Fermilab Dus. Department of Science



Accelerator Integration in CMS

Dr Christopher Jones HOW 2019 19 March 2019



Considerations for Heterogeneous Computing

CMS

Scheduling CPU & Accelerator Algorithms

Configuring Heterogeneous Jobs





Scheduling CPU & Accelerator Algorithms



Concurrent CPU/Non-CPU Processing



CMS data processing framework uses a mechanism to interact effectively with non-cpu resources

Non-CPU algorithms are divided into 3 phases CPU stage which acquires data and transfers to non-CPU resource Non-CPU algorithm is then run When finished, a publish step is run on the CPU to move data back to CPU memory

While non-CPU algorithm runs, the CPU is available for other algorithms



Setup

TBB controls running modules Can have concurrent processing of multiple events

Have separate helper thread to control GPU

Waits until enough work has been buffered before running GPU kernel





Acquire

Module acquires method called Used to pull data from Event

Copies data to buffer

Includes a callback to start next phase of module running





External Work Starts

GPU kernel is run Data pulled from buffer

Next waiting module can run





External Work Finishes

GPU results are copied to buffer

Callback puts Module back into waiting queue





Produce

Produce method of module is called

Pull data from buffer

Data used to create objects to put into Event





External Work in Practice



GPU

HLT base R&D have been using the facility See Matti Kortelainen's talk in this session

Remote FPGA

R&D project which uses remote (on or off site) FPGA for machine learning inference See Kevin Pedro's talk Wednesday in 'Reconstruction and Software Triggers'

Geant V CMS Integration R&D

Control of thread passed to Geant V when new Event is ready

- Geant V is using TBB task to run its code
- This allows proper thread pool sharing with framework also using TBB tasks

When Geant V finishes an Event, control returns to framework

• The finish thread often different than the start thread

See Kevin Pedro's talk Thursday in 'Detector Simulation'



External Work and Event Batching



- Framework supports running more concurrent events than threads Normally not a useful configuration
 - increases memory use
 - does not increase event throughput

External Work modules can process events in batches

module waits to run external algorithm once it has acquired a certain number of events Has been used in framework tests

- Not being used by any R&D projects
 - complicates module book-keeping

Some simple tests showed batching can decrease event throughput Have to carefully balance

- per module speed improvements from batching
- possible lack of available tasks for CPU to run while accumulating a batch





Configuring Heterogeneous Jobs



Heterogeneous Configuration



Want jobs for a workflow to run at any site

Want same configuration for all jobs in a workflow Be agnostic to the kind of hardware being used for a given job Hash of configuration already used by framework to segregate data from different workflows

Want to be able to keep CPU and Accelerator algorithms separate
No need to touch working code
The different hardware may want to group the work differently
e.g. CPU might want to spread over 3 modules while GPU wants them combined to 1
Not precluding having CPU and Accelerator algorithm in same module either

Use provenance tracking to determine what technology was used Framework tracks what data each module uses for each Event



SwitchProducer

SwitchProducer added to configuration Allows specifying multiple modules associated to same module label At runtime picks one to use based on available technologies





Conclusion



CMS has a mechanism for integrating TBB and accelerators Can support any conceivable hardware

Possible future changes only after CMS gains more practical experience





Backup Slides



Throughput Scaling Test

Approximate use of non-CPU resource Separate helper thread which sleeps for a set amount of time All waiting sleep requests handled concurrently thread sleeps only for the longest requested time, not the total requested time Once sleeping, additional sleep requests will have to wait Denoted by 'External Work'

Simple CPU based algorithm for testing algorithm sleeps for set amount of time

Require that two algorithms are needed to process each event

Test two different algorithm dependencies The two algorithms are independent of each other One algorithm depends on the results of the other algorithm



Expectations for Independent Algorithms



threads = # concurrent events both CPU algorithms take same time # threads = # concurrent events 1 algorithm is faster than other # threads = 2 * # concurrent events both CPU algorithms take same time # threads = # concurrent events 1 CPU & 1 External Work algorithm



Independent Algorithm Measurements



Number of Concurrent Events

Have two algorithms that can work in parallel on one event

Algorithms take exactly the same amount of time to process an event

One algorithm can be written to do external work

As fast as using two threads per event





Processing Graph

Stream ID

Denotes an independent event loop

Histogram colors

- **Purple**: Work has been passed to the external work controlling thread
 - Between *acquire* and *produce*
 - Does not mean the work is running

Green: a module is running on a CPU





Minimum Number of Events to Process



The external work thread can wait until a set number of events are ready to process

Constants

16 concurrent events

16 threads



Process for External Work

As minimum number of events approaches number of concurrent events the throughput decreases



Expectations for Dependent Algorithms



both CPU algorithms take same time
threads = # concurrent events
1 algorithm is faster than other
threads = 2 * # concurrent events
both CPU algorithms take same time
No benefit from extra threads

threads = # concurrent events

threads = # concurrent events1 CPU & 1 External Work algorithm



Dependent Algorithm Measurements



🛟 Fermilab



Event processing algorithms must run sequentially

Use of external work is faster than algorithms sequentially

not as fast as if second algorithm ran on CPU as fast as it can on external worker

Dependent Algorithm Processing Graphs





External Work and the CPU module have the same running times Note the scale change

‡ Fermilab

Cross Event Synchronization



Key

Opaque: Time spent in algorithm/External worker

Semi transparent: amount of time to process data in the External Worker

Can only process 1 work chunk at a time

an event must wait for its turn if it missed the most recent start of a chunk

e.g. See Event 3

External work busy for the longest event time

events with shorter processing time must still wait for the longer time

e.g. see Event 2





Number of Concurrent Events > Number of Threads



Use 16 threads

Require external work to only wait for 1 event before processing

With enough concurrent events, can get same result as if the external work module was not in the job Event Throughput vs Concurrent Events for External Work with 16 Threads



Number of Concurrent Events



Conclusion



CMS has a mechanism for integrating TBB and accelerators

- Exact event throughput benefits dependent on scheduling work to accelerator Waiting for enough events to accumulate can decrease throughput
- The more intra-event parallelism improves event throughput Can schedule work on CPU and accelerator at the same time
- May be able to increase event throughput at the cost of extra memory allow number of concurrent events to be greater than the number of CPU threads

