dependent flow of light pions and heavy protons might arise because the former are more affected by the weak magnetic field than the heavy protons.
- In future: effects of electric and magnetic field can be considered. (In preparation)


## Thank you

## Backup Slides

## Relativistic MHD

## Energy-momentum tensor and four vector fields

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{p l}^{\mu \nu} & =(\epsilon+P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu}+P g^{\mu \nu}  \tag{33}\\
T_{e m}^{\mu \nu} & =F^{\mu \eta} F_{\eta}^{\nu}-\frac{1}{4} F^{\eta \rho} F_{\eta \rho} g^{\mu \nu}  \tag{34}\\
F^{\mu \nu} & =u^{\mu} e^{\nu}-u^{\nu} e^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \kappa} b_{\lambda} u_{\kappa},  \tag{35}\\
F^{\star \alpha \beta} & =u^{\mu} b^{\nu}-u^{\nu} b^{\mu}-\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \kappa} e_{\lambda} u_{\kappa} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Levi - Civita : } \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \kappa}=1 / \sqrt{-\operatorname{det} g}[\mu \nu \lambda \kappa] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Electric four vector : $e^{\alpha}=\gamma[\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E},(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})]^{T},($ Cartezian $)(38)$ Magnetic four vector: $b^{\alpha}=\gamma[\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B},(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E})]^{T},($ Cartezian $)(39)$

Where $\vec{v}, \vec{B}, \vec{E}$ are measured in lab frame and $\gamma$ is Lorentz factor.

## Why to study magnetic field in HIC?

Strong magnetic field may produce many effects:
(1) The Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)
(2) The Chiral Magnetic Wave (CMW)
(3) The Chiral separation Hall effect (CSHE)
(9) Influence on the elliptic flow $\left(v_{2}\right)$
(3) Influence on the directed flow $\left(v_{1}\right)$
© ...

