




BM@N first results



M.Kapishin

for the BM@N Collaboration






NICA Heavy Ion Complex



BM@N: heavy ion energy 1 - 4.5 GeV/n, beams: p to Au, Intensity \sim few 10^6 /s (Au)



Three meetings on formation of the MPD and BM@N Collaborations


carried out in Dubna in 2018 and April 2019

Next BM@N meeting in October 2019



BM@N Collaboration: 21 Institutions from 11 countries, 230 participants

Heavy Ion Collision Experiments





Physics possibilities at the Nuclotron





I. In A+A collisions at Nuclotron energies:

□ Opening thresholds for strange and multi-strange hyperon production

➔ strangeness at threshold

AGS NA49 BRAHMS



➔ Need more precise data for strange mesons and hyperons, multi-variable distributions, unexplored energy range

▶ Collective flows v_1, v_2

II. In p+p, p+n, p+A collisions:

➔ hadron production in elementary reactions and ,cold' nuclear matter as ,reference' to pin down nuclear effects

Heavy-ions A+A: Study of the EoS with strangeness

❖ The nuclear dynamics is defined by the EoS (via density dependent NN-interaction)

➔ Observables sensitive to EoS:
collective flow (v_1, v_2, \dots)
particle ratios



Direct information – proton v_1, v_2

Alternative information – via strangeness

□ Experience from SIS and AGS :

ratio of K^+ yield Au+Au/C+C at SIS energies
and proton v_1, v_2 favor a soft EoS
(somewhat sensitive to the details of models)

➔ Density dependence of the EoS can be studied in BM@N by a beam energy scan




Explore high density baryonic matter

Baryonic densities in central Au+Au collisions

FAIR SIS-100 / Nuclotron

5 A GeV



I.C. Arsene et al., Phys. Rev. C75 (2007) 34902.

EOS of symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matter


Ch. Fuchs and H.H. Wolter, EPJA 30 (2006) 5

EOS: relation between density, pressure, temperature, energy and isospin asymmetry

$$E_A(\rho, \delta) = E_A(\rho, 0) + E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) \cdot \delta^2$$

with $\delta = (\rho_n - \rho_p) / \rho$

Curvature defined by nuclear incompressibility: $K = 9\rho^2 \delta^2 (E/A) / \delta\rho^2$




► **Study symmetric matter EOS at $\rho=3-5 \rho^0$**
 → elliptic flow of protons, mesons and hyperons

→ sub-threshold production of strange mesons and hyperons



► **Constrain symmetry energy E_{sym}**
 → elliptic flow of neutrons vs protons
 → sub-threshold production of particles with opposite isospin

Hyperon yield in 4A GeV Au+Au:
 soft EOS (K=240 MeV) / hard EOS (K=350) MeV





Heavy-ions A+A: Hypernuclei production



❑ **In heavy-ion reactions:** production of hypernuclei through coalescence of Λ with light fragments enhanced at high baryon densities

❑ **Maximal yield** predicted for $\sqrt{s}=4-5A$ GeV (stat. model) (interplay of Λ and light nuclei excitation function)

▶ **BM@N** energy range is **suited** for search of hyper-nuclei



Nuclotron and BM@N beam line



26 elements of magnetic optics:



→ 8 dipole magnets

→ 18 quadrupole lenses

Requirements for Au beam:

- Minimum dead material

→ need to replace air intervals / foils with vacuum




~160 m Building 205

BM@N



Configuration of BM@N detector for heavy ion program (without beampipe)







BM@N run with Ar and Kr beams in March 2018



Ar beam, $T_0 = 3.2$ GeV/n



Kr beam, $T_0 = 2.4$ (2.9) GeV/n



- Central tracker inside analyzing magnet → 6 GEM detectors 163×45 cm² and forward Si strip detectors for tracking
- ToF system, trigger detectors, hadron and EM calorimeters, outer tracker → Partial coverage of BM@N design configuration

Program:

- Measure inelastic reactions Ar (Kr) + target → X on targets Al, Cu, Sn, Pb
- Hyperon production measured in central tracker (Si + GEM)
- Charged particles and nuclear fragments identified with ToF
- Gamma and multi-gamma states identified in ECAL

