

# SUSY Breaking in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT

Planck 2010

Matthew Buican

CERN PH-TH

I. Antoniadis and M.B., *1005.3012*

June 2, 2010

## Overview

- Motivation for studying  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY breaking
- A general tool: the supercurrent multiplet
- Review of the Ferrara-Zumino (FZ) multiplet
- Generalization to  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  for theories with (spontaneously broken)  $SU(2)_R$
- RG flow of anomaly multiplet and SUSY breaking

## $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY breaking motivation I

- Better behaved SUSY breaking theories (see post SW literature) where we can work at strong coupling?
- Simple dynamical models?  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  ISS, metastable theories?
- Could be relevant for describing the hidden sector where SUSY is broken (see D-brane constructions, etc.)
- Interesting IR signatures?

## $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY breaking historical interlude

- First example [P. Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B113 (1976)]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} = & \int d^2\theta \left( \frac{1}{8} \text{Tr} W^2 + \frac{1}{4} W'^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (2g \tilde{\Phi}_a N^a_b \Phi^b - g' N' \tilde{\Phi}_a \Phi^a) + \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}} N' \right) \\
 & + h.c. + \int d^4\theta \left( \bar{\Phi}_a e^{2gV^a_b - g'V'\delta^a_b} \Phi^b + \tilde{\Phi}_a e^{-2gV^a_b + g'V'\delta^a_b} \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}^b \right. \\
 & \left. + \bar{N}_i e^{2gV^i_j} N^j + \bar{N}' N' \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- $f$  is put in by hand and breaks SUSY and  $SU(2)_R$ .
- This model was studied in the asymptotically free regime classically. It has some quantum peculiarities.

- Similar example but with more matter studied in the strongly coupled (weak SUSY breaking) regime by [Arai et. al., 0708.0668]
- Other known examples are variations on this model... Magnetic FI terms with non-trivial prepotential and partial breaking after SW [I. Antoniadis, H. Partouche, and T. Taylor, 9512006]

## $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY breaking motivation II

- Dynamical models?
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$  constraining, as hinted at by the few SUSY breaking examples that have been engineered. Why?
- We can give some broad answers to these questions by grouping theories by their symmetries and studying the corresponding supercurrent multiplets.
- We will study theories with  $SU(2)_R$  at most spontaneously broken in addition to  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ .

- **Claim:** Such theories will not have SUSY breaking vacua as long as the IR is a weakly coupled soup of goldstinos and Goldstone bosons.
- Our reasoning will not rest on SW-type solutions (which are only valid for small SUSY breaking anyway since they ignore higher-derivative corrections).

## Intro to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ FZ supercurrent multiplet

- Know from SUSY algebra that supercurrent,  $S_\alpha^\mu$ , and stress tensor,  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , should be grouped together with R-current,  $j_R^\mu$ , i.e.

$$\{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, S_\alpha^\mu\} \sim \sigma_{\nu\alpha\dot{\alpha}} T^{\mu\nu}, \quad [Q_\alpha, j_R^\mu] \sim S_\alpha^\mu, \quad (2)$$

up to Schwinger terms.

- This notion was made more precise by Ferrara and Zumino (FZ). For a superconformal theory

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad (3)$$

- Solving this equation, they found

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = j_\mu^R + \theta^\alpha S_{\mu\alpha} + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}\mu} + (\theta\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta})(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}\partial^{[\rho}j^{\sigma]}) + \dots \quad (4)$$



- 8 bosonic and 8 fermionic components.
- Here  $j_{\mu}^R$  is the current for the symmetry that assigns charge  $+2/3$  to chiral superfields,  $\Phi$ .

## Intro to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ FZ supercurrent multiplet (cont...)

- When the superconformal symmetry is broken, we must add an appropriate representation of SUSY to the RHS of the conservation equation, i.e., an anomaly. FZ chose

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_{\alpha} X \quad (5)$$

where  $X$  is chiral, i.e.,  $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} X = 0$ .

- The solution to this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\mu} = & j_{\mu}^R + \theta^{\alpha} (S_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{3} (\sigma_{\mu} \bar{\sigma}^{\rho} S_{\rho})_{\alpha}) + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{S}_{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\bar{S}_{\rho} \bar{\sigma}^{\rho} \sigma_{\mu})_{\dot{\beta}}) \\ & + (\theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta}) (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3} \eta_{\mu\nu} T - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} \partial^{[\rho} j^{\sigma]}) + \frac{i}{2} \theta^2 \partial_{\mu} x - \frac{i}{2} \theta^2 \partial_{\mu} \bar{x} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$X = x + \sqrt{2}\theta^\alpha \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{S}_\mu^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) + \theta^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} T + i\partial_\mu j^\mu \right) \quad (7)$$

- 12 bosonic and 12 fermionic components in the multiplet.
- Solutions not unique:  $(\mathcal{J}_\mu + i\partial_\mu(Y - \bar{Y}), X - \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}^2\bar{Y})$ . These solutions are related by improvement terms for the (conserved) component currents.
- The existence of this multiplet is subject to several obstructions, but it is well-defined for a large class of theories [Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, 0904.1159, 1002.2228].
- A simple  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  generalization of the FZ multiplet will be valid for the theories we consider.

- There are other possible embeddings of the supercurrent multiplet, e.g., when the theory has an R-symmetry can define  $\bar{D}^\alpha R_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \chi_\alpha$ . If the theory also has an FZ multiplet, and one can solve  $X = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{D}^2 U$ , then one can write  $R_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + [D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]U$ . Also other options Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg 1002.2228, S. Kuzenko 1002.4932.

## The FZ supercurrent multiplet in the UV

- Example

$$S = \int d^4\theta K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) + \left( \int d^2\theta W(\Phi) + h.c. \right) \quad (8)$$

- Have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 2D_\alpha\Phi \cdot \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Phi} - \frac{2}{3}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]K \quad (9)$$

and

$$X = 4W - \frac{1}{3}\bar{D}^2K \quad (10)$$

- Note if  $K = \Phi\bar{\Phi}$  and  $W = \Phi^3$ , then  $X = 0$  and we have a (classically) conformal theory.

## The FZ supercurrent multiplet along the RG flow

- Supercharge commutators are well-defined along the RG flow even if SUSY is spontaneously broken.
- Therefore, the  $X$  operator satisfies chiral commutation relations along the full RG flow:

$$[\xi Q, x] \sim \xi\psi, \quad [\bar{\xi}\bar{Q}, x] = 0$$
$$[\xi Q, \psi_\alpha] \sim \xi_\alpha F, \quad [\bar{\xi}\bar{Q}, \psi_\alpha] \sim \bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu x \quad (11)$$

$$[\xi Q, F] = 0, \quad [\bar{\xi}\bar{Q}, F] \sim \partial_\mu \psi \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi} \quad (12)$$

and we have a chiral superfield even in the IR.

## SUSY breaking and the FZ supercurrent multiplet in the deep IR

- When SUSY is broken, the supercurrent flows to its spin half component, i.e., the goldstino

$$S_{\alpha}^{\mu} \sim f \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} \bar{G}^{\dot{\alpha}} \quad (13)$$

- Therefore, one can identify a universal goldstino superfield [Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, 0907.2441]

$$X = x + \sqrt{2}\theta^{\alpha}G_{\alpha} + \theta^2 F \quad (14)$$

- In general, SUSY partner of goldstino is not massless. Then, at leading order, the corresponding operator can create a two

goldstino state. The normalization of the operator is fixed by the SUSY algebra, and one finds[Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, 0907.2441]

$$X_{IR} = \frac{G^2}{2F} + \sqrt{2}\theta^\alpha G_\alpha + \theta^2 F \quad (15)$$

- With

$$X_{IR}^2 = 0 \quad (16)$$



## $X$ and the low energy effective action

- At low energies, can construct an Akulov-Volkov Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta X_{IR} \bar{X}_{IR} + \left( \int d^2\theta f X_{IR} + h.c. \right) + \dots \quad (17)$$

- One consistency condition on the above action is that the divergence of the FZ multiplet of the above theory matches the RG evolved FZ multiplet of the original theory, i.e.

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{IR\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = f D_{\alpha} X_{IR} \quad (18)$$

- This must be the case for the theory to be a consistent low energy version of the original theory.