+ analyze data from previous technical run with Carbon beam of 3.5 - 4.5 GeV/n



6 big GEMs



Si detectors


barrel detector

CSC chamber

ToF-400 installation


New detector components:

6 big GEMs, trigger detectors,
3 Si detectors, CSC chamber,
full set of ToF detectors



DCH-1

ToF-400







Silicon + GEM central tracker in Ar, Kr runs



3 Forward Si detectors and 6 GEM detectors





Ar+Cu interaction reconstructed in central tracker







ToF-400 and ToF-700 based on mRPC



ToF-700 wall



ToF-400 wall






BM@N beam axis

Status of TOF-400 particle identification

First expected results:

- Ratio of K^+/π^+ in Ar - nucleus interactions at beam kinetic energy of 3.2 AGeV
- Ratio of K^+/π^+ in Kr - nucleus interactions at beam kinetic energy of 2.4 AGeV




Ar beam , 3.2 AGeV , Ar + C,Al,Sn,Cu \rightarrow X



Time resolution within proton band
~85 ps

to study SRC with hard inverse kinematic reactions

First SRC @ BMN run in March 2018




Objectives:

- identify 2N-SRC events with inverse kinematics
- study isospin decomposition of 2N-SRC
- study A-2 spectator nuclear system

First expected result:



- Study A-2 residual system after SRC knockout

Identification of A-2 system



Λ hyperon production in 4A GeV Carbon-nucleus interactions

$\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decay reconstruction in Si+GEM tracker in C+C interaction






Event topology:

- ✓ PV – primary vertex
- ✓ V₀ – vertex of hyperon decay
- ✓ dca – distance of the closest approach
- ✓ path – decay length

Analysis without PID



Λ hyperon signals in 4A GeV Carbon-nucleus interactions






C beam 4 AGeV
C + C,Al,Cu \rightarrow Λ + X minimum bias
 Λ signal width 2.4 – 3 MeV

C+C: 4.6M triggers
C+Al: 5.3M triggers
C+Cu: 5.3M triggers

2.5 days of data taking



Λ hyperon yield in 4A GeV Carbon-nucleus min bias interactions




measured kinematic range $0.1 < p_T < 1.05$ GeV/c, $0.03 < y^* < 0.93$
data are corrected for acceptance and reconstruction efficiency

- Yield of Λ in C+C, C+Al, C+ Cu minimum bias interactions in dependence on rapidity y^* in c.m.s. $y^* = y_{lab} - 1.17$
- Data compared with predictions of DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models
 - ▶ DCM-QGSM predictions overestimate data
 - ▶ UrQMD predictions are in better agreement with data



Λ hyperon invariant p_T spectra in 4A GeV Carbon-nucleus interactions



- Fit of invariant p_T spectra of Λ yields in C+C, C+Al, C+Cu minimum bias interactions by function:

$$1/p_T \cdot d^2N/dp_T dy = A \cdot \exp(-(m_T - m_\Lambda)/T), \quad m_T = \sqrt{(m_\Lambda^2 + p_T^2)}$$

- Inv slope T in comparison with predictions of DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models

	T [MeV] C+C	T [MeV] C+Al	T [MeV] C+Cu
BM@N Preliminary	$113 \pm 14 \pm 11$	$146 \pm 19 \pm 15$	$170 \pm 24 \pm 20$
DCM-QGSM	124 ± 4	123 ± 4	130 ± 4
UrQMD	105 ± 4	123 ± 4	133 ± 4



Λ hyperon yield and cross section in 4 AGeV Carbon-nucleus interactions




	<i>C+C</i>	<i>C+Al</i>	<i>C+Cu</i>
Λ yield in the measured kinematic range $0.1 < p_T < 1.05$ GeV/c, $0.03 < y^* < 0.93$	$0.0129 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0012$	$0.0241 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0019$	$0.0333 \pm 0.0026 \pm 0.0024$
Λ yield in the full kinematic range, N_Λ ¹⁾ N part DCM-QGSM	$0.0355 \pm 0.0031 \pm 0.0033$ 9	$0.0744 \pm 0.0062 \pm 0.0058$ 13.4	$0.142 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.012$ 23
Λ min bias cross section σ_Λ ²⁾ [mb]	$29.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.1$	$93.8 \pm 7.8 \pm 6.3$	$254 \pm 20 \pm 20$

1) Used averaged extrapolation factor from DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models

2) $\sigma_\Lambda = N_\Lambda \cdot \sigma_{\text{inel}}$




Energy dependence of Λ hyperon yields in minimum bias C+C interactions








Next plans:

- add results for semi-central C+A interactions
- add results for 3.5 and 4.5 AGeV Carbon beam data

4 STS



4 STS + 7 GEM



Hybrid STS + GEM tracker vs STS:

- ▶ 2 times increase in number of reconstructed tracks and Λ hyperons
- ▶ 2 times better momentum resolution

For heavy ion beam intensities few 10^6 Hz

- keep 4 STS + 7 GEM
- fast FEE and readout electronics



Beam parameters and setup at different stages of BM@N experiment



Year	2016	2017 spring	2018 spring	fall 2020- 2021	2022 and later
Beam	d(↑)	C	Ar, Kr, C(SRC)	C, Kr, Xe	up to Au
Max.inten sity, Hz	0.5M	0.5M	0.5M	0.5M	2M
Trigger rate, Hz	5k	5k	10k	10k	20k→50k
Central tracker status	6 GEM half planes	6 GEM half planes	6 GEM half planes + 3 forward Si planes	7 GEM full planes + forward Si planes	7 GEM full planes + forward Si + large STS planes
Experiment al status	technical run	technical run	technical run+physics	stage1 physics	stage2 physics



BM@N present status and next plans



- **BM@N scientific program** comprises studies of nuclear matter in intermediate range between SIS-18 and NICA/FAIR
- **BM@N technical runs performed** with carbon beam of $T_0 = 3.5 - 4.5$ AGeV, Ar beam of 3.2 AGeV and Kr beam of 2.4 (2.9) AGeV on fixed targets
- **Measurement of Short Range Correlations** performed with inverse kinematics: C beam + H₂ target
- **First physics results obtained on Λ yields in C + C, Al, Cu interactions**
- **Reconstruction and analysis of interactions of Ar, Kr beams with targets and SRC data are progressing**


- **BM@N is on the way for heavy ion high intensity runs in 2020 and later:**
- **Extend central tracker with large aperture STS silicon detectors in front of GEM setup (in collaboration with CBM)**

**Thank you
for attention!**



Study of EoS: Collective flow of identified particles

Azimuthal angle distribution:
 $dN/d\phi \propto (1 + 2v_1 \cos\phi + 2v_2 \cos 2\phi)$

Nuclear incompressibility: $K = 9\rho^2 \delta^2(E/A)/\delta\rho^2$



Proton flow in Au+Au collisions
 in-plane flow $\sim v_1$ out-of-plane flow v_2





P. Danielewicz, R. Lacey, W.G. Lynch, Science 298 (2002) 1592





GEM tracker: acceptance / momentum resolution / detection efficiency



Phase space / acceptance to primary protons:
Au+Au, 4.5 AGeV



Momentum resolution / detection efficiency






Upgrade of central tracker with CBM STS




STS-1




Team: LHEP JINR,
MSU, GSI, Tübingen
University


STS-2



STS-3



STS-4





Total: 292 modules,
~600k channels



BM@N in technical run with carbon beam



Carbon beam, $T_0 = 3.5, 4.0, 4.5$ GeV/n



- Focus on tests and commissioning of central tracker inside analyzing magnet \rightarrow 5 GEM detectors $66 \times 41 \text{ cm}^2$ + 2 GEM detectors $163 \times 45 \text{ cm}^2$ and 1 plane of Si detector for tracking
- Test / calibrate ToF, T0+Trigger barrel detector, full ZDC, part of ECAL

Program:

- Trace beam through detectors, align detectors, measure beam momentum in mag. field of 0.3 – 0.85 T
- Measure inelastic reactions $C + \text{target} \rightarrow X$ with 3.5 - 4.5 AGeV carbon beam on targets C, Al, Cu, Pb