## Summary of $\mathcal{N} = 1$

- We start in the UV with some theory, say massive  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SQCD a la ISS that has an FZ multiplet

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \sim D_{\alpha}(cW^2) + \dots \quad (19)$$

- And go to the IR where we find a consistent low energy action of the type above

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta X_{IR} \bar{X}_{IR} + \left( \int d^2\theta f X_{IR} + h.c. \right) + \dots \quad (20)$$

- With possible additional particles (goldstone bosons, etc.).
- **Question:** Is there an analog in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  QFT?

## Generalizing to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ : SCFT warmup

- The supercurrent structure of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  QFT is significantly more complicated. At the superconformal level, we package the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supercurrent in a dimension two field that satisfies [M. F. Sohnius, P. Lett. B 81, 1979]

$$D^{\langle ij \rangle} \mathcal{J} = 0 \tag{21}$$

where  $i, j$  are  $SU(2)_R$  indices and  $D^{\langle ij \rangle}$  is the  $SU(2)_R$  spin one differential operator.

- This constraint immediately implies the following interesting

spectrum of component operators

	$SU(2)_R$	Dim	
$J$	<b>1</b>	2	
$J_{\alpha}^{\langle i \rangle}$	<b>2</b>	5/2	
$J_{\alpha\beta}$	<b>1</b>	3	
$J_{\langle i \rangle}^{\langle j \rangle \mu}$	<b>3</b>	3	
$J_{\mu}$	<b>1</b>	3	
$J_{\mu\alpha}^{\langle i \rangle}$	<b>2</b>	7/2	
$T_{\mu\nu}$	<b>1</b>	4	

(22)

- Standard conservation, symmetrization, and trace identities satisfied.
- Unlike the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superconformal case, the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case comes

with more degrees of freedom than just the supercurrents,  $U(2)_R$  currents, and  $T^{\mu\nu}$ . Have 24 bosonic and 24 fermionic components.

## Reformulation in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace

- We can redefine the supercurrent as follows

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}} \equiv \mathcal{J}|, \quad \mathcal{J}_\alpha \equiv (D_\alpha^{\langle 2 \rangle} \mathcal{J})|, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \left( -\frac{1}{3} \left[ D_\alpha^{\langle 1 \rangle}, \bar{D}_{\langle 1 \rangle \dot{\alpha}} \right] + \left[ D_\alpha^{\langle 2 \rangle}, \bar{D}_{\langle 2 \rangle \dot{\alpha}} \right] \right) \mathcal{J}| \quad (23)$$

- We can then rewrite the above  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conservation equation in  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superspace as follows

$$\bar{D}^2 \hat{\mathcal{J}} = D^2 \hat{\mathcal{J}} = 0, \quad D^\alpha \mathcal{J}_\alpha = 0, \quad \bar{D}^2 \mathcal{J}_\alpha = 0, \quad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0, \quad (24)$$

- Which we can solve as follows

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}} = J + \theta^\alpha J_\alpha^{\langle 1 \rangle} + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{J}_{\langle 1 \rangle}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} \left( -\frac{1}{2} J_\mu + J_{\langle 1 \rangle \mu}^{\langle 1 \rangle} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2 \bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}^2 \theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_\alpha &= J_\alpha^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \theta^\beta J_{\beta\alpha} + \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\langle 1 \rangle\mu}^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}(-J_{\mu\alpha}^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \frac{2}{3}i\sigma_{\mu\nu\alpha}{}^\beta\partial^\nu J_\beta^{\langle 2 \rangle}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(\theta^2\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}^2\theta) \\
\mathcal{J}_\mu &= \frac{1}{3}J_\mu + \frac{4}{3}J_{\langle 1 \rangle\mu}^{\langle 1 \rangle} + \theta^\alpha J_{\mu\alpha}^{\langle 1 \rangle} + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\langle 1 \rangle\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \theta\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta} \left( 2T_{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}\partial^{[\rho}j^{\sigma]} \right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(\theta^2\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}^2\theta)
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

## Adding the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ anomaly

- We choose to consider theories with an  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  linear anomaly

$$D^{\langle ij \rangle} \mathcal{J} = 3\mathcal{L}^{\langle ij \rangle} \quad (26)$$

This is a field satisfying

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\langle ij \rangle})^\dagger = \epsilon_{\langle ik \rangle} \epsilon_{\langle jl \rangle} \mathcal{L}^{\langle kl \rangle}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{\langle ij \rangle} = \mathcal{L}^{\langle ji \rangle}, \quad D_\alpha^{\langle i} \mathcal{L}^{\langle jk \rangle} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\langle i} \mathcal{L}^{\langle jk \rangle} = 0 \quad (27)$$



- The corresponding spectrum of operators is

	$SU(2)_R$	Dim	
$L^{\langle ij \rangle}$	<b>3</b>	3	(28)
$L_\alpha^{\langle i \rangle}$	<b>2</b>	7/2	
$L$	<b>1</b>	4	
$L_\mu$	<b>1</b>	4	

- So we see that theories described by these anomaly multiplets will have among other conserved currents a conserved  $SU(2)_R$  and a conserved central charge current.
- Therefore these multiplets do not describe breaking by explicit field independent FI terms. But, theories where such terms are field dependent are covered.

## The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ anomaly in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace

- In  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  language these constraints amount to

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}X = 0, \quad D^2L = \bar{D}^2L = 0 \quad (29)$$

- The component conservation equations then become

$$\bar{D}^2\hat{\mathcal{J}} = 3X, \quad D^\alpha\mathcal{J}_\alpha = -3iL, \quad \bar{D}^2\mathcal{J}_\alpha = 0, \quad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_\alpha X \quad (30)$$

- We can again solve these equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\alpha &= J_\alpha^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \theta^\beta \left( J_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{3}{2}i\epsilon_{\beta\alpha\ell} \right) + \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\langle 1 \rangle\mu}^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}(-J_{\mu\alpha}^{\langle 2 \rangle}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}^\rho J_\rho^{\langle 2 \rangle})_\alpha + \frac{2}{3}i\sigma_{\mu\nu\alpha}^\beta \partial^\nu J_\beta^{\langle 2 \rangle} + 2\theta^2\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{J}_{\langle 2 \rangle\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \theta^2 \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left[ \frac{3}{2} \sigma_{\alpha}^{\mu \dot{\alpha}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \ell + i \ell_{\mu} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\beta}^{\mu \dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\mu} J_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\theta}^2 \theta) \\
\mathcal{J}_{\mu} & = j_{\mu}^{\mathcal{N}=1} + \theta^{\alpha} \left( J_{\mu \alpha}^{\langle 1 \rangle} + \frac{1}{3} (\sigma_{\mu} \bar{\sigma}^{\rho} J_{\rho}^{\langle 1 \rangle})_{\alpha} \right) \\
& + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left( \bar{J}_{\langle 1 \rangle \mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} (\bar{J}_{\langle 1 \rangle \rho} \bar{\sigma}^{\rho} \sigma_{\mu})_{\dot{\beta}} \right) \\
& + \theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta} \left( 2 T_{\nu \mu} - \frac{2}{3} \eta_{\mu \nu} T - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\nu \mu \rho \sigma} \partial^{[\rho} j_{\mathcal{N}=1}^{\sigma]} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \theta^2 \partial_{\mu} \bar{x} - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^2 \partial_{\mu} x \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2 \bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}^2 \theta)
\end{aligned}$$

• And

$$\begin{aligned}
X & = x + \theta^{\alpha} \left( \frac{2}{3} \sigma_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} \bar{J}_{\langle 1 \rangle \mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) + \theta^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} T + i \partial_{\mu} j_{\mathcal{N}=1}^{\mu} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\theta \bar{\theta}), \\
L & = \ell - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\alpha} \left( \frac{2}{3} \sigma_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} \bar{J}_{\langle 2 \rangle \mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left( \frac{2}{3} \sigma_{\alpha}^{\mu \dot{\alpha}} J_{\mu}^{\langle 2 \rangle \alpha} \right) + \theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} \ell_{\mu} \\
& \quad + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2 \bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}^2 \theta). \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

- Improvement terms

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{3}{2}(W + \bar{W}), \quad \mathcal{L}^{\langle ij \rangle} = \frac{1}{2}D^{\langle ij \rangle}W \quad (33)$$

where  $W$  is a reduced chiral superfield.

- We then find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J_{\langle i \rangle \mu}^{\langle j \rangle} &= 0, & \delta \ell^\mu &= 2\sqrt{2}\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu}, & \delta J_{\mu\alpha}^{\langle i \rangle} &= 2i\sigma_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{\beta}\partial^\nu \lambda_{\beta}^{\langle i \rangle}, \\ \delta T_{\mu\nu} &= -(\partial_\nu\partial_\mu - \eta_{\mu\nu})(\phi + \bar{\phi}) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

## Some simple example theories

- A theory of  $N$  massive hypermultiplets (considered by Sohnius)

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta (\bar{Q}^i Q_i + \tilde{Q}^i \bar{\tilde{Q}}_i) + \left( \int d^2\theta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} M_i Q_i \tilde{Q}^i + h.c. \right) \quad (35)$$

- Using the superconformal  $U(2)_R$  charges of the hypermultiplets, we can construct the lowest component supercurrent operator

$$\hat{J} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (Q_i \bar{Q}^i + \bar{\tilde{Q}}_i \tilde{Q}^i). \quad (36)$$

- We then find

$$X = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \sum_i M_i Q_i \tilde{Q}^i,$$

$$L = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sum_i M_i (Q_i \bar{Q}^i - \bar{Q}_i \tilde{Q}^i). \quad (37)$$

- SUSY is clearly unbroken.
- In the superconformal case the masses vanish and  $X = L = 0$ .

## Some simple example theories (cont...)

- Another simple example:  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge field with a non-trivial prepotential

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^2\theta_1 d^2\theta_2 \mathcal{F}(W) + h.c. \quad (38)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(W) = \frac{1}{4}W^2 + \dots \quad (39)$$

- We find

$$\hat{J} = -\Phi \partial_{\bar{\Phi}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} - \bar{\Phi} \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F} - (\tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \bar{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}) \quad (40)$$

- With the local shift term defined as follows

$$\partial_{\Phi} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \partial_{\Phi} \mathcal{F} - \Phi \partial_{\Phi}^2 \mathcal{F}. \quad (41)$$

- The anomaly multiplet is then

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= -\frac{1}{3} \left( (\partial_\Phi \mathcal{F} - \Phi \partial_\Phi^2 \mathcal{F}) \bar{D}^2 \bar{\Phi} + 2\Phi \partial_\Phi^3 \mathcal{F} W^2 + \bar{D}^2 \bar{\mathcal{F}} \right) \\
 L &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left( \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left[ \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \left( \partial_{\bar{\Phi}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} - \bar{\Phi} \partial_{\bar{\Phi}}^2 \bar{\mathcal{F}} \right) \right] + D^\alpha \left[ W_\alpha \left( \partial_\Phi \mathcal{F} - \Phi \partial_\Phi^2 \mathcal{F} \right) \right] \right) \quad (42)
 \end{aligned}$$

- SUSY is unbroken.
- When the theory has a free prepotential, we find  $X = L = 0$ .



## Some not so simple theories

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SYM

$$D^{\langle ij \rangle} \mathcal{J} = \frac{c}{2} \text{tr}(D^{\langle ij \rangle} W^2 - \bar{D}^{\langle ij \rangle} \bar{W}^2) \quad (43)$$

where  $c = 8\pi i\beta$ .

- And various other cousin theories with arbitrary gauge group and massive hypermultiplet flavors.

## SUSY breaking

- First, assume  $SU(2)_R$  is not spontaneously broken.
- In the deep IR we have

$$S_{\alpha}^{\mu\langle i\rangle} = \sqrt{2}f\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu}\bar{G}^{\langle i\rangle\dot{\alpha}} + \dots \quad (44)$$

- So from above we see that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{IR} &= x_{IR} + \sqrt{2}\theta^{\alpha}G_{\langle 1\rangle\alpha} + \theta^2F + \mathcal{O}(\theta\bar{\theta}) \\ L_{IR} &= \ell_{IR} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta^{\alpha}G_{\langle 2\rangle\alpha} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{G}^{\langle 2\rangle\dot{\alpha}} + \theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\ell_{IR\mu} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}^2\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

i.e., we have embedded the Goldstinos.

- However,  $x_{IR}$  and  $\ell_{IR}$  must be composite.

- Up to zero derivatives, must have

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{IR} &= \frac{G_{\langle 1 \rangle} G_{\langle 1 \rangle}}{2F} + \frac{\bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle} \bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle}}{2\bar{F}} + \sqrt{2}\theta G_{\langle 1 \rangle} + \theta^2 F + \mathcal{O}(\partial^\mu), \\
L_{IR} &= -i \frac{G_{\langle 1 \rangle} G_{\langle 2 \rangle}}{2F} + i \frac{\bar{G}_{\langle 1 \rangle} \bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle}}{2\bar{F}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \theta G_{\langle 2 \rangle} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\theta} \bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle} + \mathcal{O}(\partial^\mu) \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

- However, find a contradiction when imposing proper transformations under the full SUSY algebra.

- $[\bar{\xi} \bar{Q}_{\langle 1 \rangle}, x_{IR}] = 0$  implies:

$$x_{IR} = \frac{G_{\langle 1 \rangle} G_{\langle 1 \rangle}}{2F} + \frac{\bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle} \bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle}}{2\bar{F}} - \frac{i}{2|F|^2} \left( G_{\langle 1 \rangle} \sigma^\mu \bar{G}_{\langle 1 \rangle} + G_{\langle 2 \rangle} \sigma^\mu \bar{G}_{\langle 2 \rangle} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle} \bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle}}{\bar{F}} \right) + g_{(0)\dot{\alpha}}^\mu(G_{\langle i \rangle}, \bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle}) \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\bar{G}^{\langle 1 \rangle \dot{\alpha}}}{\bar{F}} \right) \\
& + g_{(1)}(G_{\langle i \rangle}, \bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle}) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2), \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

- On the other hand,  $[\xi Q^{\langle 2 \rangle}, x_{IR}] = 0$  implies

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{IR} &= \frac{G_{\langle 1 \rangle} G_{\langle 1 \rangle}}{2F} + \frac{\bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle} \bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle}}{2\bar{F}} + \frac{i}{2|F|^2} \left( G_{\langle 1 \rangle} \sigma^\mu \bar{G}^{\langle 1 \rangle} + G_{\langle 2 \rangle} \sigma^\mu \bar{G}^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot \partial_\mu \left( \frac{G_{\langle 1 \rangle} G_{\langle 1 \rangle}}{F} \right) + \tilde{g}_{(0)\mu}^\alpha(G_{\langle 1 \rangle}, \bar{G}^{\langle i \rangle}) \partial^\mu \left( \frac{G_{\langle 2 \rangle \alpha}}{F} \right) \\
& + \tilde{g}_{(1)}(G_{\langle 1 \rangle}, \bar{G}^{\langle i \rangle}) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2) \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

- These two forms contradict each other and so SUSY cannot be broken in this case.

## The IR effective action

- Suppose that  $SU(2)_R$  is spontaneously broken. Then  $x_{IR}$  and  $\ell_{IR}$  can contain the corresponding R-axions.
- Assuming that the theory is weakly coupled (after integrating out strong dynamics), we must have

$$\int d^4\theta (X_{IR}\bar{X}_{IR} - 2L_{IR}^2) + \left( \int d^2\theta f X_{IR} + h.c. \right) + \dots \quad (49)$$

where the ellipses contain weak interactions and higher-order corrections.

- Can write a supercurrent for this theory and compute the IR anomaly. We find

$$\bar{D}^2 \hat{\mathcal{J}} = 8f X_{IR} + 2\bar{D}^2 (L_{IR}^2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^\alpha \mathcal{J}_\alpha &= 2iD^\alpha L_{IR} D_\alpha X_{IR} - 8ifL_{IR}, \\
\bar{D}^2 \mathcal{J}_\alpha &= -2i\bar{D}^2 (L_{IR} D_\alpha X_{IR}), \\
\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \frac{8}{3}D_\alpha \left( fX_{IR} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} L_{IR} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} L_{IR} \right) \\
&+ 2\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \left( L_{IR}^2 \right) + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} D_\alpha X_{IR} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{X}_{IR}.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

- No shift in  $\mathcal{J}$  gives back the (RG evolved) anomaly of the original theory. This is a contradiction, and so SUSY cannot be broken!

- **Consequence:** No SUSY breaking in this class of theories ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SYM, etc.).

## Conclusions and open problems

- Using very general techniques we have understood that a large class of theories cannot break  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY.
- This statement is clearly related to the fact that they have an underlying conserved  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry.
- What about theories that do not have a conserved  $SU(2)_R$ ?
- Relation to recent hypermultiplet no-go theorems? [Jacot and Scrucca].